

Review Article

Molecular Mechanisms of the Whole DNA Repair System: A Comparison of Bacterial and Eukaryotic Systems

Rihito Morita,¹ Shuhei Nakane,¹ Atsuhiko Shimada,¹ Masao Inoue,¹ Hitoshi Iino,^{1,2}
Taisuke Wakamatsu,³ Kenji Fukui,² Noriko Nakagawa,^{1,2} Ryoji Masui,^{1,2}
and Seiki Kuramitsu^{1,2,3}

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

² RIKEN SPring-8 Center, Harima Institute, 1-1-1 Kouto, Sayo-cho, Sayo-gun, Hyogo 679-5148, Japan

³ Graduate School of Frontier Biosciences, Osaka University, 1-3 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Seiki Kuramitsu, kuramitu@bio.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Received 15 June 2010; Accepted 27 July 2010

Academic Editor: Shigenori Iwai

Copyright © 2010 Rihito Morita et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DNA is subjected to many endogenous and exogenous damages. All organisms have developed a complex network of DNA repair mechanisms. A variety of different DNA repair pathways have been reported: direct reversal, base excision repair, nucleotide excision repair, mismatch repair, and recombination repair pathways. Recent studies of the fundamental mechanisms for DNA repair processes have revealed a complexity beyond that initially expected, with inter- and intrapathway complementation as well as functional interactions between proteins involved in repair pathways. In this paper we give a broad overview of the whole DNA repair system and focus on the molecular basis of the repair machineries, particularly in *Thermus thermophilus* HB8.

1. Introduction

It is essential for all living organisms to warrant accurate functioning and propagation of their genetic information. However, the genome is constantly exposed to various environmental and endogenous agents, which produce a large variety of DNA lesions (Figure 1) [1, 2]. Environmental damage can be induced by several chemical reactive species and physical agents. Endogenous damages occur spontaneously and continuously even under normal physiologic conditions through intrinsic instability of chemical bonds in DNA structure. The biological consequences of these damages usually depend on the chemical nature of the lesion. Most of these lesions affect the fidelity of DNA replication, which leads to mutations. Some of human genetic diseases are associated to defects in DNA repair (Table 1).

To cope with these DNA damages, all organisms have developed a complex network of DNA repair mechanisms [1, 3]. A variety of different DNA repair pathways have been reported: direct reversal, base excision repair, nucleotide

excision repair, mismatch repair, and recombination repair pathways. Most of these pathways require functional interactions between multiple proteins. Furthermore, recent studies have revealed inter- and intra-pathway complementation.

Although there are a number of model organisms representing different kingdoms, such as *Escherichia coli*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and *Mus musculus* (Table 1), we selected the bacterial species *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 for use in our studies of basic and essential biological processes. *T. thermophilus* is a Gram-negative eubacterium that can grow at temperatures over 75°C [4]. *T. thermophilus* HB8 was chosen for several reasons: (i) it has a smaller genome size than other model organisms; (ii) proteins from *T. thermophilus* HB8 are very stable suitable for *in vitro* analyses of molecular function; and (iii) the crystallization efficiency of the proteins is higher than for those of other organisms [5]. Moreover, since each biological system in *T. thermophilus* is only constituted of fundamentally necessary enzymes, *in vitro* reconstitution of a particular system should be easier and more understandable.

Our group has constructed overexpression plasmids for most *T. thermophilus* HB8 ORFs [6], and those plasmids are available from The DNA Bank, RIKEN Bioresource Center (Tsukuba, Japan) (http://www.brc.riken.jp/lab/dna/en/thermus_en.html). Approximately 80% of the ORFs have been completely cloned into the overexpression vectors pET-11a, pET-11b, pET-3a, and/or pET-HisTEV. Furthermore, plasmids for gene disruption are also available from the Structural-Biological Whole Cell Project (<http://www.thermus.org/>). Protein purification profiles and gene disruption methods can be downloaded from the RIKEN Bioresource Center. Therefore, it is a relatively simple matter to initiate an analysis of proteins of interest in this species.

T. thermophilus HB8 has all of the fundamental enzymes known to be essential for DNA repair, and most of these show homology to human enzymes. Biological and structural analyses of DNA repair in *T. thermophilus* will therefore provide a better understanding of DNA repair pathways in general. Moreover, these analyses are aided by the high efficiency of protein crystallization and stability of purified proteins in this species. In this paper we give a broad overview of the whole DNA repair system and focus on the molecular basis of the repair machineries, especially in *T. thermophilus* HB8.

2. Direct Reversal of DNA Damage

UV-induced pyrimidine dimers and alkylation adducts can be directly repaired by DNA photolyases and alkyl transferases, respectively. These repair systems are not followed by incision or resynthesis of DNA.

2.1. Photolyases. UV-induced pyrimidine dimers, such as cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs) and (6-4) photo-products, disturb DNA replication and transcription. Some species make use of DNA photolyases to repair these lesions (Figure 2(a)). The FADH⁻ in the photolyase donates an electron to the CPD, which induces the breakage of the cyclobutane bond [7].

CPD photolyases repair UV-induced CPDs utilizing photon energy from blue or near-UV light [8]. To absorb light, CPD photolyases have two different chromophoric cofactors. One of these, FAD, acts as the photochemical reaction center in the repair process. An electron is transferred from an exogenous photoreductor to FAD, which is changed to the fully reduced, active form FADH⁻ [9]. Although only this chromophore is necessary for the reaction, photolyases have a second chromophore as an auxiliary antenna to harvest light energy, which is transferred to the reaction center. The identity of the second chromophore differs among species. To date, reduced folate (5,10-methenyl-tetrahydrofolate, MTHF), 8-hydroxy-5-deazaflavin (8-HDF), FMN, and riboflavin have been identified as secondary chromophores.

A CPD photolyase (ORF ID, TTB102) of *T. thermophilus* (ttPhr) was identified as the first thermostable photolyase in 1997 [10]. The crystal structures of photolyases from *E.*

coli and *Aspergillus nidulans* were reported in 1995 and 1997, respectively [11, 12]. Those of ttPhr and the complex it forms with thymine, a part of its substrate, were reported in 2001 [13]. NMR analysis showed that the CPD is flipped out from the double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) into a cavity in ttPhr [14]. Likewise, the thymine dimer interacts with the active site in the crystal structure of *A. nidulans* photolyase complexed with substrate dsDNA [15]. NMR analysis also showed the distance between FAD and CPD, which is important for understanding the CPD repair reaction by ttPhr [16]. In 2005, an overexpression analysis using *E. coli* identified the second chromophore of ttPhr as FMN [17]. Photolyases usually have a specific binding site for cofactors, but the second chromophore, FMN, of ttPhr shows promiscuous binding with riboflavin or 8-HDF [18].

Placental mammals lack photoreactivation activity, but they do have nucleotide excision repair (NER) systems for repairing CPDs [21]. NER has two sub-pathways: global genomic repair (GGR) and transcription-coupled repair (TCR) [3]. These sub-pathways are versatile repair systems and are highly conserved across species. Thus, the absence of photoreactivation activity would not have a significant effect on DNA repair efficiency in placental mammals. The mechanisms of NER are detailed in the later section. It should be noted that mammals, birds, and plants have photolyase-like proteins, the so-called cryptochromes, which have no ability to repair damaged DNA but function as blue-light photoreceptors [22].

2.2. Reversal of O⁶-Alkylguanine-DNA. O⁶-alkylguanine is one of the most harmful alkylation adducts and can induce mutation and apoptosis [23–25]. Almost all species possess mechanisms to repair this adduct (Figures 2(b) and 2(c)). O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase (AGT) accepts an alkyl group on a cysteine residue at its active site (P_CHR) in a stoichiometric fashion, and this alkylated AGT is inactive (Figure 2(b)) [26–28]. AGT acts as a monomer and transfers the alkyl group from DNA without a cofactor [29–31]. The structure of human AGT, MGMT, indicates that a helix-turn-helix motif mediates binding to the minor groove of DNA and that O⁶-methylguanine (O⁶-meG) is flipped out from the base stack into this active site [32, 33]. Tyrosine and arginine residues in the active site of the enzyme mediate nucleotide flipping.

The cysteine residue in the active site (P_CHR) of AGT is necessary for the methyltransferase activity. Some AGT-like proteins lack cysteine residues in their active sites (P_XHR) [34–40]. Alkyltransferase-like (ATL) proteins are a type of AGT homologue and are present in all three domains of life. ATL proteins from *E. coli*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, and *T. thermophilus* can bind to DNA and show preferential binding to O⁶-meG-containing DNA, but they are unable to transfer a methyl group from the modified DNA [37–39]. This binding activity inhibits AGT activity in a competitive manner [38]. *E. coli* has three AGT homologues, AGT, Ada, and the ATL protein, but *S. pombe* and *T. thermophilus* have only the ATL protein. Therefore, *S. pombe* or *T. thermophilus* are particularly suitable for studies of ATL proteins.

TABLE 1: Distribution of DNA repair genes. *¹Related human diseases are listed by referencing the following databases: KEGG disease (<http://www.genome.jp/kegg/disease/>), GeneCards (<http://www.genecards.org/>), and Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim>). *²Descriptions in the parentheses indicate the subunit organizations of holoenzymes.

Repair pathways	Molecular functions	<i>T. thermophilus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>H. sapiens</i>	Related disease* ¹
<i>Direct reversal</i>								
<i>Photoreactivation</i>								
Alkyltransfer	Alkyltransfer or recognition alkyltransfer	Phr (TTHB102) ATL (TTHA1564)	PhrA Ada, AGT, ATL AlkB	PHR1 MGTI	PhrB	MGMT ALKBH1	MGMT ALKBH2, ALKBH3	
<i>Base excision repair</i>								
Base excision	Remove ring-saturated or fragmented pyrimidines remove 3-meA, ethenoA, hypoxanthine Remove U	EndoIII (TTHA0112) AlkA (TTHA0329) UDGA (TTHA0718) UDGB (TTHA1149)	Nth (EndoIII) AlkA, TagA Ung	Ntg1p, Ntg2p Mag1p Ung1p	AT1G05900 AT3G12040 (MAG) AT3G18630 uracil DNA glycosylase family protein	Nthl1 Mpg Ung	NTHL1 MPG, (MAG, AAG) Ung	Hyper IgM syndromes, autosomal recessive type
	Remove U, hydroxymethyl U Remove U or T opposite G at CpG sequences Remove U, T, or ethenoC opposite G Remove 8-oxoG opposite C Remove A opposite 8-oxoG Remove thymine glycol Remove oxidative products of C, U Not known	MutM (TTHA1806) MutY (TTHA1898)	Fpg (MutM) MutY Nei (EndoVIII)	Ogg1p	OGG1 AT4G12740 (MYH-related)	Ogg1 MUTYH Neil1	OGG1 MUTYH NEIL1 NEIL2 NEIL3	Lung cancer
			Mug			Smug1 Mbd4 Tdg	SMUG1 MBD4 (MED1) TDG	

TABLE 1: Continued.

Repair pathways	Molecular functions	<i>T. thermophilus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>H. sapiens</i>	Related disease*1
Alternative strand incision	Incision 3' of hypoxanthine and uracil	EndoV (TTHA1347)	Nfi (EndoV)		EndoV	EndoV	EndoV	
AP site processing and resynthesis	AP endonuclease	EndoIV (Nfo) (TTHA0834)	Nfo (EndoIV)	Apn1p				
	AP endonuclease		XthA (ExoIII)	Apn2p (Eyh1)	ARP	Apex1	APEX1 (APE1, APEX, HAP1, REF1), APEX2	
	AP endonuclease				AT4G36050	Apex2	Pol β	
	AP endonuclease gap-filling DNA polymerase	PolX (TTHA1150)				Pol β		
	DNA polymerase, 5' flap endonuclease	PolI (TTHA1054)	PolI					
	replication and BER in mitochondrial DNA			Mip1p			Poly	
	NAD-dependent DNA ligase	LigA (TTHA1097)	LigA					
	ATP-dependent DNA ligase				AT1G66730 (ATP-dependent)	Lig3 (ATP-dependent)	LIG3 (ATP-dependent)	
	accessory factor for LIG3 and BER				AT1G80420 (putative XRCC1)	Xrcc1	XRCCI	
	poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase				PARP2	Parp1	PARP1 (ADPRT)	
	ADPRT-like enzyme				APP (Arabidopsis poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase)	Parp2	PARP2 (ADPRTL2)	
<i>Nucleotide excision repair</i>								
DNA binding	Bind damaged DNA in complex with UvrB	UvrA (TTHA1440)	UvrA					

TABLE 1: Continued.

Repair pathways	Molecular functions	<i>T. thermophilus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>H. sapiens</i>	Related disease*1
	Catalyze unwinding in preincision complex	UvrB (TTHA1892)	UvrB					
	Bind disordered DNA as complex			RAD4	RAD4	Xpc	XPC	Xeroderma pigmentosum (XP)
	Bind disordered DNA as complex			RAD23	RAD23	Rad23b (Hr23b)	RAD23B (HR23B)	
	RAD23B paralog					Rad23a (Hr23a)	RAD23A (HHR23A)	
	Bind DNA and proteins in preincision complex			RAD14		Xpa	XPA	XP,
								XP, Cockayne syndrome (CS), Trichothiodystrophy (TTD)
TFIIH subunits	3'-5' DNA helicase TFIIH subunit			SSL2 (RAD25)	XPB2	Xpb (Ercc3)	XPB (ERCC3)	
	5'-3' DNA helicase TFIIH subunit			RAD3	UVH6	Xpd (Ercc2)	ERCC2	XP, CS, TTD
	TFIIH subunit p62			TFB1	AT1G55750	Gtf2h1	GTF2H1	
	TFIIH subunit p44			SSL1	GTF2H2	Gtf2h2	GTF2H2	
	TFIIH subunit p34			TFB4	AT1G18340	Gtf2h3	GTF2H3	
	TFIIH subunit p52			TFB2	AT4G17020	Gtf2h4	GTF2H4	
	TFIIH subunit p8			TFB5	AT1G12400	Gtf2h5	GTF2H5 (TTDA)	TTD
	Kinase subunits of TFIIH			KIN28	CDKD1;3	Cdk7	CDK7	
	Kinase subunits of TFIIH			CCL1	CYCH;1	Ccnh	CCNH	
	TFIIH subunit			TFB3	AT4G30820	Mnat1 (Mat1)	MNAT1 (MAT1)	
Strand incision and excision	3' and 5' incision nuclease	UvrC (TTHA1548)	UvrC					
	3' incision nuclease		Cho					
	3' incision nuclease			RAD2	UVH3	Xpg (Ercc5)	ERCC5	XP, CS
	5' incision nuclease subunits			RAD10	ERCC1	Ercc1	ERCC1	XP
	5' incision nuclease subunits			RAD1	UVH1	Xpf (Ercc4)	ERCC4	XP
Separating two annealed strands	DNA helicase	UvrD (TTHA1427)	UvrD					

TABLE 1: Continued.

Repair pathways	Molecular functions	<i>T. thermophilus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>H. sapiens</i>	Related disease*1
Other factors	Transcription- repair coupling factor	Mfd (TTHA0889)	Mfd		ERCC6	Csb (Ercc6)	CSB (ERCC6)	CS, UV-sensitive syndrome (UVS)
	Cockayne syndrome, needed for TC-NER				AT1G19750	Csa (Ckn1, Ercc8)	CSA (ERCC8)	CS
	Cockayne syndrome, needed for TC-NER				DDb1	Ddb1	DDb1 (XPE)	
	P127 subunit of DDB				DDb2	Ddb2 (Xpe)	DDb2 (XPE)	XP
	P48 subunit of DDB, defective in XP-E				AT5G48120	Mms19	MMS19	
	transcription and NER							
<i>Mismatch repair</i>								
Mismatch recognition	DNA-binding ATPase	MutS (TTHA1324)	MutS	MutSα (MSH2/MSH6) MutSβ (MSH2/MSH3)	MutSα (MSH2/MSH6) MutSβ (MSH2/MSH3)	MutSα (MSH2/MSH6) MutSβ (MSH2/MSH3)	MutSα (MSH2/MSH6) MutSβ (MSH2/MSH3)	Colorectal cancer, Ovarian cancer
Strand incision	Activation of MutL homologue	DNA polymerase III, β subunit (TTHA0001)	—	PCNA	PCNA	PCNA	PCNA	
	Activation of MutL homologue	DNA polymerase III, δ, δ', γ, τ subunits (TTHA0788, 1860, 1952)	—	RFC (RFC1-5)*2	RFC (RFC1-5)*2	RFC (RFC1-5)*2	RFC (RFC1-5)*2	
	Endonuclease ATPase	MutL (TTHA1323)	—	MutLα (MLH1/PMS1) MutLβ (MLH1/MLH2) MutLγ (MLH1/MLH3)	MutLα (MLH1/PMS1) MutLβ (MLH1/PMS1) MutLγ (MLH1/MLH3)	MutLα (MLH1/PMS2) MutLβ (MLH1/PMS1) MutLγ (MLH1/MLH3)	MutLα (MLH1/PMS2) MutLβ (MLH1/PMS1) MutLγ (MLH1/MLH3)	Colorectal cancer, Endometrial cancer, Ovarian cancer
Match making	ATPase	—	MutL	—	—	—	—	
Strand excision	5'-3' exonuclease	RecJ (TTHA1167)	RecJ	—	—	—	—	
	3'-5' exonuclease	ExoI (TTHB178)	ExoI	—	—	—	—	
	5'-3' exonuclease	ExoVII	ExoVII	—	—	—	—	
	3'-5' exonuclease	ExoX	ExoX	—	—	—	—	
	5'-3' exonuclease	SSB (TTHA0244)	SSB	—	AT1G29630	Exo1	EXO1	
	Single-stranded DNA binding protein	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	Single-stranded DNA binding protein complex	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	DNA helicase	UvrD (TTHA1427)	UvrD	RFA (RFA1-3)*2	RPA (RPA1-3)*2	Rpa (Rpa1-3)*2	RPA (RPA1-3)*2	

TABLE 1: Continued.

Repair pathways	Molecular functions	<i>T. thermophilus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>H. sapiens</i>	Related disease*1
<i>Recombination repair</i>								
End resection and recombinase loading	5'-3' exonuclease	RecJ (TTHA1167)	RecJ					
	5'-3' exonuclease			EXO1	AT1G29630	Exo1	EXO1	
	5'-flap endonuclease			DNA2	AT1G08840	Dna2	DNA2	
	RECQ family DNA helicase		RecQ	SGS1	AT1G10930 (RECQ4A)	Blm	BLM	Bloom syndrome
	Endonuclease, interact with MRN complex			SAE2	AT3G52115 (ATGR1)	CtIP (Rbbp8)	CTIP (RBBP8)	
	SMC-like ATPase, complex with SbcD (Mre11)		SbcC	RAD50	AT2G31970 (RAD50)	Rad50	RAD50	Nijmegen breakage syndrome-like disorder
	3'-5' exonuclease, endonuclease, complex with SbcC (Rad50)		SbcD	MRE11	AT5G54260 (MRE11)	Mre11a	MRE11A	Ataxia telangiectasia-like disorder
	Accessory protein for MR complex			XRS2	AT3G02680 (NBS1)	Nbn (Nbs1)	NBN (NBS1)	Nijmegen breakage syndrome
	SMC-like ATPase		RecN					
	Helicase/nuclease complex	RecN (TTHA1525)	RecB					
	Helicase/nuclease complex		RecC					
	Helicase/nuclease complex		RecD					
	5'-3' exonuclease		RecE					
	ssDNA annealing		RecT					
	Single-stranded DNA binding protein	SSB (TTHA0244)	Ssb					
	Single-stranded DNA binding protein complex							
	ATPase, complex with RecR	RecF (TTHA0264)	RecF					
	Recombinase mediator, ssDNA annealing	RecO (TTHA0623)	RecO					
				RFA (RFA1-3)	RPA (RPA1-3)	Rpa (Rpa1-3)	RPA (RPA1-3)	

TABLE 1: Continued.

Repair pathways	Molecular functions	<i>T. thermophilus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>H. sapiens</i>	Related disease*1
	DNA binding, complex with RecF and RecO	RecR (TTHA1600)	RecR					
	Recombinase mediator, ssDNA annealing	Rad52-like (TTHA0081)		RAD52		Rad52	RAD52	Pancreatic cancer, Ovarian cancer, Breast cancer, Fanconi anemia
	Recombinase mediator				AT5G01630 (BRCA2B)	Brca2	BRCA2	Adenocarcinoma, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma
	RAD54 family DNA translocase, recombinase mediator			RAD54	AT3G19210 (ATRrad54)	Rad54l	RAD54L	Colon cancer, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma
	RAD54 family DNA translocase, recombinase mediator			RDH54		Rad54b	RAD54B	Colon cancer, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma
	RAD51-like, recombinase mediator				AT5G64520 (XRCC2)	Xrcc2	XRCC2	Breast cancer
	RAD51-like, recombinase mediator				AT5G57450 (XRCC3)	Xrcc3	XRCC3	Breast cancer, Melanoma
	RAD51-like, recombinase mediator			RAD57	AT2G28560 (RAD51B)	Rad51ll	RAD51LI	Uterine leiomyoma
	RAD51-like, recombinase mediator				AT2G45280 (RAD51C)	Rad51c	RAD51C	Fanconi anemia-like disorder, Breast-Ovarian cancer

TABLE 1: Continued.

Repair pathways	Molecular functions	<i>T. thermophilus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>H. sapiens</i>	Related disease*1
	RAD51-like, recombinase mediator			RAD55	AT1G07745 (RAD51D)	Rad51l3	RAD51L3	
Strand exchange	Recombinase	RecA (TTHA1818)	RecA	RAD51	AT2G19490 (recA) AT5G20850 (ATRAD51)	Rad51	RAD51	
Branch migration	Recombinase							
	Branch migration complex	RuvA (TTHA0291)	RuvA					
	Branch migration complex	RuvB (TTHA0406)	RuvB					
	DNA helicase	RecG (TTHA1266)	RecG		AT2G01440 (RecG)			
	RecA-like ATPase	RadA/Sms (TTHA0541)	RadA/Sms		AT5G50340			
	RAD54 family DNA translocase, recombinase mediator			RAD54	AT3G19210 (ATRad54)	Rad54l	RAD54L	Adenocarcinoma, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma
	RAD54 family DNA translocase, recombinase mediator			RDH54		Rad54b	RAD54B	Colon cancer, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma
	RECQ family DNA helicase		RecQ	SGS1	AT1G10930 (RECQ4A)	Blm	BLM	Bloom syndrome

TABLE 1: Continued.

Repair pathways	Molecular functions	<i>T. thermophilus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>M. musculus</i>	<i>H. sapiens</i>	Related disease*
	RECQ family DNA helicase					Wrn	WRN	Werner syndrome
	RECQ family DNA helicase				AT1G31360 (RECQL2)	Recql	RECQL	
	RECQ family DNA helicase			MPH1	AT1G35530	Fancm	FANCM	Fanconi anemia
Holliday junction resolution	HJ resolvase	RuvC (TTHA1090)	RuvC					
	HJ resolvase		RusA					
	HJ resolvase			YEN1	AT1G01880	Gen1	GEN1	
	Structure-specific endonuclease			MUS81	AT4G30870 (MUS81)	Mus81	MUS81	
	complex with MUS81			MMS4	AT2G22140 (ATEME1B)	Eme1	EME1	Xeroderma pigmentosum
	Structure-specific endonuclease			RAD1	AT5G41150 (UVH1)	Erc4	ERCC4	Cerebro-oculo-facio-skeletal syndrome
	complex with ERCC4 (RAD1)			RAD10	AT3G05210 (ERCC1)	Erc1	ERCC1	
	HJ resolvase			SLX1	AT2G30350	Slx1 (Giyd2)	SLX1 (GIYD2)	
	Accessory protein for structure-specific nucleases			SLX4		Slx4 (Btbd12)	SLX4 (BTBD12)	
Anti-recombination	Recombinase inhibitor	RecX (TTHA0848)	RecX		AT3G13226 (RecX)			
	DNA helicase	UvrD (TTHA1427)	UvrD	SRS2	AT4G25120			
	Structure-specific endonuclease	MutS2 (TTHA1645)			AT1G65070 (MutS2)			




FIGURE 1: Different repair systems for the principal types of DNA lesion produced by a wide range of factors. UV-light induces cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers or (6-4) photoproducts that are repaired by nucleotide excision repair and direct reversal systems. Alkylating agents can modify all of the bases and the phosphates of the DNA, and some repair proteins remove these alkyl adducts in a direct manner. Oxygen radicals modify DNA, and the base excision repair system acts to reverse these changes. The main cause of spontaneous mutation is deamination, and base excision repair and alternative repair systems remove the lesions. Other bulky adducts or interstrand cross-links are repaired by the nucleotide excision repair system. The mismatch repair pathway repairs replication errors. Double-strand breaks and four-way junctions are induced by X-rays and are repaired by recombinational repair.

The tyrosine and arginine residues involved in base flipping are also conserved in ATL proteins. A fluorescence assay of the *T. thermophilus* ATL protein (TTHA1564) suggested that it can also recognize O^6 -meG and flips out the target residue into its active site (Figure 2(c)) [37]. The crystal and NMR structures of ATL proteins indicate that the O^6 -meG residue is flipped out from the base stacks into the active site [34, 40]. Mutational analysis demonstrated that the tyrosine and arginine residues of ATL proteins are also involved in base flipping [34].

A comparison of their 3D structures showed that the lesion-binding pocket of ATL proteins is approximately three times larger than that of AGTs [34, 40]. The *S. pombe* ATL protein (Atl1) can bind to the bulky O^6 -adduct, O^6 -4-(3-pyridyl)-4-oxobutylguanine (O^6 -pobG), with higher affinity than to O^6 -meG [34]. Additionally, AGT repairs O^6 -pobG with lower efficiency than O^6 -meG. In species that have both

AGT and ATL protein, for example, *E. coli*, it is possible that AGT repairs O^6 -meG while the ATL protein is involved in the repair of bulky O^6 -adducts such as O^6 -pobG.

It is known that the action of ATL proteins is linked with the NER pathway (Figure 2(c)) [34, 36, 37, 40]. The ATL protein of *T. thermophilus*, TTHA1564, can interact with UvrA, while that of *E. coli* can interact with UvrA and UvrC [36, 37, 40]. MNNG caused an increased mutation frequency in the *tth1564*-deficient mutant compared with the wild type (unpublished data). Genetic analysis of *S. pombe* Atl1 showed that *atl1* is epistatic to *rad13* (the fission yeast orthologue of human ERCC5) and *swi10* (the ERCC1 orthologue) but not to *rhp14* or *rad2* for *N*-methyl-*N'*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG) toxicity [40]. Analyses of the spontaneous mutation rate of *rad13* and *rad13 atl* mutants suggested that ATL-DNA complexes block an alternative repair pathway probably because ATL proteins form a highly




FIGURE 2: A schematic representation of models for direct reversal of DNA damage. The structure of the ATL proteins was modeled by SWISS-MODEL (the template structure is *Sulfolobus tokodaii* Ogt) [19, 20]. AGT, Ada, and AlkB are not conserved in *T. thermophilus*. (a) Cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers are recognized by photolyase (TTHB102; PDB ID: 1IQR) and repaired by photolyase. (b) O^6 -methylguanines are recognized by AGT (PDB ID: 1EH6) in most species and by the C-terminal domain of Ada (PDB ID: 1SFE) in *E. coli*. Methyl phosphotriesters are recognized by the N-terminal domain of Ada (PDB ID: 1WPK) in *E. coli*. These enzymes directly accept a methyl group, and the alkyl adducts are removed from the DNA. (c) O^6 -alkyl adducts including O^6 -methylguanines are recognized by ATL proteins (TTHA1564; predicted model) in several species. It is predicted that NER proteins are involved in this pathway after recognition of the adducts by ATL proteins. (d) N^1 -methyladenines and N^3 -methylcytosines are recognized by AlkB (PDB ID: 2IUW). Methyl group transfer by AlkB depends on α -ketoglutarate and Fe(II).

stable complex with DNA in the absence of Rad13 or other NER proteins [40]. However, the mechanism by which ATL proteins repair lesions in collaboration with NER proteins is not well understood.

The protein Ada repairs alkylated lesions in the same manner as AGTs in *E. coli* (Figure 2(b)) [27]. The amino acid sequence and the molecular function of the C-terminal domain of Ada (C-Ada) show similarity to those of AGTs. The N-terminal domain of Ada (N-Ada) can repair a methyl phosphotriester lesion in DNA *in vitro* [44]. Methylated N-Ada specifically binds to the promoter region of the *ada-alkB* operon and the *alkA* and *aidB* genes and C-Ada can bind to RNA polymerase [45, 46]. Thus, the methylated Ada acts as a transcriptional activator.

2.3. AlkB. AlkB homologues are conserved in many organisms including humans and *E. coli*. As described above, *alkB* is one of the genes regulated by Ada. AlkB requires α -ketoglutarate and Fe(II) as cofactors to repair N^1 -methyladenine or N^3 -methylcytosine via an oxidative demethylation mechanism [46]. These properties are consistent with the fact that AlkB has sequence motifs in common with 2-oxoglutarate and iron-dependent dioxygenases (Figure 2(d)) [47]. AlkB oxidizes the methyl group using nonheme Fe^{2+} , O_2 , and α -ketoglutarate to restore undamaged bases with subsequent release of succinate, CO_2 , and formaldehyde. The detailed mechanisms of substrate recognition and catalysis were identified by structural and mutational analyses.

Eight AlkB homologues are known in humans, [48] and, of these, ALKBH1, ALKBH2, and ALKBH3 have been identified as repair enzymes, each of which has a different substrate specificity [49, 50]. *E. coli* AlkB can repair a lesion in both single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) and dsDNA, whereas ALKBH3 repairs lesions only in ssDNA. ALKBH1 and ALKBH2 can act only on DNA whereas *E. coli* AlkB and ALKBH3 can act on both DNA and RNA [51]. The crystal structures of AlkB-dsDNA and ALKBH2-dsDNA complexes explain distinct preferences of AlkB homologues for substrates [51]. Cell cycle-dependent subcellular localization experiments suggested that ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 repair mainly newly synthesized DNA and mRNA, respectively, and withhold demethylation of modified rRNA or tRNA.

3. Base Excision Repair

DNA is altered and damaged by various endogenous and exogenous reactions [52]. With regard to endogenous reactions, deamination of cytosine, adenine and guanine produce uracil, hypoxanthine, and xanthine, respectively. Depurination and depyrimidination result in the formation of an apurinic/apyrimidinic site (AP site). Reactive oxygen species (ROs) convert guanine to 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine (8-oxoguanine, 8-oxoG, or its isomeric form 8-hydroxyguanine) and purine bases to 2,6-diamino-4-hydroxy-5-formamidopyrimidine (FaPyG) and 4,6-diamino-5-formamidopyrimidine (FaPyA). Thymine glycol, cytosine hydrates, and etheno adducts of adenine, cytosine,

and guanine are also generated as a result of oxygen damage. DNA replication errors also introduce lesions into the DNA. For example, DNA polymerases sometimes incorporate mismatched bases or damaged nucleotides (such as dUMP and 8-oxo-dGMP) [53–55]. With regard to exogenous reactions, DNA is susceptible to damage by agents such as UV radiation and alkylating compounds. The lesions caused by endogenous and exogenous reactive species can be repaired through the base excision repair (BER) pathway described below.

3.1. General Mechanism of BER. BER is probably the most frequently used DNA repair pathway in the cell (Figure 3, Table 1) [56, 57]. Bases damaged as described above are specifically recognized by various DNA glycosylases to initiate BER [58]. Monofunctional DNA glycosylases catalyze the hydrolysis of N-glycosyl bonds and generate an AP site. Bi- and trifunctional DNA glycosylases have AP lyase activity via a β - or β/δ -elimination mechanism using an ϵ amino group of a lysine residue or α -imino group in addition to DNA glycosylase activity [59]. However, it is still unclear whether this lyase activity is the primary *in vivo* mechanism. AP sites are targeted by both AP endonuclease and AP lyase. AP endonuclease nicks an AP site through a hydrolytic reaction to generate a 3'-OH and 5'-deoxyribosephosphate (dRP) [60–62]. This 5' block is removed by deoxyribophosphodiesterase (dRPase) or dRP lyase using hydrolytic or lyase (β -elimination) mechanisms, respectively [63–65]. When the AP lyase incises an AP site, it produces 3'- α,β -unsaturated aldehyde (by β -elimination) or 3'-phosphate (by β/δ -elimination) and 5'-phosphate [66]. These 3'-blocking groups must be removed by 3'-phosphoesterase to allow DNA polymerase activity. A one-nucleotide gap typically remains after AP site processing. When repair synthesis is performed by incorporation of a single nucleotide, this pathway is called single nucleotide-BER (SN-BER) [67]. Some DNA polymerases can synthesize DNA of more than 2 bases by strand displacement activity, followed by cleaving flap DNA via flap endonuclease activity. This pathway is called long-patch BER (LP-BER) [67]. In both pathways, the resulting nick is sealed by DNA ligase.

3.2. BER in *T. thermophilus*. The *T. thermophilus* HB8 genome contains the genes for all the fundamental BER enzymes. The genome includes the following monofunctional DNA glycosylases: 3-methyl-adenine DNA glycosylase, TTHA0329 (ttAlkA); uracil DNA glycosylase A, TTHA0718 (ttUDGA); uracil DNA glycosylase B, TTHA1149 (ttUDGB). It also includes the following bifunctional DNA glycosylases: endonuclease III (Nth), TTHA0112 (ttEndoIII); adenine DNA glycosylase, TTHA1898 (ttMutY); formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosylase, TTHA1806 (ttMutM). AP endonucleases are classified on the basis of their structure as members of either the exonuclease III family or the endonuclease IV (Nfo) family. The only AP endonuclease in *T. thermophilus* is the EndoIV, TTHA0834 (ttEndoIV); a similar restriction occurs in other bacterial and archaeal species. *T. thermophilus* has been found to have two DNA polymerases, TTHA1054




FIGURE 3: General mechanism of the BER pathway in *T. thermophilus*. UDGA, UDGB, and Alka are monofunctional DNA glycosylases. UDGA (PDB ID: 1UII0) and UDGB (PDB ID: 2DDG) remove uracil from DNA. Alka removes 3-methyladenine in *E. coli*. MutY and EndoIII are bifunctional DNA glycosylases and have both DNA glycosylase and AP lyase activities. MutY removes adenine opposite 8-oxoG, and EndoIII removes pyrimidine residues damaged by ring saturation, fragmentation, and contraction [41], by which 3'-phospho α,β -unsaturated aldehyde (3'-PUA) remains. MutM (PDB ID: 1EE8) is a trifunctional DNA glycosylase that removes 8-oxoG from oxidatively damaged DNA and 3'-phosphate remains. An AP site resulting from DNA glycosylase activity is processed by EndoIV or multifunctional DNA glycosylases. EndoIV has both AP endonuclease activity and 3'-esterase activity in *E. coli* [42, 43]. PolX or MutM removes 5'-dRP by dRP lyase activity. In addition, 5'-3' exonuclease (RecJ) may have dRPase activity. The resulting gap is filled by PolI or PolX followed by sealing of the nick by LigA. The structures of Alka, EndoIII, MutY, PolI, PolX, and LigA were obtained using SWISS-MODEL [19, 20] (PDB ID: 2H56, 2ABK, 3FSP, 1TAU, 2W9M, and 1V9P, resp.) based on amino acids sequences of *T. thermophilus* HB8.

(ttPolI) and TTHA1150 (ttPolX), and an NAD⁺-dependent DNA ligase, TTHA1097 (ttLigA). The crystal structures of ttUDGA [68], ttUDGB [69], ttMutM [70], and ttEndoIV (unpublished data) have been determined.

Uracil-DNA glycosylases (Ungs or UDGs) remove uracil from DNA by cleaving the N-glycosylic bond. These enzymes are classified into several families on the basis of similarities in their amino acid sequences [71, 72]. *T. thermophilus* HB8 has two Ungs that belong to families 4 (ttUDGA) and 5 (ttUDGB). ttUDGA removes uracil from not only U:G but also U:C, U:A, and U:T and can also remove uracil from ssDNA. Moreover, the crystal structure of ttUDGA with uracil indicates that the mechanism by which family 4 Ungs remove uracils from DNA is similar to that of family 1 enzymes [68]. The crystal structures of apo-form ttUDGB and ttUDGB complexed with AP site containing DNA have been solved [69]. The active site structures suggest that this enzyme uses both steric force and water activation for its

excision reaction. Based on the absence of a significant open-closed conformational change upon binding to DNA, it was proposed that Ungs recognize the damaged base by sliding along the target-containing strand [69].

MutM is a trifunctional DNA glycosylase which removes 8-oxoG from oxidatively damaged DNA [73]. ttMutM was cloned, characterized, and crystallized. Based on crystal structure and biochemical experiments of ttMutM, DNA-binding mode and catalytic mechanism of MutM were proposed [70].

In mammalian cells, SN-BER is the principal BER sub-pathway and is catalyzed mainly by Pol β [74, 75]. Nevertheless, LP-BER also occurs *in vivo* [76]. The selection of which sub-pathway to use is dependent on the nature of the damaged base, the 5'-blocking structure, and the enzymes involved [74, 77–82]. Bacteria have both SN- and LP-BER pathways [83]. Bacterial PolIs, including ttPolI, have strand displacement [84] and flap endonuclease-like

activities (structure-specific 5'-nuclease activity) [85–89]. Therefore, PolI is probably the main DNA polymerase in bacterial LP-BER. Furthermore, the fact that the β -clamp, the β subunit of DNA polymerase III holoenzyme, interacts with some DNA repair enzymes, such as PolI and LigA [90], indicates that it is possibly involved in bacterial LP-BER in a similar manner to mammalian PCNA clamp [77].

Many bacteria have PolX, which belongs to the X-family DNA polymerases; the mammalian homologues of this enzyme are Pol β , Pol λ , Pol μ , TdT, and Pol σ [91]. PolXs can efficiently fill a short DNA gap in mammals [79, 92] and bacteria [93] and are therefore thought to be the main DNA polymerases in the SN-BER pathway [74, 75, 94]. Although PolX is conserved in many bacteria, including *T. thermophilus*, *E. coli* does not have this enzyme. Therefore, *T. thermophilus* has an advantage as a model organism in understanding human and bacterial BER. ttPolX has two principal active regions, the N-terminal POLX core (POLXc) domain and the C-terminal polymerase and histidinol phosphatase (PHP) domain. These domains are conserved in many bacteria, but eukaryotic PolXs lack the PHP domain. Furthermore, it is thought that only PHP domain-containing PolXs have 3'-5' exonuclease activity [95, 96]. The PHP domain has nine catalytic residues and is mainly responsible for the nuclease activity; however, the POLXc domain is also needed for this activity [97]. Although the PHP domain is thought to have a phosphoesterase activity, details of the function of the PHP domain remain to be clarified. Bacterial PolXs may play more than two roles in the BER pathway whereas these functions might be performed in eukaryotes by two or more separate enzymes. Identifying the role of the PHP domain of bacterial PolXs in BER will be important for understanding both bacterial and eukaryotic BERs.

3.3. Eukaryotic-Specific BER Enzymes. Eukaryotes have many functional homologues of bacterial BER enzymes, and the mechanism of BER is similar to that of prokaryotes. However, eukaryotes also have specific BER enzymes. To date, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) and X-ray cross-complementing group 1 (XRCC1) have been identified as eukaryotic-specific enzymes. PARP1 uses NAD to add branched ADP-ribose chains to proteins. PARP1 functions as a DNA nick-sensor in DNA repair and as a negative regulator of the activity of Pol β in LP-BER [98]. XRCC1 interacts with DNA ligase III and PARP through its two BRCT domains and with Pol β through an N-terminal domain. XRCC1 also interacts with many other proteins and forms a large DNA repair complex [99, 100].

4. Nucleotide Excision Repair

Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is one of the most important repair systems and is conserved from prokaryotes to higher eukaryotes [101, 102]. The most important feature of the NER system is its broad substrate specificity: NER can excise DNA lesions such as UV-induced pyrimidine dimers or more bulky adducts [103].

In the prokaryotic NER system, recognition and excision of DNA lesions are mediated by UvrABC excinucleases (Figure 4) [101, 102]. After the incision event, UvrD helicase removes the nucleotide fragment, PolI synthesizes the complementary strand, and then DNA ligase completes the repair process. NER has two sub-pathways, global genomic repair (GGR) and transcription-coupled repair (TCR) [104, 105]. In GGR, recognition of DNA lesions by UvrAB initiates the initiation of the repair reaction, whereas, in TCR, stalling of the RNA polymerase is responsible for the initiation of repair [106]. When a transcribing RNA polymerase meets a bulky DNA lesion, the polymerase stalls. Transcription-repair coupling factor (TRCF) releases the stalled RNA polymerase from the template DNA and then recruits UvrA. After UvrA has bound to the DNA, the subsequent reactions proceed in the same fashion as in GGR.

Most eukaryote species, including humans, possess an NER system. The amino acid sequences of the proteins that act in eukaryotic NER are very different from those of bacterial proteins, but the functions of these proteins are nevertheless similar [101]. The molecular mechanism of NER is more complicated in eukaryotes than bacteria. The eukaryotic NER pathway involves more than ten proteins, including some that are functional homologues of those required for bacterial NER [107].

4.1. Global Genomic Repair (GGR). Bacterial GGR is a multistep process that removes a wide variety of DNA lesions. In solution, UvrA and UvrB form UvrA₂B or UvrA₂B₂ that can recognize lesions in DNA and can make a stable complex with the DNA [108, 109]. When UvrB detects a lesion, it hydrolyzes ATP to form the pro-preincision complex. After UvrA is released, UvrB binds tightly to DNA and makes a stable UvrB-DNA complex, that is, a pre-incision complex. In this state, UvrB hydrolyzes ATP and can then specifically recognize damage in the absence of UvrA [110]. In *E. coli*, UvrB can hydrolyze ATP in this step with UvrA but not without UvrA [111]. In *T. thermophilus* HB8, the UvrB protein (ttUvrB; TTHA1892) shows ATPase activity at its physiological temperature even in the absence of UvrA (ttUvrA; TTHA1440) [112, 113]. Finally, a new pre-incision complex is formed by binding new ATP [110]. UvrC can bind to the pre-incision complex to incise both sides of a DNA lesion. The first incision is made at the fourth or fifth phosphodiester bond on the 3' side of the lesion and is immediately followed by incision at the eighth phosphodiester bond on the 5' side [114, 115]. The catalytic sites for 3' and 5' incisions are located in different domains of UvrC. It has been reported that the expression levels of *uvrA* and *uvrB* are approximately three times higher than that of *uvrC* (*ttha1548*) in *T. thermophilus* [116].

UvrD is a DNA helicase that releases lesion-containing DNA fragments from dsDNA. The purification and characterization of UvrD from *T. thermophilus* (ttUvrD; TTHA1427) have been reported [117]. After removing the nucleotide fragment, PolI synthesizes a new strand with the same sequence as the removed nucleotide fragment. The




FIGURE 4: A schematic representation of models for the nucleotide excision repair pathway controlled by Uvr proteins. All of the predicted protein structures were modeled using SWISS-MODEL. The template structures used in the model building were *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* UvrA, the N- and C-terminal domain of *Thermotoga maritime* UvrC, *G. stearothermophilus* UvrD, *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase I, *Thermus filiformis* DNA ligase, and *E. coli* TRCF. UvrA (TTHA1440; predicted model) and UvrB (TTHA1892; PDB ID: 1D2M) recognize the DNA lesion. In transcribing strand, TRCF (TTHA0889; predicted model) is also involved in recognition of the lesion. UvrC (TTHA1568; predicted model) incises both sides of the lesion. The DNA fragment containing the lesion is excised by UvrD (TTHA1427; predicted model), SSB (TTHA0244; 2CWA), and exonuclease RecJ (TTHA1167; PDB ID: 2ZXO). A new strand is resynthesized by DNA polymerase I (TTHA1054; predicted model) and ligated by DNA ligase (TTHA1097; predicted model).

newly synthesized sequence is ligated to the adjacent strand by DNA ligase, and all of the repair steps are completed.

4.2. Transcription-Coupled Repair (TCR). Bacterial TCR is a highly efficient NER system. In 1985, it became apparent that the DNA lesion in the transcribed strand is preferentially repaired [118]. The first consequence of this mechanism is that a stalled RNA polymerase interacts with UvrA with high affinity. Interestingly, however, a stalled RNA polymerase interrupts the NER repair system *in vitro* [119]. Hence, it was suspected that an unknown factor must release the stalled RNA polymerase and recruit NER proteins. Selby et al. showed in *E. coli* that the gene product (transcription-repair coupling factor, TRCF) of the *mfd* gene is the factor involved [106, 120].

TRCF can release a stalled elongation complex but not an initiation complex [106]. The activity for releasing an elongation complex is dependent on ATP hydrolysis. After the complex is released, TRCF can recruit UvrA to the DNA lesion. TRCF has a UvrB homology module, which interacts with UvrA [106, 121]. After recruiting UvrA to the DNA lesion, the subsequent reactions are the same as in GGR. UvrB and DNA form a pre-incision complex, and then UvrC incises both sides of the DNA strand.

The broad substrate specificity of TCR is similar to that of GGR, but TCR repairs lesions with a higher efficiency [106]. In TCR, UvrA can be more rapidly directed to the DNA lesion because the stalled RNA polymerase and TRCF mediate binding of UvrA, whereas, in GGR, UvrA needs to search for DNA lesions across the whole genome without the aid of cofactors. An increased efficiency in finding the substrate also increases the efficiency of the repair system.

4.3. Crystal Structures and Functions of Key Enzymes. The overall crystal structures of UvrA, UvrB, and TRCF and the two domains of UvrC were determined some years ago [122–128]. In 1999, UvrB was the first of the proteins involved in NER to have its crystal structure established [124, 125, 127]. Later, in 2006, the 3D structure of the UvrB-DNA complex was reported [129]. It was suggested by limited proteolysis that ttUvrB is comprised of four domains, whereas analysis of the 3D structure identified five domains, 1a, 1b, 2, 3, and 4 (Figure 5(a)) [125, 130]. Domain 2 interacts with UvrA, and domain 4 interacts with both UvrA and UvrC. Domains 1a and 3 contain helicase motifs and share high structural similarity to the DNA helicases NS3, PcrA, and Rep. The flexible β -hairpin-connecting domains 1a and 1b are predicted to play important roles in DNA binding.




FIGURE 5: The domain architectures of UvrB, UvrA, and TRCF. (a) UvrB is comprised of five domains. Domains 1a (yellow) and 3 (red) contain helicase motifs. Domain 1b (green) has the flexible β -hairpin involved in substrate recognition. Domain 2 (blue) interacts with UvrA. Domain 4 is disordered in the crystal structures. (b) UvrA is comprised of six domains: ATP-binding I (red), ATP-binding II (blue), signature I (pink), signature II (cyan), UvrB-binding (yellow), and insertion (green) domain. The white region is the other subunit of the dimer. (c) TRCF is comprised of seven domains. Domains 1 and 2 (not separated in the figure) comprise UvrB homology module (blue). Domains 5 (yellow) and 6 (green) comprise DNA translocation module. Relay helix (yellow) interacts with domain 4 (pink), RNA polymerase interaction domain (RID). The functions of domain 3 (orange) and domain 7 (red) are unclear.

The structure of the UvrB-DNA complex shows that the nucleotide directly behind the β -hairpin is flipped out and inserted into a small pocket in UvrB [129].

The crystal structures of the N-terminal and C-terminal domains of UvrC were reported in 2005 and 2007, respectively, but the 3D structure of the interdomain loop and of full-length UvrC is still unclear [123, 128]. The N-terminal domain of UvrC catalyzes the 3' incision reaction and shares homology with the catalytic domain of GIY-YIG family endonucleases. The C-terminal domain of UvrC is responsible for the 5' incision [123]. It includes an endonuclease domain and an (HhH)₂ domain. Despite the lack of sequence homology, the endonuclease domain has an RNase H-like fold. We established the methods of purification of UvrC from *T. thermophilus* (ttUvrC; TTHA1568), and Hori et al. developed an *in vitro* reconstitution system of NER using purified ttUvrA, ttUvrB, and ttUvrC [131]. The ttUvrABC system can recognize a (6-4) thymine dimer and

excise the affected strand; however, it does not excise a strand containing 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanine or 2-hydroxy-2'-deoxyadenine [131].

The overall structure of UvrA was reported in 2008 [126]. UvrA is comprised of six domains: ATP-binding I, signature I, ATP-binding II, signature II, UvrB-binding, and insertion domains (Figure 5(b)). UvrA has two ATPase modules: one is divided into an ATP-binding domain I and a signature domain I, the other is divided into an ATP-binding domain II and a signature domain II. UvrA contains three zinc ions. It has been reported that ttUvrA and ttUvrB can recognize bulky adducts, such as tetramethylrhodamine and tetramethylrhodamine ethyl ester, and (6-4) pyrimidine dimer [113, 131]. Furthermore, it has been shown that ttUvrA can interact with the ATL protein, but the physiological significance of this interaction remains unclear [37].

The overall structure of TRCF was reported in 2006 [122]. Domains 1a, 2, and 1b comprise a UvrB homology

module, which interacts with UvrA (Figure 5(c)). Domains 5 and 6 comprise a DNA translocation module. Domain 4 is an RNA polymerase interaction domain (RID). The RID and the DNA translocation modules are linked by a long helix called the relay helix. The functions of domains 3 and 7 are unclear. The *mfd* gene from *T. thermophilus* (the gene product name is ttTRCF; TTHA0889) is listed in the genome annotation but no formal report has yet been published.

The 3D structures of these proteins show that they all contain several enzymatic domains. The NER pathways involve multi-step processes; therefore, almost all the proteins can interact in order to advance the process to the next repair step. TRCF has a UvrA-binding domain whose amino acid sequence and 3D structure are similar to those of the UvrB domain 2 [122]. Therefore, it might be expected that TRCF would bind to UvrA in the same manner as UvrB. The mechanisms of interaction of TRCF with UvrA and other proteins, such as the ATL protein, are not yet well defined.

5. Mismatch Repair

The DNA mismatch repair (MMR) machinery recognizes and corrects mismatched or unpaired bases that principally result from errors by DNA polymerases during DNA replication. MMR increases the accuracy of DNA replication by at least 3 orders of magnitude [132]. Mutations in the genes involved in MMR are associated with increased predisposition to human hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancers [133]. Postreplication MMR is achieved by removal of a relatively long tract of mismatch-containing oligonucleotides, a process called long-patch MMR. Here, we refer to long-patch MMR simply as MMR.

5.1. Methyl-Directed MMR in *E. coli*. In *E. coli*, the first steps in MMR are performed by the MutHLS system, which consists of three proteins, MutS, MutL, and MutH (Figure 6(a)) [134, 135]. In this system, a MutS homodimer recognizes and attaches to a mismatched base in the dsDNA [136–138]. A MutL homodimer then interacts with and stabilizes the MutS-mismatch complex and activates a MutH restriction endonuclease [139]. The MMR system needs to discriminate the newly synthesized DNA strand in order to remove the incorrect base of the mismatched pair. However the mismatch itself contains no signal for such discrimination. The *E. coli* MMR system utilizes the absence of methylation at a restriction site to direct repair to the newly synthesized strand [135]. Immediately after replication, the restriction sites in the newly synthesized strand remain unmethylated. At the site of a mismatch, the MutH endonuclease nicks the unmethylated strand at a hemimethylated GATC site to introduce an entry point for the excision reaction. The error-containing region is excised by a DNA helicase [140] and an ssDNA-specific exonuclease [141–143]. The excised tract of oligonucleotides is then replaced by DNA synthesis directed by DNA polymerase III and a ligase. Since the absence or presence of methylation provides the signal for strand discrimination, *E. coli* MMR is termed methyl-directed MMR [135]. Homologues of *E. coli* MutS and MutL

are found in almost all organisms; however, no homologue of *E. coli* MutH has been identified in the majority of eukaryotes or most bacteria.

5.2. Nick-Directed MMR in Eukaryotes. In eukaryotes, it has been demonstrated that strand discontinuity serves as a signal for directing MMR to a particular strand of the mismatched duplex *in vitro*. In living cells, newly synthesized strands contain discontinuities as 3'-ends or termini of Okazaki fragments. Since the presence or absence of a nick can be a strand discrimination signal, eukaryotic MMR is termed nick-directed MMR. It has also been reported that the shorter path from a nick to the mismatch is removed by the excision reaction, indicating that 5'- and 3'-nick-directed MMR are distinct [144–147]. Surprisingly, both 5'- and 3'-nick-directed strand removal requires the 5'-3' exonuclease activity of exonuclease 1 (EXO1) [148, 149]. This apparently contradictory requirement for 5'-3' exonuclease activity in 3'-nick-directed MMR was explained by the breakthrough discovery that the human MutL homologue MutL α (MLH1-PMS2 heterodimer) and the yeast homologue MutL α (MLH1-PMS1 heterodimer) harbor latent endonuclease activity, which nicks the discontinuous strand of the mismatched duplex [147, 150, 151]. In eukaryotic 5'-nick-directed and 3'-nick-directed MMR, MutL α incises the 3'- and 5'- sides of a mismatch, respectively, to yield a DNA segment spanning the mismatch. Then, the 5'-3' exonuclease activity of EXO1 removes the segment.

5.3. MMR in *mutH*-Less Bacteria. The DQHA(X)₂E(X)₄ motif in the C-terminal domain of the PMS2 subunit of human MutL α comprises the metal-binding site, which is essential for endonuclease activity [150]. In *mutH*-less bacteria, the C-terminal domains of MutL homologues contain this metal-binding motif and exhibit endonuclease activity [150, 152]; moreover, in *T. thermophilus*, *Aquifex aeolicus*, and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, this activity is abolished by mutations in the motif [152–154]. The endonuclease activity of *T. thermophilus* MutL has been shown to be essential for *in vivo* DNA repair activity [152]. Thus, the molecular mechanism of MMR in *mutH*-less bacteria appears to resemble that of eukaryotic MMR (Figure 6(b)).

MutS homologues from *mutH*-less bacteria show fundamentally similar properties to *E. coli* MutS and eukaryotic MutS α . First, *T. thermophilus* MutS exhibits a high affinity for mismatched heteroduplexes [138, 155], and the mismatch-MutS complex seems to be stabilized by MutL [152]. Second, similar ATP binding-dependent conformational changes have been observed in MutS homologues from *T. thermophilus* [156], *E. coli* [157, 158], and humans [159, 160]. Third, the crystal structures of *Thermus aquaticus* MutS [137], *E. coli* MutS [136, 161], and human MutS α [162] exhibit a common mismatch recognition mode in which the mismatched base is recognized by the intercalated phenylalanine residue from one of the two subunits. Finally, *T. thermophilus mutS* gene complements the hypermutability of the *E. coli mutS*-deleted null mutant [138]. These results indicate that interspecies variations in MMR machinery may




FIGURE 6: A schematic representation of models for MMR pathways in *E. coli* and *mutH*-less bacteria. (a) 5'- and 3'-methyl-directed MMR in *E. coli*. DNA mismatches principally result from misincorporation of bases during DNA replication. The MutS (PDB ID: 1E3M)/MutL (PDB ID: 1NHJ) complex recognizes a mismatch and activates the MutH endonuclease (PDB ID: 1AZO). MutH nicks the unmethylated strand of the duplex to introduce an entry point for the excision reaction. In 3'-methyl-directed MMR, one of the 5'-3' exonucleases (RecJ and exonuclease VII (ExoVII)) removes the error-containing DNA strand in cooperation with UvrD helicase (PDB ID: 2IS4) and single-stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB; PDB ID: 1EYG). By contrast, one of the 3'-5' exonucleases (exonuclease I (ExoI; PDB ID: 1FXX) and exonuclease X (ExoX)) is responsible for the 3'-5' excision reaction. DNA polymerase III (PDB ID: 2HWO) synthesizes a new strand to complete the repair. (b) A predicted model for 5'- and 3'-nick-directed MMR in *T. thermophilus*. After recognition of a mismatch by MutS (TTHA1324), MutL (TTHA1323) incises the discontinuous strand of the mismatched duplex to direct the excision reaction to the newly synthesized strand. The error-containing DNA segment is excised by UvrD helicase (TTHA1427), SSB (TTHA0244), and an exonuclease (either RecJ (TTHA1167; PDB ID: 2ZZR) or ExoI (TTHB178)) followed by the resynthesis of a new strand by DNA polymerase III (TTHA0180) and DNA ligase (TTHA1097). The modeled structures of *T. thermophilus* MutS, MutL (amino acid residues 1–316), ExoI, DNA polymerase III α subunit, DNA ligase, and *E. coli* RecJ were modeled using SWISS-MODEL. The template structures used for model building were *E. coli* MutS, the N-terminal domain of MutL, ExoI, UvrD, DNA polymerase III α subunit, DNA ligase, and *T. thermophilus* RecJ.

principally derive from differences in the functions of the MutL homologues.

The biochemical properties of MutL endonucleases have been studied using MutL homologues from *mutH*-less thermophilic bacteria such as *T. thermophilus* and *A. aeolicus*. The endonuclease activity of *T. thermophilus* MutL is suppressed by the binding of ATP [152]. MutL homologues belong to the GHKL ATPase superfamily that also includes homologues of DNA gyrase, Hsp90, and histidine kinase [163]. GHKL superfamily proteins undergo large conformational changes upon ATP binding and/or hydrolysis. Such conformational changes are expected to affect the molecular functions of the MutL homologues [164, 165]. The endonuclease activities of MutL homologues exhibit no sequence or structure specificity [150, 152]; hence, it is thought that living cells

may have mechanisms for regulating these activities. Cells may employ ATP binding-induced suppression of MutL endonuclease activity in order to ensure mismatch-specific incision. It has also been suggested that the ATP binding form of *T. thermophilus* MutL preferably interacts with a MutS-mismatch complex [152]. Since the ATPase activity of MutL is activated by interaction with MutS, it could be speculated that the ATP binding-dependent suppression of the endonuclease activity of MutL is canceled by the interaction with a MutS-mismatch complex. Recently, it was reported that the endonuclease activity of *A. aeolicus* MutL in response to ATP depends on the concentration of the protein and that when *A. aeolicus* MutL is present at relatively high concentrations activity is stimulated, not suppressed, by ATP [154]. This result indicates that ATP is required not

only for suppression but also for active enhancement of the endonuclease activity of MutL.

5.4. Strand Discrimination in Nick-Directed MMR. As mentioned above, a pre-existing strand break serves as a signal to direct the excision reaction in eukaryotic nick-directed MMR [146, 150]. Since daughter strands always contain 3'- or 5'-termini during replication, these ends may act as strand discrimination signals *in vivo*. In eukaryotic nick-directed MMR, MutL α is responsible for strand discrimination by incising the discontinuous strand [150]. Interestingly, MutL α has been found to incise the discontinuous strand at a distal site from the pre-existing strand break. It remains to be elucidated how MutL α discriminates the discontinuous strand of the duplex at a site far removed from the strand discrimination signal. One possible explanation may lie in the association of MutS and MutL homologues with replication machinery. MSH6 and MSH3 subunits contain a PCNA-interacting motif [166], and this interaction between MutS α and PCNA is now well characterized [167]. Furthermore, both PCNA and replication factor C (RFC) are required for stimulation of the latent endonuclease activity of MutL α in eukaryotic MMR [150]. These results suggest that MutS α (or MutS β) and MutL α are loaded onto the substrate DNA through an interaction with PCNA in the presence of RFC to produce binding to the newly synthesized strand in the catalytic site of the MutL α endonuclease domain [168–170]. In *mutH*-less bacteria, it has been also demonstrated that mismatch-provoked localization of MutS and MutL is controlled through an association with β -clamp, a bacterial counterpart of eukaryotic PCNA [171]. These interactions may also be responsible for strand discrimination in bacterial nick-directed MMR.

5.5. Downstream Events in Nick-Directed MMR. EXO1 is responsible for the excision reaction in eukaryotic MMR *in vitro*. To date, EXO1 is the only ssDNA-specific exonuclease that has been reported to be involved in the reaction [150, 172]. In addition, no MMR-related eukaryotic DNA helicase has yet been identified. The exonuclease activity of eukaryotic EXO1 is enhanced by a direct interaction with MutS α in a mismatch- and ATP-dependent manner [173]. MutS α is known to form a sliding clamp that diffuses along the DNA after mismatch recognition. The diffusion of MutS α from the mismatch may be required for the activation of EXO1 at the 5'-terminus of the error-containing DNA segments. In contrast to eukaryotes, the MutL of *A. aeolicus* stimulates DNA helicase activity in UvrD, an enzyme that shows high conservation of amino acid sequence among bacteria [174]. Furthermore, in *T. thermophilus*, genetic analyses have indicated that 5'-3' exonuclease RecJ and 3'-5' exonuclease ExoI are involved in parallel pathways of MMR [175]. It is possible that *mutH*-less bacteria employ the cooperative function of multiple exonucleases and helicases to remove error-containing DNA segments.

Termination of the EXO1-dependent excision reaction in eukaryotic 3'-nick-directed and MutL α -dependent 5'-nick-directed MMR is expected to be determined by pre-existing

and newly introduced 3'-termini, respectively. In *mutH*-less bacteria, the mechanism for termination of the excision-reaction remains unknown. Since not only 5'-3' exonuclease but also 3'-5' exonuclease can be involved in the repair [175], termination of an excision reaction in 5'- and 3'-nick-directed MMR might be achieved by the 3'- and 5'-termini that are introduced by MutL.

Further biochemical and structural studies on exonucleases are required to achieve a deeper understanding of the excision reaction. Recently, the crystal structure of intact RecJ, a 5'-3' exonuclease, from *T. thermophilus* was reported [176]. The entire structure of RecJ consists of four domains that form a ring-like structure with the catalytic site in the center of the ring. One of these four domains contains an oligonucleotides/oligosaccharide-binding fold that is known as a nucleic acid-binding fold. Knowledge of these structural features increases our understanding of the molecular basis for the high processivity and specificity of this enzyme. Furthermore, two Mn²⁺ ions in the catalytic site suggest that RecJ utilizes a two-metal ion mechanism [177] for the exonuclease activity. The understanding of a 3'-5' exonuclease in MMR has been also enhanced by the ongoing biochemical studies on *T. thermophilus* ExoI [175]. The study revealed that ExoI has extremely high K_M value compared with other exonucleases. The interactions with other MMR proteins might stimulate the DNA-binding activity of ExoI. Especially, it would be intriguing to examine the interaction between ExoI and MutS.

6. Recombination Repair

DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are the most crucial lesions in DNA for inducing loss of genetic information and chromosomal instabilities. DSBs can be caused by ionizing radiation, ROS, nuclease dysfunction, or replication fork collapse [178]. Defects in the repair of DSBs lead to cancer or other severe diseases [179–181]. There are two different pathways for repair of DSBs, homologous recombination (HR) and nonhomologous end-joining [178]. HR is the accurate pathway and makes use of undamaged homologous DNA as a template for repair. Nonhomologous end-joining directly ligates two DSB ends together, and although it is efficient, it is prone to loss of genetic information at the ligation sites. In most bacteria, the HR pathway is thought to be the major route for repair of DSBs [182–184].

Recombination repair of DSBs consists of various steps: end resection, strand invasion, DNA repair synthesis, branch migration, and Holliday junction (HJ) resolution (Figure 7). Although the repair-related components and details of each step show variations among organisms, these steps are conserved in all organisms, and there are many evolutionarily conserved functional homologues involved in recombination repair [182, 184]. The first step of recombination repair, end resection, is initiated by a 5' to 3' degradation of DSB ends to generate 3'-ssDNA tails. Next, mediator proteins bind to the 3'-tailed ssDNA and load the recombinase to promote formation of a nucleoprotein filament. The recombinase searches for a homologous DNA sequence




FIGURE 7: A schematic pathway of recombination repair and structures of the proteins involved in *T. thermophilus*. Recombination repair of DSBs is initiated by an end resection step in which DSB ends are processed by the concerted action of RecJ nuclease (TTHA1167; PDB ID: 2ZXR) and SSB (TTHA0244; PDB ID: 2CWA) to form 3'-ssDNA tails. After end resection, the SSB-ssDNA complex is disassembled and RecA recombinase (TTHA1818) is loaded onto ssDNA by "mediators", RecF (TTHA0264), RecO (TTHA0623), and RecR (TTHA1600), to promote strand invasion. DNA repair synthesis is primed by PolI (TTHA1054) and PolIII (TTHA0180) from the invaded strand of the D-loop structure. Alternatively, second-end capture is mediated by RecO and SSB and branch migration mediated by the RuvA-RuvB complex (TTHA0291-TTHA0406; PDB ID: 1IXR) and RecG (TTHA1266) to yield HJs. HJs are cleaved by RuvC resolvase (TTHA1090) and the nicks sealed by LigA (TTHA1097). Newly synthesized DNA is colored in blue. The model structures of *T. thermophilus* RecA, RecF, RecO, RecR, PolI, PolIII α subunit, RecG, RuvC, and LigA were generated using SWISS-MODEL. The models were based on the structures of *Mycobacterium smegmatis* RecA (PDB ID: 2OE2), *D. radiodurans* RecF (PDB ID: 2O5V), RecO (PDB ID: 1U5K), RecR (PDB ID: 1VDD), *E. coli* PolI (PDB ID: 1TAU), PolIII α subunit (PDB ID: 2HNN), RuvC (PDB ID: 1HJR), LigA (PDB ID: 2OWO), and *Thermotoga maritima* RecG (PDB ID: 1GM5).

and catalyzes strand invasion to yield a D-loop structure. After strand invasion, DNA synthesis occurs using the homologous DNA as the template, and the intermediates are processed through a branch migration reaction to form HJs, stable four-stranded DNA structures. Finally, HJs are endonucleolytically resolved into linear duplexes, and the nicks at cleavage site are sealed by DNA ligase to complete the repair. HR significantly contributes to retention of genome integrity; however, this mechanism is also utilized for the rearrangement of genome, such as incorporation of foreign DNAs or intrachromosomal gene conversion [185, 186]. There are various anti-recombination mechanisms to suppress excessive recombination that might cause genomic instabilities [187, 188]. These sub-pathways interact with each other to regulate the HR system.

6.1. End Resection and Loading of Recombinase. Recombination repair is initiated by an end resection step that processes DSB ends to generate 3'-ssDNA tails. In mammals, various nucleases and helicases have been implicated in this step, such as the MRN complex, CTIP, EXO1, DNA2, and RECQ paralogues [189]. By contrast, most bacteria have two major sub-pathways, the RecF pathway and the RecBCD/AddAB pathway [183, 190, 191]. The RecF pathway is highly conserved in many bacteria and is similar to the eukaryotic end resection pathway whereas the RecBCD/AddAB pathway differs from that of eukaryotes and also shows diversity in bacteria. In the RecF pathway, RecJ nuclease, RecQ helicase, and SSB act in concert in the processing of DSB ends. After DNA unwinding by RecQ helicase and 5' to 3' exonucleolytic degradation by RecJ nuclease, the generated 3'-ssDNA tails




FIGURE 8: A schematic illustration of RecA-ssDNA interaction in the nucleoprotein filament. (a) A schematic representation of a RecA-ssDNA nucleoprotein filament. The filament comprises a helical structure. RecA molecules are shown as red spheres and the ssDNA as a black line. (b) A schematic model of RecA-ssDNA interaction. The RecA protomer has the L1 and L2 loops and the N-terminal region to make contact with the ssDNA. The bound ssDNA comprises a nucleotide triplet with a nearly normal B-form distance between bases followed by a long internucleotide stretch before the next triplet. The ATP binds to RecA-RecA interfaces. The schematic model was prepared from the crystal structure of RecA-ssDNA complex (PDB ID: 3CMW).

are coated and stabilized with SSB [192]. Interestingly, there is no RecQ homologue in *T. thermophilus* HB8 [193]. However, a recent *in vitro* reconstitution study of the *E. coli* RecF pathway showed that RecJ nuclease degrades dsDNA exonucleolytically in the absence of RecQ helicase [190]. Another study also showed that *Haemophilus influenzae* SSB directly interacts with RecJ nuclease and stimulates exonuclease activity [194]. Based on these results, it could be speculated that in *T. thermophilus* HB8, RecJ nuclease and SSB might synergistically perform the end resection step without involvement of a helicase. Recently, the crystal structures of *T. thermophilus* RecJ and SSB were solved [176]. By combining these structural data with biochemical analyses, it should soon be feasible to elucidate the molecular mechanism of the end resection step.

In the RecF pathway, after generation of 3'-ssDNA tails, recombination mediators, RecFOR or RecOR, disassemble the SSB-ssDNA complex and load RecA recombinase onto ssDNA to form nucleoprotein filaments [190, 195]. Structural and biochemical analyses of *T. thermophilus* RecF, RecO, and RecR proteins showed that RecR forms a tetrameric ring-like structure and acts as a DNA clamp and also binds to RecF and RecO; on the other hand, RecA can also bind to RecR, SSB, and ssDNA [196–198]. These studies found that SSB is displaced from ssDNA by RecO and that RecA loading is mediated by RecR [198]. Based on these results, there appear to be two distinct ways for SSB displacement and RecA loading [190]. The RecFOR complex binds at the ssDNA-dsDNA junction on the resected DNA and loads RecA onto ssDNA in a 5' to 3' direction. The RecOR complex binds to SSB-ssDNA complex and promotes the exchange of SSB

by RecA. These processes are very similar to the eukaryotic recombination repair pathway mediated by RAD52, RAD54, BRCA2, and RAD51 paralogues [199–202]. Recombinase loading by “mediators” is thought to be a common system of recombination repair in all three kingdoms of life.

6.2. Strand Invasion by Recombinase. The DNA strand exchange between homologous segments of chromosomes is catalyzed by the RecA-family recombinases, which include RecA in bacteria, RAD51 in eukaryotes, and RadA in archaea [203]. The processes catalyzed by these recombinases have been studied in detail [204–206]. In bacteria, RecA binds to ssDNA, forming helical nucleoprotein filament (Figure 8(a)). Contact between the RecA-coated ssDNA and dsDNA allows ssDNA to search sequence homology. Strand exchange is initiated by local denaturation of dsDNA in a region of homology. The invading strand forms a paranemic joint, which is an unstable intermediate. When the free end of the strand invades, the paranemic joint is converted into a plectonemic joint, in which the two strands are intertwined. Then heteroduplex formation is extended by branch migration.

The crystal structure of RecA filament determined in 1992 [207] revealed six subunits in each helical turn, but this structure contained no DNA. In 2008, Chen et al. determined the structures of both RecA complexed with ssDNA and with dsDNA [208], which are the substrate and product forms of DNA strand exchange, respectively. The RecA-ssDNA filament is different from the RecA filament primarily in the orientation of the subunit relative to the filament axis. The bound ssDNA makes contact with the

L1 and L2 loops, which had been suggested to be DNA binding sites and the N-terminal region (Figure 8(b)). It had been previously assumed that in the nucleoprotein filament ssDNA is uniformly stretched by about 1.5-fold [209]. However, unexpectedly, the DNA comprises a nucleotide triplet (three-nucleotide segment) with a nearly normal B-form distance between bases followed by a long untwisted internucleotide stretch before the next triplet. In addition, ATP binds to RecA-RecA interfaces, which can couple RecA-ATP interaction to RecA-DNA interaction.

6.3. Postsynaptic Phase. After strand invasion, HJs are formed through DNA repair synthesis, second-end capture, and branch migration during the postsynaptic phase. In most organisms, a range of DNA polymerases deal with the various DNA processes, and several of these DNA polymerases are involved in recombination-associated DNA repair synthesis [210]. It has been shown that the translesion synthesis (TLS) polymerase, Pol η , and replicative polymerase, Pol δ , are involved in mammalian recombination-associated DNA synthesis [211–214]. In addition, a recent genetic study suggested the possible involvement of human Pol ν , prokaryotic PolI-like enzyme, in HR [215]. However, it is still unclear whether other DNA polymerases can synthesize the DNA strand during recombination. Interestingly, bacterial TLS polymerases, PolII, PolIV, and PolV, are also able to synthesize the DNA strand in recombination processes as well as PolI and PolIII in *E. coli*; however, the details of the relationship between TLS and HR remain to be elucidated [216]. The *Deinococcus-Thermus* group of bacteria has only two processive DNA polymerases, PolI and PolIII, and, therefore, it should be relatively straightforward to analyze the involvement of DNA polymerases in recombination-associated DNA synthesis [217, 218]. A recent study on genome repair after ionizing radiation in *Deinococcus radiodurans* showed that PolI and PolIII had distinct roles in the extensive synthesis-dependent strand annealing repair pathway [219]; therefore, it might be expected that in *T. thermophilus*, PolI and PolIII will also act in concert in recombination-associated DNA synthesis.

Second-end capture and branch migration also occur at the same time as DNA repair synthesis in the postsynaptic phase. In eukaryotes, second-end capture appears to be mediated by RAD52 and RPA, whereas their functional homologues in bacteria are RecO and SSB, respectively [220–222]. Interestingly, it has been shown that *E. coli* RecO cannot form joint molecules with the *S. cerevisiae* RPA-ssDNA complex nor can *S. cerevisiae* RAD52 promote second-end capture with either the human RPA-ssDNA complex or the *E. coli* SSB-ssDNA complex [222]. These results indicate that the second-end capture event can be performed in a species-specific manner. Various DNA translocases are involved in branch migration. There is evidence that RAD54 and RECQ paralogues process the joint molecules to generate HJs in eukaryotes. By contrast, RuvAB, RecG and RadA/Sms promote branch migration in bacteria [201, 223–225]. To date, there is no satisfactory explanation as to why a single organism might redundantly possess multiple branch migration activities. In bacteria, RuvAB are believed to be

the main branch migration proteins based on their genetic properties [223, 226]. Currently, the crystal structure of the RuvAB-HJ complex is not available. However, various crystal structures involving *T. thermophilus* RuvA and RuvB proteins have been solved and their biochemical properties determined [227–232]. In addition, an atomic model of the RuvAB-HJ complex has been proposed based on data from electron microscopic analyses [229, 233]. These structural and functional analyses of RuvAB provide insights into its molecular properties with regard to branch migration. Two RuvA tetramers sandwich an HJ forming a planar conformation while two RuvB hexameric rings are bound to the arms of the junction symmetrically via RuvA and promote branch migration using energy from ATP hydrolysis [224]. Furthermore, by combining structural and biochemical data on RuvC resolvase, it is possible to suggest a model for HJ resolution that involves the formation of a RuvABC resolvosome [224, 234–237].

Recombination repair is completed by HJ resolution and sealing of its cleavage sites. In mammals, members of a structure-specific endonuclease family, including GEN1, SLX1, MUS81-EME1, and ERCC4-ERCC1, are involved in the resolution of HJs and recombination intermediates [238]. Recent work showed that GEN1 can act as an HJ resolvase. Other studies have suggested that the SLX4 protein can form a complex with SLX1, MUS81-EME1, or ERCC4-ERCC1 and control their activities [239–243]. It has been shown that the SLX1-SLX4 complex can resolve HJs symmetrically. In bacteria, RuvC and RusA have HJ resolvase activity. RuvC forms a dimeric structure and cleaves HJs symmetrically in a sequence-specific manner [234, 244]. Biochemical analyses of RuvC in the presence of RuvAB suggest that RuvC forms a complex with RuvAB and that the HJ resolution event is coupled with the branch migration reaction [235, 236]. In *E. coli*, there is another resolvase, RusA, which has cleaved HJs symmetrically at specific sites [245, 246]. It has also been suggested that topoisomerase III can resolve HJs in *E. coli* as an alternative to the RuvABC pathway [247]. *T. thermophilus* does not have either RusA or topoisomerase III [217]. Thus, this organism will be a suitable model for analyzing this step of HJ resolution because of its simple and minimal systems.

6.4. Anti-Recombination. Since excessive recombination events lead to the alteration of the genetic information, various anti-recombination mechanisms are employed by organisms to regulate the frequency of recombination [188]. For example, the MMR system is present in a wide range of organisms and serves particularly to prevent homeologous recombination [187]. In bacteria, RecX acts as an anti-recombinase that inhibits RecA recombinase in both direct and indirect manners [248]. Direct interaction with RecX inhibits the recombinase activity of RecA and destabilizes the nucleoprotein filament [249, 250]. RecX also suppresses *recA* induction at the transcription level [248]. The UvrD helicase is suspected to be an anti-recombinase because of its activity to disassemble the RecA nucleoprotein filament *in vitro* [251, 252].

Recently, a novel anti-recombination mechanism was identified in *Helicobacter pylori* and *T. thermophilus*. It was found that disruption of *mutS2*, a bacterial paralogue of the MMR gene *mutS*, significantly increased the frequency of recombination events, indicating that *mutS2* had an anti-recombination function [253, 254]. It has also been shown that MutS2 is not involved in MMR, that is, MutS2 prevents recombination in an MMR-independent manner. Detailed biochemical investigation showed that *T. thermophilus* MutS2 possesses an endonuclease activity that preferably incises the D-loop structure, the primary intermediate in HR [253, 255–257]. MutS2 might suppress HR through the resolution of early intermediates.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 20570131 (to R. Masui), 19770083 (to N. Nakagawa) and 20870042 (to K. Fukui) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan. R. Morita and S. Nakane are the recipients of a Research Fellowship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists (no. 21-1019 and 22-37, resp.).

References

- [1] O. D. Schärer, "Chemistry and biology of DNA repair," *Angewandte Chemie*, vol. 42, no. 26, pp. 2946–2974, 2003.
- [2] F. Altieri, C. Grillo, M. Maceroni, and S. Chichiarrelli, "DNA damage and repair: from molecular mechanisms to health implications," *Antioxidants and Redox Signaling*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 891–937, 2008.
- [3] E. C. Friedberg, G. C. Walker, and W. Siede, *DNA Repair and Mutagenesis*, ASM Press, Washington, DC, USA, 2006.
- [4] T. Oshima and K. Imahori, "Description of *Thermus thermophilus* (Yoshida and Oshima) comb. nov., a nonsporulating thermophilic bacterium from a Japanese thermal spa," *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 102–112, 1974.
- [5] H. Iino, H. Naitow, Y. Nakamura et al., "Crystallization screening test for the whole-cell project on *Thermus thermophilus* HB8," *Acta Crystallographica Section F*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 487–491, 2008.
- [6] S. Yokoyama, H. Hirota, T. Kigawa et al., "Structural genomics projects in Japan," *Nature Structural Biology*, vol. 7, supplement, pp. 943–945, 2000.
- [7] G. Payne, P. F. Heelis, B. R. Rohrs, and A. Sancar, "The active form of *Escherichia coli* DNA photolyase contains a fully reduced flavin and not a flavin radical, both in vivo and in vitro," *Biochemistry*, vol. 26, no. 22, pp. 7121–7127, 1987.
- [8] A. Sancar and G. B. Sancar, "DNA repair enzymes," *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, vol. 57, pp. 29–67, 1988.
- [9] C. Aubert, M. H. Vos, P. Mathis, A. P. M. Eker, and K. Brettel, "Intraprotein radical transfer during photoactivation of DNA photolyase," *Nature*, vol. 405, no. 6786, pp. 586–590, 2000.
- [10] R. Kato, K. Hasegawa, Y. Hidaka, S. Kuramitsu, and T. Hoshino, "Characterization of a thermostable DNA photolyase from an extremely thermophilic bacterium, *Thermus thermophilus* HB27," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 179, no. 20, pp. 6499–6503, 1997.
- [11] H.-W. Park, S.-T. Kim, A. Sancar, and J. Deisenhofer, "Crystal structure of DNA photolyase from *Escherichia coli*," *Science*, vol. 268, no. 5219, pp. 1866–1872, 1995.
- [12] T. Tamada, K. Kitadokoro, Y. Higuchi et al., "Crystal structure of DNA photolyase from *Anacystis nidulans*," *Nature Structural Biology*, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 887–891, 1997.
- [13] H. Komori, R. Masui, S. Kuramitsu et al., "Crystal structure of thermostable DNA photolyase: pyrimidine-dimer recognition mechanism," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 98, no. 24, pp. 13560–13565, 2001.
- [14] T. Torizawa, T. Ueda, S. Kuramitsu et al., "Investigation of the cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer (CPD) photolyase DNA recognition mechanism by NMR analyses," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 31, pp. 32950–32956, 2004.
- [15] A. Mees, T. Klar, P. Gnau et al., "Crystal structure of a photolyase bound to a CPD-like DNA lesion after in situ repair," *Science*, vol. 306, no. 5702, pp. 1789–1793, 2004.
- [16] T. Ueda, A. Kato, Y. Ogawa et al., "NMR study of repair mechanism of DNA photolyase by FAD-induced paramagnetic relaxation enhancement," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 50, pp. 52574–52579, 2004.
- [17] T. Ueda, A. Kato, S. Kuramitsu, H. Terasawa, and I. Shimada, "Identification and characterization of a second chromophore of DNA photolyase from *Thermus thermophilus* HB27," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 43, pp. 36237–36243, 2005.
- [18] T. Klar, G. Kaiser, U. Hennecke, T. Carell, A. Batschauer, and L.-O. Essen, "Natural and non-natural antenna chromophores in the DNA photolyase from *Thermus thermophilus*," *ChemBioChem*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 1798–1806, 2006.
- [19] F. Kiefer, K. Arnold, M. Künzli, L. Bordoli, and T. Schwede, "The SWISS-MODEL repository and associated resources," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 37, supplement 1, pp. D387–D392, 2009.
- [20] T. Schwede, J. Kopp, N. Guex, and M. C. Peitsch, "SWISS-MODEL: an automated protein homology-modeling server," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 31, no. 13, pp. 3381–3385, 2003.
- [21] G. T. J. Van Der Horst, M. Muijtjens, K. Kobayashi et al., "Mammalian Cry1 and Cry2 are essential for maintenance of circadian rhythms," *Nature*, vol. 398, no. 6728, pp. 627–630, 1999.
- [22] M. Müller and T. Carell, "Structural biology of DNA photolyases and cryptochromes," *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 277–285, 2009.
- [23] E. L. Loechler, C. L. Green, and J. M. Essigmann, "In vivo mutagenesis by O⁶-methylguanine built into a unique site in a viral genome," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 81, no. 20, pp. 6271–6275, 1984.
- [24] A. Loveless, "Possible relevance of O-6 alkylation of deoxyguanosine to the mutagenicity and carcinogenicity of nitrosamines and nitrosamides," *Nature*, vol. 223, no. 5202, pp. 206–207, 1969.
- [25] E. T. Snow, R. S. Foote, and S. Mitra, "Base-pairing properties of O⁶-methylguanine in template DNA during *in vitro* DNA replication," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 259, no. 13, pp. 8095–8100, 1984.
- [26] T. Lindahl, B. Demple, and P. Robins, "Suicide inactivation of the *E. coli* O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 1, no. 11, pp. 1359–1363, 1982.

- [27] M. Olsson and T. Lindahl, "Repair of alkylated DNA in *Escherichia coli*. Methyl group transfer from O⁶-methylguanine to a protein cysteine residue," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 255, no. 22, pp. 10569–10571, 1980.
- [28] O. Wiestler, P. Kleihues, and A. E. Pegg, "O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase activity in human brain and brain tumors," *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 121–124, 1984.
- [29] D. Bhattacharyya, R. S. Foote, A. M. Boulden, and S. Mitra, "Physicochemical studies of human O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase," *European Journal of Biochemistry*, vol. 193, no. 2, pp. 337–343, 1990.
- [30] A. M. Boulden, R. S. Foote, G. S. Fleming, and S. Mitra, "Purification and some properties of human DNA-O⁶-methylguanine methyltransferase," *Journal of Biosciences*, vol. 11, no. 1–4, pp. 215–224, 1987.
- [31] B. Demple, A. Jacobsson, and M. Olsson, "Repair of alkylated DNA in *Escherichia coli*. Physical properties of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 257, no. 22, pp. 13776–13780, 1982.
- [32] D. S. Daniels and J. A. Tainer, "Conserved structural motifs governing the stoichiometric repair of alkylated DNA by O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase," *Mutation Research*, vol. 460, no. 3–4, pp. 151–163, 2000.
- [33] D. S. Daniels, T. T. Woo, K. X. Luu et al., "DNA binding and nucleotide flipping by the human DNA repair protein AGT," *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 714–720, 2004.
- [34] J. M. Aramini, J. L. Tubbs, S. Kanugula et al., "Structural basis of O⁶-alkylguanine recognition by a bacterial alkyltransferase-like DNA repair protein," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 285, no. 18, pp. 13736–13741, 2010.
- [35] G. P. Margison, A. Butt, S. J. Pearson et al., "Alkyltransferase-like proteins," *DNA Repair*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 1222–1228, 2007.
- [36] G. Mazon, G. Philippin, J. Cadet, D. Gasparutto, and R. P. Fuchs, "The alkyltransferase-like ybaZ gene product enhances nucleotide excision repair of O⁶-alkylguanine adducts in *E. coli*," *DNA Repair*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 697–703, 2009.
- [37] R. Morita, N. Nakagawa, S. Kuramitsu, and R. Masui, "An O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase-like protein from *Thermus thermophilus* interacts with a nucleotide excision repair protein," *Journal of Biochemistry*, vol. 144, no. 2, pp. 267–277, 2008.
- [38] S. J. Pearson, J. Ferguson, M. Santibanez-Koref, and G. P. Margison, "Inhibition of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase by an alkyltransferase-like protein from *Escherichia coli*," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 3837–3844, 2005.
- [39] S. J. Pearson, S. Wharton, A. J. Watson et al., "A novel DNA damage recognition protein in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 2347–2354, 2006.
- [40] J. L. Tubbs, V. Latypov, S. Kanugula et al., "Flipping of alkylated DNA damage bridges base and nucleotide excision repair," *Nature*, vol. 459, no. 7248, pp. 808–813, 2009.
- [41] L. H. Breimer and T. Lindahl, "DNA glycosylase activities for thymine residues damaged by ring saturation, fragmentation, or ring contraction are functions of endonuclease III in *Escherichia coli*," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 259, no. 9, pp. 5543–5548, 1984.
- [42] B. Demple, A. Johnson, and D. Fung, "Exonuclease III and endonuclease IV remove 3' blocks from DNA synthesis primers in H₂O₂-damaged *Escherichia coli*," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 83, no. 20, pp. 7731–7735, 1986.
- [43] J. D. Levin, A. W. Johnson, and B. Demple, "Homogeneous *Escherichia coli* endonuclease IV. Characterization of an enzyme that recognizes oxidative damage in DNA," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 263, no. 17, pp. 8066–8071, 1988.
- [44] T. V. McCarthy and T. Lindahl, "Methyl phosphotriesters in alkylated DNA are repaired by the Ada regulatory protein of *E. coli*," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 2683–2698, 1985.
- [45] P. Landini and M. R. Volkert, "Regulatory responses of the adaptive response to alkylation damage: a simple regulon with complex regulatory features," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 182, no. 23, pp. 6543–6549, 2000.
- [46] B. Sedgwick and T. Lindahl, "Recent progress on the Ada response for inducible repair of DNA alkylation damage," *Oncogene*, vol. 21, no. 58, pp. 8886–8894, 2002.
- [47] S. C. Trewick, T. F. Henshaw, R. P. Hausinger, T. Lindahl, and B. Sedgwick, "Oxidative demethylation by *Escherichia coli* AlkB directly reverts DNA base damage," *Nature*, vol. 419, no. 6903, pp. 174–178, 2002.
- [48] M. A. Kurowski, A. S. Bhagwat, G. Papaj, and J. M. Bujnicki, "Phylogenomic identification of five new human homologs of the DNA repair enzyme AlkB," *BMC Genomics*, vol. 4, no. 1, article no. 48, 2003.
- [49] T. Duncan, S. C. Trewick, P. Koivisto, P. A. Bates, T. Lindahl, and B. Sedgwick, "Reversal of DNA alkylation damage by two human dioxygenases," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 99, no. 26, pp. 16660–16665, 2002.
- [50] Y.-F. Wei, K. C. Carter, R.-P. Wang, and B. K. Shell, "Molecular cloning functional analysis of a human cDNA encoding an *Escherichia coli* AlkB homolog, a protein involved in DNA alkylation damage repair," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 931–937, 1996.
- [51] P. A. Aas, M. Otterlei, P. Ø. Falnes et al., "Human and bacterial oxidative demethylases repair alkylation damage in both RNA and DNA," *Nature*, vol. 421, no. 6925, pp. 859–863, 2003.
- [52] K. S. Gates, "An overview of chemical processes that damage cellular DNA: spontaneous hydrolysis, alkylation, and reactions with radicals," *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1747–1760, 2009.
- [53] M. D. Gibbs, R. A. Reeves, D. Mandelman, Q. Mi, J. Lee, and P. L. Bergquist, "Molecular diversity and catalytic activity of *Thermus* DNA polymerases," *Extremophiles*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 817–826, 2009.
- [54] K. Makiela-Dzbenka, M. Jaszczur, M. Banach-Orlowska, P. Jonczyk, R. M. Schaaper, and I. J. Fijalkowska, "Role of *Escherichia coli* DNA polymerase I in chromosomal DNA replication fidelity," *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 1114–1127, 2009.
- [55] K. Yoshiyama, K. Higuchi, H. Matsumura, and H. Maki, "Directionality of DNA replication fork movement strongly affects the generation of spontaneous mutations in *Escherichia coli*," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 307, no. 5, pp. 1195–1206, 2001.
- [56] B. Dalhus, J. K. Laerdahl, P. H. Backe, and M. Bjørås, "DNA base repair—recognition and initiation of catalysis," *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1044–1078, 2009.
- [57] D. O. Zharkov, "Base excision DNA repair," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 65, no. 10, pp. 1544–1565, 2008.

- [58] T. Visnes, B. Doseth, H. S. Pettersen et al., "Uracil in DNA and its processing by different DNA glycosylases," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, vol. 364, no. 1517, pp. 563–568, 2009.
- [59] J. C. Fromme, A. Banerjee, and G. L. Verdine, "DNA glycosylase recognition and catalysis," *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 43–49, 2004.
- [60] A. A. Ischenko and M. K. Saparbaev, "Alternative nucleotide incision repair pathway for oxidative DNA damage," *Nature*, vol. 415, no. 6868, pp. 183–187, 2002.
- [61] E. S. Motta, P. T. Souza-Santos, T. R. Cassiano, F. J. S. Dantas, A. Caldeira-De-Araujo, and J. C. P. De Mattos, "Endonuclease IV is the main base excision repair enzyme involved in DNA damage induced by UVA radiation and stannous chloride," *Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology*, vol. 2010, Article ID 376218, 9 pages, 2010.
- [62] S. T. Mundle, J. C. Delaney, J. M. Essigmann, and P. R. Strauss, "Enzymatic mechanism of human apurinic/aprimidinic endonuclease against a THF AP site model substrate," *Biochemistry*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 19–26, 2009.
- [63] G. Dianov, B. Sedgwick, G. Daly, M. Olsson, S. Lovett, and T. Lindahl, "Release of 5'-terminal deoxyribose-phosphate residues from incised abasic sites in DNA by the *Escherichia coli* RecJ protein," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 993–998, 1994.
- [64] C. E. Piersen, A. K. McCullough, and R. S. Lloyd, "AP lyases and dRPases: commonality of mechanism," *Mutation Research*, vol. 459, no. 1, pp. 43–53, 2000.
- [65] R. Prasad, V. K. Batra, X.-P. Yang et al., "Structural insight into the DNA polymerase β deoxyribose phosphate lyase mechanism," *DNA Repair*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 1347–1357, 2005.
- [66] A. K. McCullough, A. Sanchez, M. L. Dodson, P. Marapaka, J.-S. Taylor, and R. Stephen Lloyd, "The reaction mechanism of DNA glycosylase/AP lyases at abasic sites," *Biochemistry*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 561–568, 2001.
- [67] A. B. Robertson, A. Klungland, T. Rognes, and I. Leiros, "DNA repair in mammalian cells," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 981–993, 2009.
- [68] J. Hoseki, A. Okamoto, R. Masui et al., "Crystal structure of a family 4 uracil-DNA glycosylase from *Thermus thermophilus* HB8," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 333, no. 3, pp. 515–526, 2003.
- [69] H. Kosaka, J. Hoseki, N. Nakagawa, S. Kuramitsu, and R. Masui, "Crystal structure of family 5 uracil-DNA glycosylase bound to DNA," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 373, no. 4, pp. 839–850, 2007.
- [70] M. Sugahara, T. Mikawa, R. Kato et al., "Crystallization and preliminary X-ray crystallographic studies of *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 MutM protein involved in repairs of oxidative DNA damage," *Journal of Biochemistry*, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 9–11, 2000.
- [71] L. H. Pearl, "Structure and function in the uracil-DNA glycosylase superfamily," *Mutation Research*, vol. 460, no. 3–4, pp. 165–181, 2000.
- [72] O. D. Schäfer and J. Jiricny, "Recent progress in the biology, chemistry and structural biology of DNA glycosylases," *BioEssays*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 270–281, 2001.
- [73] T. Mikawa, R. Kato, M. Sugahara, and S. Kuramitsu, "Thermostable repair enzyme for oxidative DNA damage from extremely thermophilic bacterium, *Thermus thermophilus* HB8," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 903–910, 1998.
- [74] P. Fortini, B. Pascucci, E. Parlanti, R. W. Sobol, S. H. Wilson, and E. Dogliotti, "Different DNA polymerases are involved in the short- and long-patch base excision repair in mammalian cells," *Biochemistry*, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 3575–3580, 1998.
- [75] A. J. Podlutzky, I. I. Dianova, S. H. Wilson, V. A. Bohr, and G. L. Dianov, "DNA synthesis and dRPase activities of polymerase β are both essential for single-nucleotide patch base excision repair in mammalian cell extracts," *Biochemistry*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 809–813, 2001.
- [76] U. Sattler, P. Frit, B. Salles, and P. Calsou, "Long-patch DNA repair synthesis during base excision repair in mammalian cells," *EMBO Reports*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 363–367, 2003.
- [77] R. Gary, K. Kim, H. L. Cornelius, M. S. Park, and Y. Matsumoto, "Proliferating cell nuclear antigen facilitates excision in long-patch base excision repair," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 274, no. 7, pp. 4354–4363, 1999.
- [78] A. S. Jaiswal, R. Balusu, M. L. Armas, C. N. Kundu, and S. Narayan, "Mechanism of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC)-mediated blockage of long-patch base excision repair," *Biochemistry*, vol. 45, no. 51, pp. 15903–15914, 2006.
- [79] N. A. Lebedeva, N. I. Rechkunova, S. V. Dezhurov et al., "Comparison of functional properties of mammalian DNA polymerase λ and DNA polymerase β in reactions of DNA synthesis related to DNA repair," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 1751, no. 2, pp. 150–158, 2005.
- [80] Y. Lin, W. A. Beard, D. D. Shock, R. Prasad, E. W. Hou, and S. H. Wilson, "DNA polymerase β and flap endonuclease 1 enzymatic specificities sustain DNA synthesis for long patch base excision repair," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 5, pp. 3665–3674, 2005.
- [81] R. Prasad, M. J. Longley, F. S. Sharief, E. W. Hou, W. C. Copeland, and S. H. Wilson, "Human DNA polymerase θ possesses 5'-dRP lyase activity and functions in single-nucleotide base excision repair *in vitro*," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1868–1877, 2009.
- [82] M. Stucki, B. Pascucci, E. Parlanti et al., "Mammalian base excision repair by DNA polymerases δ and ϵ ," *Oncogene*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 835–843, 1998.
- [83] J.-S. Sung and D. W. Mosbaugh, "*Escherichia coli* uracil- and ethenocytosine-initiated base excision DNA repair: rate-limiting step and patch size distribution," *Biochemistry*, vol. 42, no. 16, pp. 4613–4625, 2003.
- [84] K. Singh, A. Srivastava, S. S. Patel, and M. J. Modak, "Participation of the fingers subdomain of *Escherichia coli* DNA polymerase I in the strand displacement synthesis of DNA," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 282, no. 14, pp. 10594–10604, 2007.
- [85] D. L. Ho, W. M. Byrnes, W.-P. Ma, Y. Shi, D. J. E. Callaway, and Z. Bu, "Structure-specific DNA-induced conformational changes in Taq polymerase revealed by small angle neutron scattering," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 37, pp. 39146–39154, 2004.
- [86] M. W. Kaiser, N. Lyamicheva, W. Ma et al., "A comparison of eubacterial and archaeal structure-specific 5'-exonucleases," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 274, no. 30, pp. 21387–21394, 1999.
- [87] V. Lyamichev, M. A. D. Brow, and J. E. Dahlberg, "Structure-specific endonucleolytic cleavage of nucleic acids by eubacterial DNA polymerases," *Science*, vol. 260, no. 5109, pp. 778–783, 1993.

- [88] W.-P. Ma, M. W. Kaiser, N. Lyamicheva et al., "RNA template-dependent 5' nuclease activity of *Thermus aquaticus* and *Thermus thermophilus* DNA polymerases," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 32, pp. 24693–24700, 2000.
- [89] Y. Xu, N. D. F. Grindley, and C. M. Joyce, "Coordination between the polymerase and 5'-nuclease components of DNA polymerase I of *Escherichia coli*," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 27, pp. 20949–20955, 2000.
- [90] F. J. López de Saro and M. O'Donnell, "Interaction of the β sliding clamp with MutS, ligase, and DNA polymerase I," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 98, no. 15, pp. 8376–8380, 2001.
- [91] J. Yamtich and J. B. Sweasy, "DNA polymerase Family X: function, structure, and cellular roles," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 1804, no. 5, pp. 1136–1150, 2010.
- [92] W. W. Duym, K. A. Fiala, N. Bhatt, and Z. Suo, "Kinetic effect of a downstream strand and its 5'-terminal moieties on single nucleotide gap-filling synthesis catalyzed by human DNA polymerase λ ," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 281, no. 47, pp. 35649–35655, 2006.
- [93] B. Baños, J. M. Lázaro, L. Villar, M. Salas, and M. de Vega, "Characterization of a *Bacillus subtilis* 64-kDa DNA Polymerase X Potentially Involved in DNA Repair," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 384, no. 5, pp. 1019–1028, 2008.
- [94] N. P. Khairnar and H. S. Misra, "DNA polymerase X from *Deinococcus radiodurans* implicated in bacterial tolerance to DNA damage is characterized as a short patch base excision repair polymerase," *Microbiology*, vol. 155, no. 9, pp. 3005–3014, 2009.
- [95] B. Baños, J. M. Lázaro, L. Villar, M. Salas, and M. de Vega, "Editing of misaligned 3'-termini by an intrinsic 3'-5' exonuclease activity residing in the PHP domain of a family X DNA polymerase," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 36, no. 18, pp. 5736–5749, 2008.
- [96] M. Blasius, I. Shevelev, E. Jolivet, S. Sommer, and U. Hübscher, "DNA polymerase X from *Deinococcus radiodurans* possesses a structure-modulated 3' > 5' exonuclease activity involved in radioresistance," *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 165–176, 2006.
- [97] S. Nakane, N. Nakagawa, S. Kuramitsu, and R. Masui, "Characterization of DNA polymerase X from *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 reveals the POLXc and PHP domains are both required for 3' – 5' exonuclease activity," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 2037–2052, 2009.
- [98] M. Sukhanova, S. Khodyreva, and O. Lavrik, "Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 regulates activity of DNA polymerase β in long patch base excision repair," *Mutation Research*, vol. 685, no. 1-2, pp. 80–89, 2009.
- [99] M. J. Cuneo and R. E. London, "Oxidation state of the XRCC1 N-terminal domain regulates DNA polymerase β binding affinity," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 15, pp. 6805–6810, 2010.
- [100] ZH. K. Nazarkina, S. N. Khodyreva, S. Marsin, J. P. Radicella, and O. I. Lavrik, "Study of interaction of XRCC1 with DNA and proteins of base excision repair by photoaffinity labeling technique," *Biochemistry*, vol. 72, no. 8, pp. 878–886, 2007.
- [101] A. Sancar, "DNA excision repair," *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, vol. 65, pp. 43–81, 1996.
- [102] B. Van Houten, "Nucleotide excision repair in *Escherichia coli*," *Microbiological Reviews*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 18–51, 1990.
- [103] J. J. Truglio, D. L. Croteau, B. van Houten, and C. Kisker, "Prokaryotic nucleotide excision repair: the UvrABC system," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 233–252, 2006.
- [104] C. P. Selby and A. Sancar, "Mechanisms of transcription-repair coupling and mutation frequency decline," *Microbiological Reviews*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 317–329, 1994.
- [105] J. Q. Svejstrup, "Mechanisms of transcription-coupled DNA repair," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 21–29, 2002.
- [106] C. P. Selby and A. Sancar, "Molecular mechanism of transcription-repair coupling," *Science*, vol. 259, no. 5104, pp. 53–58, 1993.
- [107] L. C. J. Gillet and O. D. Schärer, "Molecular mechanisms of mammalian global genome nucleotide excision repair," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 253–276, 2006.
- [108] D. K. Orren and A. Sancar, "The (A)BC excinuclease of *Escherichia coli* has only the UvrB and UvrC subunits in the incision complex," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 86, no. 14, pp. 5237–5241, 1989.
- [109] E. E. A. Verhoeven, C. Wyman, G. F. Moolenaar, and N. Goosen, "The presence of two UvrB subunits in the UvrAB complex ensures damage detection in both DNA strands," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 21, no. 15, pp. 4196–4205, 2002.
- [110] G. F. Moolenaar, M. F. Herron, V. Monaco et al., "The role of ATP binding and hydrolysis by UvrB during nucleotide excision repair," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 11, pp. 8044–8050, 2000.
- [111] P. R. Caron and L. Grossman, "Involvement of a cryptic ATPase activity of UvrB and its proteolysis product, UvrB* in DNA repair," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 16, no. 20, pp. 9651–9662, 1988.
- [112] R. Kato, N. Yamamoto, K. Kito, and S. Kuramitsu, "ATPase activity of UvrB protein from *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 and its interaction with DNA," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 271, no. 16, pp. 9612–9618, 1996.
- [113] A. Yamagata, R. Masui, R. Kato et al., "Interaction of UvrA and UvrB proteins with a fluorescent single-stranded DNA. Implication for slow conformational change upon interaction of UvrB with DNA," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 18, pp. 13235–13242, 2000.
- [114] J.-J. Lin and A. Sancar, "Active site of (A)BC excinuclease. I. Evidence for 5' incision by UvrC through a catalytic site involving Asp399, Asp438, Asp466, and His538 residues," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 267, no. 25, pp. 17688–17692, 1992.
- [115] E. E. A. Verhoeven, M. Van Kesteren, G. F. Moolenaar, R. Visse, and N. Goosen, "Catalytic sites for 3' and 5' incision of *Escherichia coli* nucleotide excision repair are both located in UvrC," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 7, pp. 5120–5123, 2000.
- [116] T. Ohta, S.-I. Tokishita, R. Imazuka, I. Mori, J. Okamura, and H. Yamagata, " β -Glucosidase as a reporter for the gene expression studies in *Thermus thermophilus* and constitutive expression of DNA repair genes," *Mutagenesis*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 255–260, 2006.
- [117] R. Collins and T. V. McCarthy, "Purification and characterization of *Thermus thermophilus* UvrD," *Extremophiles*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 35–41, 2003.
- [118] V. A. Bohr, C. A. Smith, D. S. Okumoto, and P. C. Hanawalt, "DNA repair in an active gene: removal of pyrimidine dimers from the DHFR gene of CHO cells is much more efficient than in the genome overall," *Cell*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 359–369, 1985.
- [119] C. P. Selby and A. Sancar, "Transcription preferentially inhibits nucleotide excision repair of the template DNA

- strand *in vitro*," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 265, no. 34, pp. 21330–21336, 1990.
- [120] C. P. Selby, E. M. Witkin, and A. Sancar, "Escherichia coli mfd mutant deficient in "mutation frequency decline" lacks strand-specific repair: *in vitro* complementation with purified coupling factor," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 88, no. 24, pp. 11574–11578, 1991.
- [121] C. P. Selby and A. Sancar, "Structure and function of transcription-repair coupling factor. I. Structural domains and binding properties," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 270, no. 9, pp. 4882–4889, 1995.
- [122] A. M. Deaconescu, A. L. Chambers, A. J. Smith et al., "Structural basis for bacterial transcription-coupled DNA repair," *Cell*, vol. 124, no. 3, pp. 507–520, 2006.
- [123] E. Karakas, J. J. Truglio, D. Croteau et al., "Structure of the C-terminal half of UvrC reveals an RNase H endonuclease domain with an Argonaute-like catalytic triad," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 613–622, 2007.
- [124] M. Machius, L. Henry, M. Palnitkar, and J. Deisenhofer, "Crystal structure of the DNA nucleotide excision repair enzyme UvrB from *Thermus thermophilus*," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 96, no. 21, pp. 11717–11722, 1999.
- [125] N. Nakagawa, M. Sugahara, R. Masui, R. Kato, K. Fukuyama, and S. Kuramitsu, "Crystal structure of *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 UvrB protein, a key enzyme of nucleotide excision repair," *Journal of Biochemistry*, vol. 126, no. 6, pp. 986–990, 1999.
- [126] D. Pakotiprapha, Y. Inuzuka, B. R. Bowman et al., "Crystal Structure of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* UvrA provides insight into ATP-modulated dimerization, UvrB interaction, and DNA binding," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 122–133, 2008.
- [127] K. Theis, P. J. Chen, M. Skorvaga, B. Van Houten, and C. Kisker, "Crystal structure of UvrB, a DNA helicase adapted for nucleotide excision repair," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 18, no. 24, pp. 6899–6907, 1999.
- [128] J. J. Truglio, B. Rhau, D. L. Croteau et al., "Structural insights into the first incision reaction during nucleotide excision repair," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 885–894, 2005.
- [129] J. J. Truglio, E. Karakas, B. Rhau et al., "Structural basis for DNA recognition and processing by UvrB," *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 360–364, 2006.
- [130] N. Nakagawa, R. Masui, R. Kato, and S. Kuramitsu, "Domain structure of *Thermus thermophilus* UvrB protein. Similarity in domain structure to a helicase," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 272, no. 36, pp. 22703–22713, 1997.
- [131] M. Hori, C. Ishiguro, T. Suzuki et al., "UvrA and UvrB enhance mutations induced by oxidized deoxyribonucleotides," *DNA Repair*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 1786–1793, 2007.
- [132] R. M. Schaaper, "Base selection, proofreading, and mismatch repair during DNA replication in *Escherichia coli*," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 268, no. 32, pp. 23762–23765, 1993.
- [133] R. Fishel and R. D. Kolodner, "Identification of mismatch repair genes and their role in the development of cancer," *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 382–395, 1995.
- [134] R. R. Lyer, A. Pluciennik, V. Burdett, and P. L. Modrich, "DNA mismatch repair: functions and mechanisms," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 302–323, 2006.
- [135] P. Modrich, "Methyl-directed DNA mismatch correction," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 264, no. 12, pp. 6597–6600, 1989.
- [136] M. H. Lamers, A. Perrakis, J. H. Enzlin, H. H. K. Winterwerp, N. De Wind, and T. K. Sixma, "The crystal structure of DNA mismatch repair protein MutS binding to a G·T mismatch," *Nature*, vol. 407, no. 6805, pp. 711–717, 2000.
- [137] G. Obmolova, C. Ban, P. Hsieh, and W. Yang, "Crystal structures of mismatch repair protein MutS and its complex with a substrate DNA," *Nature*, vol. 407, no. 6805, pp. 703–710, 2000.
- [138] S. Takamatsu, R. Kato, and S. Kuramitsu, "Mismatch DNA recognition protein from an extremely thermophilic bacterium, *Thermus thermophilus* HB8," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 640–647, 1996.
- [139] C. Ban and W. Yang, "Structural basis for MutH activation in *E. coli* mismatch repair and relationship of MutH to restriction endonucleases," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 1526–1534, 1998.
- [140] L. E. Mechanic, B. A. Frankel, and S. W. Matson, "Escherichia coli MutL loads DNA helicase II onto DNA," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 49, pp. 38337–38346, 2000.
- [141] V. Burdett, C. Baitinger, M. Viswanathan, S. T. Lovett, and P. Modrich, "In vivo requirement for RecJ, ExoVII, ExoI, and ExoX in methyl-directed mismatch repair," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 98, no. 12, pp. 6765–6770, 2001.
- [142] A. Yamagata, Y. Kakuta, R. Masui, and K. Fukuyama, "The crystal structure of exonuclease RecJ bound to Mn²⁺ ion suggests how its characteristic motifs are involved in exonuclease activity," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 99, no. 9, pp. 5908–5912, 2002.
- [143] A. Yamagata, R. Masui, Y. Kakuta, S. Kuramitsu, and K. Fukuyama, "Overexpression, purification and characterization of RecJ protein from *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 and its core domain," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 29, no. 22, pp. 4617–4624, 2001.
- [144] W.-H. Fang and P. Modrich, "Human strand-specific mismatch repair occurs by a bidirectional mechanism similar to that of the bacterial reaction," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 268, no. 16, pp. 11838–11844, 1993.
- [145] L. Dzantiev, N. Constantin, J. Genschel, R. R. Iyer, P. M. Burgers, and P. Modrich, "A defined human system that supports bidirectional mismatch-provoked excision," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 31–41, 2004.
- [146] N. Constantin, L. Dzantiev, F. A. Kadyrov, and P. Modrich, "Human mismatch repair: reconstitution of a nick-directed bidirectional reaction," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 48, pp. 39752–39761, 2005.
- [147] P. Modrich, "Mechanisms in eukaryotic mismatch repair," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 281, no. 41, pp. 30305–30309, 2006.
- [148] J. Genschel, L. R. Bazemore, and P. Modrich, "Human exonuclease I is required for 5' and 3' mismatch repair," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 15, pp. 13302–13311, 2002.
- [149] K. Wei, A. B. Clark, E. Wong et al., "Inactivation of exonuclease I in mice results in DNA mismatch repair defects, increased cancer susceptibility, and male and female sterility," *Genes and Development*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 603–614, 2003.
- [150] F. A. Kadyrov, L. Dzantiev, N. Constantin, and P. Modrich, "Endonucleolytic function of MutL α in human mismatch repair," *Cell*, vol. 126, no. 2, pp. 297–308, 2006.

- [151] F. A. Kadyrov, S. F. Holmes, M. E. Arana et al., "Saccharomyces cerevisiae MutL α is a mismatch repair endonuclease," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 282, no. 51, pp. 37181–37190, 2007.
- [152] K. Fukui, M. Nishida, N. Nakagawa, R. Masui, and S. Kuramitsu, "Bound nucleotide controls the endonuclease activity of mismatch repair enzyme MutL," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 283, no. 18, pp. 12136–12145, 2008.
- [153] V. Duppatla, C. Bodda, C. Urbanke, P. Friedhoff, and D. N. Rao, "The C-terminal domain is sufficient for endonuclease activity of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* MutL," *Biochemical Journal*, vol. 423, no. 2, pp. 265–277, 2009.
- [154] J. Mauris and T. C. Evans Jr., "Adenosine triphosphate stimulates *Aquifex aeolicus* MutL endonuclease activity," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 4, no. 9, article no. e7175, 2009.
- [155] H. Tachiki, R. Kato, and S. Kuramitsu, "DNA binding and protein-protein interaction sites in MutS, a mismatched DNA recognition protein from *Thermus thermophilus* HB8," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 52, pp. 40703–40709, 2000.
- [156] R. Kato, M. Kataoka, H. Kamikubo, and S. Kuramitsu, "Direct observation of three conformations of MutS protein regulated by adenine nucleotides," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 309, no. 1, pp. 227–238, 2001.
- [157] S. Acharya, P. L. Foster, P. Brooks, and R. Fishel, "The coordinated functions of the *E. coli* MutS and MutL proteins in mismatch repair," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 233–246, 2003.
- [158] M. L. Mendillo, C. D. Putnam, A. O. Mo et al., "Probing DNA- and ATP-mediated conformational changes in the MutS family of mispair recognition proteins using deuterium exchange mass spectrometry," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 285, no. 17, pp. 13170–13182, 2010.
- [159] L. J. Blackwell, D. Martik, K. P. Bjornson, E. S. Bjornson, and P. Modrich, "Nucleotide-promoted release of hMutSa from heteroduplex DNA is consistent with an ATP-dependent translocation mechanism," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 273, no. 48, pp. 32055–32062, 1998.
- [160] L. J. Blackwell, K. P. Bjornson, D. J. Allen, and P. Modrich, "Distinct MutS DNA-binding modes that are differentially modulated by ATP binding and hydrolysis," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, no. 36, pp. 34339–34347, 2001.
- [161] G. Natrajan, M. H. Lamers, J. H. Enzlin, H. H. K. Winterwerp, A. Perrakis, and T. K. Sixma, "Structures of *Escherichia coli* DNA mismatch repair enzyme MutS in complex with different mismatches: a common recognition mode for diverse substrates," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 31, no. 16, pp. 4814–4821, 2003.
- [162] J. J. Warren, T. J. Pohlhaus, A. Changela et al., "Structure of the human MutSa DNA lesion recognition complex," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 579–592, 2007.
- [163] R. Dutta and M. Inouye, "GHKL, an emergent ATPase/kinase superfamily," *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 24–28, 2000.
- [164] C. Ban, M. Junop, and W. Yang, "Transformation of MutL by ATP binding and hydrolysis: a switch in DNA mismatch repair," *Cell*, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 85–97, 1999.
- [165] E. J. Sacho, F. A. Kadyrov, P. Modrich, T. A. Kunkel, and D. A. Erie, "Direct visualization of asymmetric adenine nucleotide-induced conformational changes in MutL α ," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 112–121, 2008.
- [166] G.-L. Moldovan, B. Pfander, and S. Jentsch, "PCNA, the maestro of the replication fork," *Cell*, vol. 129, no. 4, pp. 665–679, 2007.
- [167] S. S. Shell, C. D. Putnam, and R. D. Kolodner, "The N terminus of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Msh6 is an unstructured tether to PCNA," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 565–578, 2007.
- [168] T. A. Kunkel and D. A. Erie, "DNA mismatch repair," *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, vol. 74, pp. 681–710, 2005.
- [169] P. J. Masih, D. Kunnev, and T. Melendy, "Mismatch repair proteins are recruited to replicating DNA through interaction with Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA)," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 67–75, 2008.
- [170] R. R. Iyer, T. J. Pohlhaus, S. Chen et al., "The MutSa-proliferating cell nuclear antigen interaction in human DNA mismatch repair," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 283, no. 19, pp. 13310–13319, 2008.
- [171] L. A. Simmons, B. W. Davies, A. D. Grossman, and G. C. Walker, " β clamp directs localization of mismatch repair in *Bacillus subtilis*," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 291–301, 2008.
- [172] J. Genschel and P. Modrich, "Analysis of the excision step in human DNA mismatch repair," *Methods in Enzymology*, vol. 408, pp. 273–284, 2006.
- [173] J. Genschel and P. Modrich, "Mechanism of 5'-directed excision in human mismatch repair," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 1077–1086, 2003.
- [174] J. Mauris and T. C. Evans Jr., "A human PMS2 homologue from *Aquifex aeolicus* stimulates an ATP-dependent DNA helicase," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 285, no. 15, pp. 11087–11092, 2010.
- [175] A. Shimada, R. Masui, N. Nakagawa et al., "A novel single-stranded DNA-specific 3'-5' exonuclease, *Thermus thermophilus* exonuclease I, is involved in several DNA repair pathways," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 38, no. 17, pp. 5792–5705, 2010.
- [176] T. Wakamatsu, Y. Kitamura, Y. Kotera, N. Nakagawa, S. Kuramitsu, and R. Masui, "Structure of RecJ exonuclease defines its specificity for single-stranded DNA," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 285, no. 13, pp. 9762–9769, 2010.
- [177] W. Yang, "An equivalent metal ion in one- and two-metal-ion catalysis," *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology*, vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 1228–1231, 2008.
- [178] M. Shrivastav, L. P. De Haro, and J. A. Nickoloff, "Regulation of DNA double-strand break repair pathway choice," *Cell Research*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 134–147, 2008.
- [179] M. E. Moynahan and M. Jasin, "Mitotic homologous recombination maintains genomic stability and suppresses tumorigenesis," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 196–207, 2010.
- [180] T. Helleday, J. Lo, D. C. van Gent, and B. P. Engelward, "DNA double-strand break repair: from mechanistic understanding to cancer treatment," *DNA Repair*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 923–935, 2007.
- [181] L. H. Thompson and D. Schild, "Recombinational DNA repair and human disease," *Mutation Research*, vol. 509, no. 1-2, pp. 49–78, 2002.
- [182] A. Nowosielska, "Bacterial DNA repair genes and their eukaryotic homologues: 5. The role of recombination in DNA repair and genome stability," *Acta Biochimica Polonica*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 483–494, 2007.
- [183] E. P. C. Rocha, E. Cornet, and B. Michel, "Comparative and evolutionary analysis of the bacterial homologous recombination systems," *PLoS Genetics*, vol. 1, no. 2, article no. e15, pp. 0247–0259, 2005.
- [184] G. A. Cromie, J. C. Connelly, and D. R. F. Leach, "Recombination at double-strand breaks and DNA ends: conserved

- mechanisms from phage to humans," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1163–1174, 2001.
- [185] M. Sasaki, J. Lange, and S. Keeney, "Genome destabilization by homologous recombination in the germ line," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 182–195, 2010.
- [186] C. M. Thomas and K. M. Nielsen, "Mechanisms of, and barriers to, horizontal gene transfer between bacteria," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 711–721, 2005.
- [187] G.-M. Li, "Mechanisms and functions of DNA mismatch repair," *Cell Research*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 85–98, 2008.
- [188] P. Sung and H. Klein, "Mechanism of homologous recombination: mediators and helicases take on regulatory functions," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 739–750, 2006.
- [189] P. Huertas, "DNA resection in eukaryotes: deciding how to fix the break," *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 11–16, 2010.
- [190] N. Handa, K. Morimatsu, S. T. Lovett, and S. C. Kowalczykowski, "Reconstitution of initial steps of dsDNA break repair by the RecF pathway of *E. coli*," *Genes and Development*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1234–1245, 2009.
- [191] J. T.P. Yeeles and M. S. Dillingham, "The processing of double-stranded DNA breaks for recombinational repair by helicase-nuclease complexes," *DNA Repair*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 276–285, 2010.
- [192] R. D. Shereda, D. A. Bernstein, and J. L. Keck, "A central role for SSB in *Escherichia coli* RecQ DNA helicase function," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 282, no. 26, pp. 19247–19258, 2007.
- [193] H. Brüggemann and C. Chen, "Comparative genomics of *Thermus thermophilus*: plasticity of the megaplasmid and its contribution to a thermophilic lifestyle," *Journal of Biotechnology*, vol. 124, no. 4, pp. 654–661, 2006.
- [194] R. Sharma and D. N. Rao, "Orchestration of *Haemophilus influenzae* RecJ exonuclease by interaction with single-stranded DNA-binding protein," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 385, no. 5, pp. 1375–1396, 2009.
- [195] K. Morimatsu and S. C. Kowalczykowski, "RecFOR proteins load RecA protein onto gapped DNA to accelerate DNA strand exchange: a universal step of recombinational repair," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1337–1347, 2003.
- [196] M. Honda, T. Fujisawa, T. Shibata, and T. Mikawa, "RecR forms a ring-like tetramer that encircles dsDNA by forming a complex with RecF," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 36, no. 15, pp. 5013–5020, 2008.
- [197] M. Honda, J. Inoue, M. Yoshimasu, Y. Ito, T. Shibata, and T. Mikawa, "Identification of the RecR Toprim domain as the binding site for both recF and recO: A role of recR in recFOR assembly at double-stranded DNA-single-stranded DNA junctions," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 281, no. 27, pp. 18549–18559, 2006.
- [198] J. Inoue, M. Honda, S. Ikawa, T. Shibata, and T. Mikawa, "The process of displacing the single-stranded DNA-binding protein from single-stranded DNA by RecO and RecR proteins," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 94–109, 2008.
- [199] A. Carreira and S. C. Kowalczykowski, "BRCA2: shining light on the regulation of DNA-binding selectivity by RAD51," *Cell Cycle*, vol. 8, no. 21, pp. 3445–3447, 2009.
- [200] S. L. Gasiot, H. Olivares, U. Ear, D. M. Hari, R. Weichselbaum, and D. K. Bishop, "Assembly of RecA-like recombinases: distinct roles for mediator proteins in mitosis and meiosis," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 98, no. 15, pp. 8411–8418, 2001.
- [201] A. V. Mazin, O. M. Mazina, D. V. Bugreev, and M. J. Rossi, "Rad54, the motor of homologous recombination," *DNA Repair*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 286–302, 2010.
- [202] M. J. McIlwraith, E. Van Dyck, J.-Y. Masson, A. Z. Stasiak, A. Stasiak, and S. C. West, "Reconstitution of the strand invasion step of double-strand break repair using human Rad51 Rad52 and RPA proteins," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 304, no. 2, pp. 151–164, 2000.
- [203] Z. Lin, H. Kong, M. Nei, and H. Ma, "Origins and evolution of the recA/RAD51 gene family: evidence for ancient gene duplication and endosymbiotic gene transfer," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 103, no. 27, pp. 10328–10333, 2006.
- [204] D. A. McGrew and K. L. Knight, "Molecular design and functional organization of the RecA protein," *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 385–432, 2003.
- [205] M. M. Cox, "Motoring along with the bacterial RecA protein," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 127–138, 2007.
- [206] J. San Filippo, P. Sung, and H. Klein, "Mechanism of eukaryotic homologous recombination," *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, vol. 77, pp. 229–257, 2008.
- [207] R. M. Story, I. T. Weber, and T. A. Steitz, "The structure of the *E. coli* recA protein monomer and polymer," *Nature*, vol. 355, no. 6358, pp. 318–325, 1992.
- [208] Z. Chen, H. Yang, and N. P. Pavletich, "Mechanism of homologous recombination from the RecA-ssDNA/dsDNA structures," *Nature*, vol. 453, no. 7194, pp. 489–494, 2008.
- [209] T. Nishinaka, Y. Ito, S. Yokoyama, and T. Shibata, "An extended DNA structure through deoxyribose-base stacking induced by RecA protein," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 94, no. 13, pp. 6623–6628, 1997.
- [210] M. D. Sutton and G. C. Walker, "Managing DNA polymerases: coordinating DNA replication, DNA repair, and DNA recombination," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 98, no. 15, pp. 8342–8349, 2001.
- [211] T. Kawamoto, K. Araki, E. Sonoda et al., "Dual roles for DNA polymerase η in homologous DNA recombination and translesion DNA synthesis," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 793–799, 2005.
- [212] X. Li, C. M. Stith, P. M. Burgers, and W.-D. Heyer, "PCNA is required for initiation of recombination-associated DNA synthesis by DNA polymerase δ ," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 704–713, 2009.
- [213] M. J. McIlwraith and S. C. West, "DNA repair synthesis facilitates RAD52-mediated second-end capture during DSB repair," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 510–516, 2008.
- [214] M. J. McIlwraith, A. Vaisman, Y. Liu et al., "Human DNA polymerase η promotes DNA synthesis from strand invasion intermediates of homologous recombination," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 783–792, 2005.
- [215] G.-L. Moldovan, M. V. Madhavan, K. D. Mirchandani, R. M. McCaffrey, P. Vinciguerra, and A. D. D'Andrea, "DNA polymerase POLN participates in cross-link repair and homologous recombination," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 1088–1096, 2010.
- [216] S. Delmas and I. Matic, "Interplay between replication and recombination in *Escherichia coli*: impact of the alternative DNA polymerases," *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

- Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 103, no. 12, pp. 4564–4569, 2006.
- [217] A. Henne, H. Brüggemann, C. Raasch et al., “The genome sequence of the extreme thermophile *Thermus thermophilus*,” *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 547–553, 2004.
- [218] O. White, J. A. Eisen, J. F. Heidelberg et al., “Genome sequence of the radioresistant bacterium *Deinococcus radiodurans* R1,” *Science*, vol. 286, no. 5444, pp. 1571–1577, 1999.
- [219] D. Slade, A. B. Lindner, G. Paul, and M. Radman, “Recombination and replication in DNA repair of heavily irradiated *Deinococcus radiodurans*,” *Cell*, vol. 136, no. 6, pp. 1044–1055, 2009.
- [220] N. Kantake, M. V. V. M. Madiraju, T. Sugiyama, and S. C. Kowalczykowski, “*Escherichia coli* RecO protein anneals ssDNA complexed with its cognate ssDNA-binding protein: a common step in genetic recombination,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 99, no. 24, pp. 15327–15332, 2002.
- [221] T. Sugiyama, N. Kantake, Y. Wu, and S. C. Kowalczykowski, “Rad52-mediated DNA annealing after Rad51-mediated DNA strand exchange promotes second ssDNA capture,” *EMBO Journal*, vol. 25, no. 23, pp. 5539–5548, 2006.
- [222] A. V. Nimonkar, R. A. Sica, and S. C. Kowalczykowski, “Rad52 promotes second-end DNA capture in double-stranded break repair to form complement-stabilized joint molecules,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 106, no. 9, pp. 3077–3082, 2009.
- [223] J. M. Martínez-Salazar, J. Zuñiga-Castillo, and D. Romero, “Differential roles of proteins involved in migration of Holliday junctions on recombination and tolerance to DNA damaging agents in *Rhizobium etli*,” *Gene*, vol. 432, no. 1–2, pp. 26–32, 2009.
- [224] K. Yamada, M. Ariyoshi, and K. Morikawa, “Three-dimensional structural views of branch migration and resolution in DNA homologous recombination,” *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 130–137, 2004.
- [225] C. J. Rudolph, A. L. Upton, G. S. Briggs, and R. G. Lloyd, “Is RecG a general guardian of the bacterial genome?” *DNA Repair*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 210–223, 2010.
- [226] C. E. Beam, C. J. Saveson, and S. T. Lovett, “Role for radA/sms in recombination intermediate processing in *Escherichia coli*,” *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 184, no. 24, pp. 6836–6844, 2002.
- [227] M. Ariyoshi, T. Nishino, H. Iwasaki, H. Shinagawa, and K. Morikawa, “Crystal structure of the holliday junction DNA in complex with a single RuvA tetramer,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 97, no. 15, pp. 8257–8262, 2000.
- [228] Y. Fujiwara, K. Mayanagi, and K. Morikawa, “Functional significance of octameric RuvA for a branch migration complex from *Thermus thermophilus*,” *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 366, no. 2, pp. 426–431, 2008.
- [229] K. Yamada, T. Miyata, D. Tsuchiya et al., “Crystal structure of the RuvA-RuvB complex: a structural basis for the holliday junction migrating motor machinery,” *Molecular Cell*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 671–681, 2002.
- [230] K. Yamada, N. Kunishima, K. Mayanagi et al., “Crystal structure of the Holliday junction migration motor protein RuvB from *Thermus thermophilus* HB8,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 98, no. 4, pp. 1442–1447, 2001.
- [231] T. Ohnishi, T. Hishida, Y. Harada, H. Iwasaki, and H. Shinagawa, “Structure-function analysis of the three domains of RuvB DNA motor protein,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 34, pp. 30504–30510, 2005.
- [232] T. Ohnishi, H. Iwasaki, Y. Ishino, S. Kuramitsu, A. Nakata, and H. Shinagawa, “Identification and characterization of *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 RuvA protein, the subunit of the RuvAB protein complex that promotes branch migration of Holliday junctions,” *Genes and Genetic Systems*, vol. 75, no. 5, pp. 233–243, 2000.
- [233] K. Mayanagi, Y. Fujiwara, T. Miyata, and K. Morikawa, “Electron microscopic single particle analysis of a tetrameric RuvA/RuvB/Holliday junction DNA complex,” *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 365, no. 2, pp. 273–278, 2008.
- [234] M. Ariyoshi, D. G. Vassylyev, H. Iwasaki, H. Nakamura, H. Shinagawa, and K. Morikawa, “Atomic structure of the RuvC resolvase: a Holliday junction-specific endonuclease from *E. coli*,” *Cell*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 1063–1072, 1994.
- [235] A. K. Eggleston and S. C. West, “Cleavage of holliday junctions by the *Escherichia coli* RuvABC complex,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 34, pp. 26467–26476, 2000.
- [236] A. J. Van Gool, N. M. A. Hajibagheri, A. Stasiak, and S. C. West, “Assembly of the *Escherichia coli* RuvABC resolvosome directs the orientation of Holliday junction resolution,” *Genes and Development*, vol. 13, no. 14, pp. 1861–1870, 1999.
- [237] D. Zerbib, C. Mézard, H. George, and S. C. West, “Coordinated actions of RuvABC in Holliday junction processing,” *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 281, no. 4, pp. 621–630, 1998.
- [238] J. M. Svendsen and J. W. Harper, “GEN1/Yen1 and the SLX4 complex: solutions to the problem of Holliday junction resolution,” *Genes and Development*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 521–536, 2010.
- [239] S. L. Andersen, D. T. Bergstralh, K. P. Kohl, J. R. LaRocque, C. B. Moore, and J. Sekelsky, “*Drosophila* MUS312 and the vertebrate ortholog BTBD12 interact with DNA structure-specific endonucleases in DNA repair and recombination,” *Molecular Cell*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 128–135, 2009.
- [240] S. Fekairi, S. Scaglione, C. Chahwan et al., “Human SLX4 is a holliday junction resolvase subunit that binds multiple DNA repair/recombination endonucleases,” *Cell*, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 78–89, 2009.
- [241] I. M. Muñoz, K. Hain, A.-C. Déclais et al., “Coordination of structure-specific nucleases by human SLX4/BTBD12 is required for DNA repair,” *Molecular Cell*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 116–127, 2009.
- [242] T. T. Saito, J. L. Youds, S. J. Boulton, and M. P. Colaiácovo, “*Caenorhabditis elegans* HIM-18/SLX-4 interacts with SLX-1 and XPF-1 and maintains genomic integrity in the germline by processing recombination intermediates,” *PLoS Genetics*, vol. 5, no. 11, article no. e1000735, 2009.
- [243] J. M. Svendsen, A. Smogorzewska, M. E. Sowa et al., “Mammalian BTBD12/SLX4 assembles a Holliday junction resolvase and is required for DNA repair,” *Cell*, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 63–77, 2009.
- [244] H. Iwasaki, M. Takahagi, T. Shiba, A. Nakata, and H. Shinagawa, “*Escherichia coli* RuvC protein is an endonuclease that resolves the Holliday structure,” *EMBO Journal*, vol. 10, no. 13, pp. 4381–4389, 1991.
- [245] E. L. Bolt and R. G. Lloyd, “Substrate specificity of RuvA resolvase reveals the DNA structures targeted by RuvAB and RecG in vivo,” *Molecular Cell*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 187–198, 2002.

- [246] S. N. Chan, L. Harris, E. L. Bolt, M. C. Whitby, and R. G. Lloyd, "Sequence specificity and biochemical characterization of the RuvA Holliday junction resolvase of *Escherichia coli*," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 272, no. 23, pp. 14873–14882, 1997.
- [247] C. R. Lopez, S. Yang, R. W. Deibler et al., "A role for topoisomerase III in a recombination pathway alternative to RuvABC," *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 80–101, 2005.
- [248] D. Sheng, R. Liu, Z. Xu, P. Singh, B. Shen, and Y. Hua, "Dual negative regulatory mechanisms of RecX on RecA functions in radiation resistance, DNA recombination and consequent genome instability in *Deinococcus radiodurans*," *DNA Repair*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 671–678, 2005.
- [249] K. Jimbo, J. Inoue, T. Masuda, T. Shibata, and T. Mikawa, "Purification and characterization of the *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 RecX protein," *Protein Expression and Purification*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 320–323, 2007.
- [250] S. Ragone, J. D. Maman, N. Furnham, and L. Pellegrini, "Structural basis for inhibition of homologous recombination by the RecX protein," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 27, no. 16, pp. 2259–2269, 2008.
- [251] R. Lestini and B. Michel, "UvrD controls the access of recombination proteins to blocked replication forks," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 26, no. 16, pp. 3804–3814, 2007.
- [252] X. Veaute, S. Delmas, M. Selva et al., "UvrD helicase, unlike Rep helicase, dismantles RecA nucleoprotein filaments in *Escherichia coli*," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 180–189, 2005.
- [253] K. Fukui, N. Nakagawa, Y. Kitamura, Y. Nishida, R. Masui, and S. Kuramitsu, "Crystal structure of Muts2 endonuclease domain and the mechanism of homologous recombination suppression," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 283, no. 48, pp. 33417–33427, 2008.
- [254] A. V. Pinto, A. Mathieu, S. Marsin et al., "Suppression of homologous and homeologous recombination by the bacterial MutS2 protein," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 113–120, 2005.
- [255] K. Fukui, Y. Takahata, N. Nakagawa, S. Kuramitsu, and R. Masui, "Analysis of a nuclease activity of catalytic domain of *Thermus thermophilus* MutS2 by high-accuracy mass spectrometry," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 35, no. 15, article no. e100, 2007.
- [256] K. Fukui, H. Kosaka, S. Kuramitsu, and R. Masui, "Nuclease activity of the MutS homologue MutS2 from *Thermus thermophilus* is confined to the Smr domain," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 850–860, 2007.
- [257] K. Fukui, R. Masui, and S. Kuramitsu, "*Thermus thermophilus* MutS2, a MutS paralogue, possesses an endonuclease activity promoted by MutL," *Journal of Biochemistry*, vol. 135, no. 3, pp. 375–384, 2004.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

