

Research Article

Exact Solutions for Nonclassical Stefan Problems

Adriana C. Briozzo and Domingo A. Tarzia

*Departamento de Matemática-CONICET, FCE, Universidad Austral, Paraguay 1950,
S2000FZF Rosario, Argentina*

Correspondence should be addressed to Domingo A. Tarzia, dtarzia@austral.edu.ar

Received 17 February 2010; Revised 17 June 2010; Accepted 3 August 2010

Academic Editor: Maurizio Grasselli

Copyright © 2010 A. C. Briozzo and D. A. Tarzia. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We consider one-phase nonclassical unidimensional Stefan problems for a source function F which depends on the heat flux, or the temperature on the fixed face $x = 0$. In the first case, we assume a temperature boundary condition, and in the second case we assume a heat flux boundary condition or a convective boundary condition at the fixed face. Exact solutions of a similarity type are obtained in all cases.

1. Introduction

The one-phase Stefan problem for a semi-infinite material is a free boundary problem for the classical heat equation which requires the determination of the temperature distribution u of the liquid phase (melting problem) or the solid phase (solidification problem) and the evolution of the free boundary $x = s(t)$. Phase change problems appear frequently in industrial processes and other problems of technological interest [1–4].

Nonclassical heat conduction problem for a semi-infinite material was studied in [5–11]. A problem of this type is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & u_t - u_{xx} = -F(W(t), t), \quad x > 0, t > 0, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & u(0, t) = f(t), \quad t > 0, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & u(x, 0) = h(x), \quad x > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where functions $f = f(t)$ and $h = h(x)$ are continuous real functions, and F is a given function of two variables. A particular and interesting case is the following:

$$F(W(t), t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{t}} W(t) \quad (\lambda_0 > 0), \tag{1.2}$$

where $W = W(t)$ represents the heat flux on the boundary $x = 0$, that is $W(t) = u_x(0, t)$. Problems of the types (1.1) and (1.2) can be thought of by modelling of a system of temperature regulation in isotropic mediums [10, 11], with a nonuniform source term which provides a cooling or heating effect depending upon the properties of F related to the course of the heat flux (or the temperature in other cases) at the boundary $x = 0$ [10].

In the particular case of a bounded domain, a class of problems, when the heat source is uniform and belongs to a given multivalued function from \mathbb{R} into itself, was studied in [8] regarding existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic behavior. Moreover, in [5] conditions are given on the nonlinearity of the source term F so as to accelerate the convergence of the solution to the steady-state solution. Other references on the subject are in [7, 12, 13].

Nonclassical free boundary problems of the Stefan type were recently studied in [14–16] from a theoretical point of view by using an equivalent formulation through a system of second kind Volterra integral equations [17–19]. A large bibliography on free boundary problems for the heat equation was given in [20].

In this paper, firstly we consider a free boundary problem which consists in determining the temperature $u = u(x, t)$ and the free boundary $x = s(t)$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\rho c u_t - k u_{xx} = -\gamma F(W(t), t), \quad 0 < x < s(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(0, t) = f > 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$u(s(t), t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

$$k u_x(s(t), t) = -\rho l \dot{s}(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

$$s(0) = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where the thermal coefficients $k, \rho, c, l, \gamma > 0$, the boundary temperature $f > 0$, and the control function F depend on the evolution of the heat flux at the boundary $x = 0$ as follows:

$$W(t) = u_x(0, t), \quad F(W(t), t) = F(u_x(0, t), t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{t}} u_x(0, t), \quad (1.8)$$

where $\lambda_0 > 0$ is a given constant. The existence and the uniqueness of the solution of a general free boundary problem of the type (1.3)–(1.8) was given recently in [14, 15]. Moreover, we consider other two free boundary problems which consist in determining the temperature $u = u(x, t)$ and the free boundary $x = s(t)$ such that (1.3), (1.5), (1.6), and (1.7) are satisfied, and in these cases the control function F depends on the evolution of the temperature at the boundary $x = 0$ as follows:

$$W(t) = u(0, t), \quad F(W(t), t) = F(u(0, t), t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{t} u(0, t), \quad \lambda_0 > 0. \quad (1.9)$$

In this case, a heat flux boundary condition

$$k u_x(0, t) = \frac{-q_0}{\sqrt{t}} > 0, \quad t > 0 \quad (1.10)$$

or a convective boundary condition

$$ku_x(0,t) = \frac{q_0}{\sqrt{t}}(u(0,t) - f) > 0, \quad t > 0 \quad (1.11)$$

can be considered at the fixed face $x = 0$ in order to obtain the corresponding explicit solutions.

The plan of this paper is the following. In Section 2, we show an explicit solution of a similarity type for the nonclassical one-phase Stefan problem (1.3)–(1.7) for a control function F given by (1.8).

In Sections 3 and 4, we obtain sufficient conditions on data in order to have a similarity type solution to the problems (1.3), (1.5), (1.6), and (1.7), where the control function F is given by (1.9) (instead of (1.8)) and we take into account the heat flux condition (1.10) or the convective condition (1.11) at the fixed face $x = 0$, respectively.

The restrictions on data we have obtained for these two free boundary problems with a heat flux boundary condition (1.10) or a convective boundary condition (1.11) at the fixed face $x = 0$ can be interpreted in the same way as we have obtained in the classical Stefan problem with the same boundary conditions in [21, 22] in order to have an instantaneous phase-change problem (see, e.g., sufficient condition $\lambda_0 < \rho c/2\gamma$ in Theorems 3.2 and 4.1).

2. Explicit Solution to a One-Phase Stefan Problem for a Nonclassical Heat Equation with Control Function of the Type $F(u_x(0,t), t) = (\lambda_0/\sqrt{t})u_x(0,t)$ and a Temperature Condition at the Fixed Boundary

We consider the following free boundary problem for a semi-infinite material given by the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho c u_t - k u_{xx} &= -\gamma F(u_x(0,t), t), \quad 0 < x < s(t), \quad t > 0, \\ u(0,t) &= f > 0, \quad t > 0, \\ u(s(t), t) &= 0, \quad t > 0, \\ k u_x(s(t), t) &= -\rho l \dot{s}(t), \quad t > 0, \\ s(0) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the thermal coefficients k, ρ, c, l, γ are positive and the control function F , which depends on the evolution of the heat flux at the extremum $x = 0$, is given by (1.8).

In order to obtain an explicit solution of a similarity type, we define

$$\Phi(\eta) = u(x,t), \quad \eta = \frac{x}{2a\sqrt{t}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $a^2 = k/\rho c$ is the diffusion coefficient of the phase change material. The problem (2.1) and (1.8) become

$$\Phi''(\eta) + 2\eta\Phi'(\eta) = 2\lambda\Phi'(0), \quad 0 < \eta < \eta_0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\Phi(0) = f, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\Phi(\eta_0) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\Phi'(\eta_0) = -\frac{2l}{c}\eta_0, \quad (2.6)$$

where the dimensionless parameter λ is defined by

$$\lambda = \frac{\gamma\lambda_0}{\rho ca} > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

and the free boundary $s(t)$ must be of the type

$$s(t) = 2a\eta_0\sqrt{t}, \quad (2.8)$$

where η_0 is an unknown parameter to be determined later. The general solution of the differential equation (2.3) is given by

$$\Phi(\eta) = C_2 + C_1 \left[\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \operatorname{erf}(\eta) + 2\lambda \int_0^\eta f_1(z) dz \right], \quad (2.9)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary constants, and

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x \exp(-z^2) dz, \quad f_1(x) = \exp(-x^2) \int_0^x \exp(r^2) dr \quad (2.10)$$

are the error function and the Dawson's integral (see [23, page 298] and [24, page 43]), respectively.

After some elementary computations, from (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) we obtain

$$\Phi(\eta) = f \left[1 - \frac{E(\eta, \lambda)}{E(\eta_0, \lambda)} \right], \quad 0 < \eta < \eta_0, \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$E(x, \lambda) = \operatorname{erf}(x) + \frac{4\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x f_1(r) dr. \quad (2.12)$$

Taking into account condition (2.6), the unknown parameter $\eta_0 = \eta_0(\lambda, \text{Ste})$ must be the solution of the following equation:

$$\frac{\text{Ste}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\exp(-x^2) + 2\lambda f_1(x) \right] = x \left[\text{erf}(x) + \frac{4\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x f_1(z) dz \right], \quad x > 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\text{Ste} = fc/l > 0$ is the Stefan's number. Equation (2.13) is equivalent to the following one:

$$W_1(x) = 2\lambda W_2(x), \quad x > 0, \quad (2.14)$$

where the real functions W_1 and W_2 are defined by

$$W_1(x) = \text{Ste} \exp(-x^2) - \sqrt{\pi} x \text{erf}(x), \quad (2.15)$$

$$W_2(x) = 2x \int_0^x f_1(r) dr - \text{Ste} f_1(x). \quad (2.16)$$

Remark 2.1. If $\lambda = 0$ (i.e., $\lambda_0 = 0$), then the problem (2.1) and (1.8) represented the classical Lamé-Clapeyron problem [25]. In this case, there exists a unique solution η_{00} of (2.17) (equivalent to (2.13)) given by

$$F_0(x) = \frac{\text{Ste}}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad x > 0, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$F_0(x) = x \text{erf}(x) \exp(x^2), \quad (2.18)$$

and the explicit solution is given by [2, 23]:

$$u(x, t) = f \left[1 - \frac{\text{erf}(\eta)}{\text{erf}(\eta_{00})} \right], \quad 0 < \eta = \frac{x}{2a\sqrt{t}} < \eta_{00}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$s(t) = 2a\eta_{00}\sqrt{t}.$$

In order to solve (2.14), we will study firstly the behavior of function f_1 . We obtain some preliminary properties.

Lemma 2.2. *The Dawson's integral satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) $f_1(0) = 0$,
- (ii) $f_1(+\infty) = 0$,
- (iii)

$$f_1'(x) = 1 - 2xf_1(x) = \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } 0 < x < x_1, \\ = 0 & \text{if } x = x_1, \\ < 0 & \text{if } x > x_1, \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

where $x_1 \approx 0.924$, $f_1(x_1) \approx 0.541$,

- (iv)

$$f_1''(x) = -2\left[1 + f_1(x)(1 - 2x^2)\right] = \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } 0 < x < x_2, \\ = 0 & \text{if } x = x_2, \\ > 0 & \text{if } x > x_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

where $x_2 \approx 1.502$, $f_1(x_2) \approx 0.428$,

- (v) $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} 2xf_1(x) = 1$.

Proof. The properties (i)–(iv) have been proved in [23, page 298] (see also [24, pages 42–45])

(v) By the L'Hopital Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} 2xf_1(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2x \int_0^x \exp(r^2) dr}{\exp(x^2)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^x \exp(r^2) dr + x \exp(x^2)}{x \exp(x^2)} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\int_0^x \exp(r^2) dr}{x \exp(x^2)} \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 + \frac{f_1(x)}{x} \right) = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

then (v) holds. □

Next, we define the following auxiliary functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x) &= \int_0^x f_1(r) dr, & \varphi_2(x) &= x\varphi_1(x) = x \int_0^x f_1(r) dr, \\ \varphi_3(x) &= xf_1(x), & \varphi_4(x) &= x(2xf_1(x) - 1) = -xf_1'(x), \\ \varphi_5(x) &= f_1(x) - xf_1'(x), & \varphi_6(x) &= \text{Ste} - 2(1 + \text{Ste})xf_1(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

We have the following results.

Lemma 2.3.

(a) Function φ_1 satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\varphi_1(0) = 0$,
- (ii) $\varphi_1'(x) = f_1(x)$,
- (iii) $\varphi_1'(0^+) = 0$,
- (iv) $\varphi_1(+\infty) = +\infty$,
- (v)

$$\varphi_1''(x) = f_1'(x) = 1 - 2xf_1(x) = \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } 0 < x < x_1, \\ = 0 & \text{if } x = x_1, \\ < 0 & \text{if } x > x_1, \end{cases} \quad (2.24)$$

- (vi) $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (\varphi_1(x) / \log(x)) = 1/2$,
- (vii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_1(x) f_1'(x) = 0$.

(b) Function φ_4 satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\varphi_4(0^+) = 0^-$,
- (ii) $\varphi_4'(x) = -1 + 4xf_1(x) - 2x^2(2xf_1(x) - 1)$,
- (iii) $\varphi_4(+\infty) = 0^+$,
- (iv) $\varphi_4'(0^+) = -1$,
- (v) $\varphi_4'(+\infty) = 0^+$,
- (vi) $\varphi_4(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = x_1$ (the maximum point of f_1),
- (vii) $\varphi_4'(x_1) = 1$.

(c) Function φ_3 satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\varphi_3(0^+) = 0$,
- (ii) $\varphi_3(+\infty) = 1/2$,
- (iii) $\varphi_3'(x) = f_1(x) + x(1 - 2xf_1(x))$,
- (iv) $\varphi_3'(0^+) = 0$,
- (v) $\varphi_3'(+\infty) = 0$,
- (vi) $\varphi_3(x_1) = x_1 f_1(x_1) \simeq 0.4999$,
- (vii) $\varphi_3(x_2) = x_2 f_1(x_2) \simeq 0.64$.

(d) Function φ_2 satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\varphi_2(0^+) = 0$,
- (ii) $\varphi_2(+\infty) = +\infty$,
- (iii) $\varphi_2'(x) = \varphi_1(x) + xf_1(x) > 0$, for all $x > 0$,
- (iv) $\varphi_2'(0^+) = 0$,
- (v) $\varphi_2'(+\infty) = +\infty$,
- (vi) $\varphi_2''(x) = 2f_1(x) - x(2xf_1(x) - 1)$,
- (vii) $\varphi_2''(+\infty) = 0$,
- (viii) $\varphi_2''(0^+) = 0$.

(e) Function φ_5 satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\varphi_5(0^+) = 0$,
- (ii) $\varphi_5(+\infty) = 0^+$,
- (iii)

$$\varphi_5'(x) = -xf_1''(x) = \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } 0 < x < x_2, \\ = 0 & \text{if } x = x_2, \\ < 0 & \text{if } x > x_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.25)$$

- (iv) $\varphi_5(x) > 0$, for all $x > 0$.

(f) Function φ_6 satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\varphi_6(0^+) = Ste > 0$,
- (ii) $\varphi_6(+\infty) = -1$,
- (iii) $\varphi_6'(x) = -2(1 + Ste)\varphi_3'(x)$,
- (iv) $\varphi_6'(0^+) = 0$,
- (v) $\varphi_6'(+\infty) = 0$,
- (vi) $\varphi_6(x_1) = x_1f_1(x_1) \simeq 0.4999$,
- (vii) $\varphi_6(x_2) = x_2f_1(x_2) \simeq 0.64$.

Proof. (a) Taking into account properties of f_1 , we have

$$\varphi_1'(x) = f_1(x) > 0, \quad \forall x > 0, \quad \varphi_1'(0) = f_1(0) = 0, \quad (2.26)$$

and (v) holds. If we consider Lemma 2.2(v), we get $\varphi_1(+\infty) = +\infty$ and we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi_1(x)}{\log(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} xf_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (2.27)$$

then (iv) and (vi) hold.

To prove (vii), we consider

$$\varphi_1(x)f_1'(x) = \left(\int_0^x f_1(r) dr \right) f_1'(x) = f_1(c)xf_1'(x), \quad (2.28)$$

where $c = c(x) \in (0, x)$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_1(x)f_1'(x) = 0$ because $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} xf_1'(x) = 0$ and f_1 is a bounded function.

(b) From the definition of φ_4 , we obtain (i) and (ii). To prove (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_4(+\infty) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x(2xf_1(x) - 1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2xf_1(x) - 1}{1/x} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} 2 \frac{[f_1(x) + x(1 - 2xf_1(x))]}{1/x^2} = 2 \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} [x^2f_1(x) + x^2x(1 - 2xf_1(x))], \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x(2xf_1(x) - 1) = 2 \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} [x^2x(2xf_1(x) - 1) - x^2f_1(x)]. \quad (2.30)$$

If we suppose that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x(2xf_1(x) - 1) = L > 0, \quad (2.31)$$

we get

$$L = 2 \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} [x^2x(2xf_1(x) - 1) - x^2f_1(x)] = +\infty, \quad (2.32)$$

which is a contradiction. If we suppose that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x(2xf_1(x) - 1) = +\infty, \quad (2.33)$$

then

$$\varphi'_4(+\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} -1 + 4xf_1(x) - 2x^2(2xf_1(x) - 1) = -\infty, \quad (2.34)$$

which is also a contradiction. Therefore, $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x(2xf_1(x) - 1) = 0$ and (iii) hold.

Taking into account (ii), we have $\varphi'_4(x) = -1 + 4xf_1(x) - 2x^2(2xf_1(x) - 1)$, then $\varphi'_4(0) = -1$ and if we consider (iii) we have $\varphi'_4(+\infty) = 0^+$. From properties of f_1 , we have

$$\varphi_4(x) = 0 \iff 2xf_1(x) - 1 = 0 \iff f'_1(x) = 0 \iff x = x_1, \quad (2.35)$$

and (vi) holds. Taking into account $f'_1(x) = 1 - 2xf_1(x) = 0$, we get $\varphi'_4(x_1) = 1$.

(c) From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3(b) we get (i)–(vii).

(d) We have $\varphi_2(x) = x\varphi_1(x) = x \int_0^x f_1(r) dr$, then from (a) and (b)(iii) we get (i)–(vi).

(e) As we have $\varphi_5(x) = f_1(x) - xf'_1(x) = f_1(x) + \varphi_4(x)$, then by using the properties of f_1 and (b) we obtain the properties of φ_5 .

(f) We have $\varphi_6(x) = \text{Ste} - 2(1 + \text{Ste})xf_1(x) = \text{Ste} - 2(1 + \text{Ste})\varphi_3(x)$, and from the properties of φ_3 , we obtain (i)–(v). \square

Corollary 2.4. *One has*

$$(i) \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x^2[2xf_1(x) - 1] = 1/2,$$

$$(ii) \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x[x^2(2xf_1(x) - 1) - xf_1(x)] = 0.$$

Now, we are in conditions to enunciate properties of functions W_1 and W_2 in order to study after (2.14).

Lemma 2.5. *The functions $W_1(x)$ and $W_2(x)$, defined by (2.15) and (2.16), respectively, satisfy the following properties.*

(a) *Properties of function W_1 :*

- (i) $W_1(0) = Ste$,
- (ii) $W_1(+\infty) = -\infty$,
- (iii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (W_1(x)/x) = -\sqrt{\pi}$,
- (iv) $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (W_1(x) + \sqrt{\pi}x) = 0$,
- (v) $W_1'(x) < 0$, for all $x > 0$,
- (vi) $W_1(\eta_{00}) = 0$, where η_{00} is the unique solution of (2.17),
- (vii)

$$W_1''(x) = \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } 0 < x < x_0, \\ = 0 & \text{if } x = x_0, \\ < 0 & \text{if } x > x_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$x_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3 + 2 Ste}{4(1 + Ste)}}, \quad (2.37)$$

- (viii) $W_1''(0^+) = -2(3 + 2 Ste) < 0$.

(b) *Properties of function W_2 :*

- (i) $W_2(0) = 0$,
- (ii) $W_2(+\infty) = +\infty$,
- (iii) there exists a unique $x_4 > 0$ such that $W_2(x_4) = 0$,
- (iv) $W_2'(x) = 2 \int_0^x f_1(r) dr + 2x f_1(x)(1 + Ste) - Ste$,
- (v) there exists a unique $x_3 > 0$ such that $W_2'(x_3) = 0$ and $W_2(x_3) < 0$,
- (vi) $W_2'(0^+) = -Ste < 0$,
- (vii) $W_2'(+\infty) = +\infty$,
- (viii) $W_2''(x) = 2(1 + Ste)x + 2f_1(x)[2 + Ste - 2(1 + Ste)x^2]$,
- (ix) $W_2''(0^+) = 0$,
- (x) $W_2(\eta_{00}) < 0$.

Proof. (a) Taking into account the definition of the function W_1 , we get (i) and (ii).

(iii) We have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{W_1(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left[Ste \frac{\exp(-x^2)}{x} - \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}(x) \right] = -\sqrt{\pi}. \quad (2.38)$$

(iv) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (W_1(x) + \sqrt{\pi}x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\text{Ste} \exp(-x^2) - \sqrt{\pi}x \operatorname{erf}(x) + \sqrt{\pi}x \right) \\
 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\text{Ste} \exp(-x^2) + \sqrt{\pi}x \operatorname{erf} c(x) \right) \\
 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\text{Ste} \exp(-x^2) + Q(x) \exp(-x^2) \right) \\
 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \exp(-x^2) (\text{Ste} + Q(x)) = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

where Q is the function defined by

$$Q(x) = \sqrt{\pi}x \exp(x^2) \operatorname{erf} c(x), \quad \operatorname{erf} c(x) = 1 - \operatorname{erf}(x), \tag{2.40}$$

which satisfies the following properties:

$$Q(0) = 0, \quad Q(+\infty) = 1, \quad Q'(x) > 0, \quad \forall x > 0. \tag{2.41}$$

(v) We have

$$W_1'(x) = -\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}(x) - 2x \exp(-x^2) [\text{Ste} + 1] < 0, \quad \forall x > 0. \tag{2.42}$$

(vi) Taking into account (i), (iii), and (v), we get that there exists a unique zero of W_1 which is given by η_{00} , the unique solution of (2.17).

(vii) We have

$$W_1''(x) = -2 \exp(-x^2) [3 + 2\text{Ste} - 4(1 + \text{Ste})x^2], \tag{2.43}$$

then

$$W_1''(x) = 0 \iff 4(1 + \text{Ste})x^2 = 3 + 2\text{Ste} \iff x = x_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3 + 2\text{Ste}}{4(1 + \text{Ste})}}. \tag{2.44}$$

Since $\operatorname{sign}(W_1''(x)) = \operatorname{sign}(4(1 + \text{Ste})x^2 - 3 - 2\text{Ste})$, then we obtain (vii).

(b) Taking into account Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have (i) and (ii).

We can write

$$W_2'(x) = 2 \int_0^x f_1(r) dr + 2x f_1(x)(1 + \text{Ste}) - \text{Ste} = 2\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_6(x), \tag{2.45}$$

then $W_2'(0^+) = -\text{Ste}$, $W_2'(+\infty) = +\infty$ and $W_2''(x) = 2\varphi_1'(x) - \varphi_6'(x)$ satisfies $W_2''(0^+) = 0$. Then (iv), (vi), (vii), (viii), and (ix) hold.

We have

$$W_2(x) = 0 \iff 2\varphi_2(x) = \text{Ste } f_1(x), \quad (2.46)$$

then taking into account the properties of φ_2 and f_1 , we get that there exists a unique $x_4 > 0$ such that

$$W_2(x) = 0, \quad x > 0. \quad (2.47)$$

Moreover, we have

$$W_2(x) = \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ < 0 & \text{if } 0 < x < x_4, \\ = 0 & \text{if } x = x_4, \\ > 0 & \text{if } x > x_4. \end{cases} \quad (2.48)$$

In the same way, we have

$$W'_2(x) = 0 \iff 2\varphi_1(x) = \varphi_6(x). \quad (2.49)$$

Then, if we consider the properties of the functions φ_1 and φ_2 , we have that there exists a unique x_3 such that $W'_2(x_3) = 0$. Moreover, $W_2(x_3) = -2x_3^2 f_1(x_3) - \text{Ste } \varphi_5(x_3) < 0$ and then (v) holds.

To prove (x), we take into account that

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(x) &= 2x \int_0^x f_1(r) dr - \text{Ste } f_1(x) \\ &= \sqrt{\pi} x \operatorname{erf}(x) F(x) - \sqrt{\pi} x \int_0^x \operatorname{erf}(r) \exp(r^2) dr - \text{Ste } \exp(-x^2) F(x) \\ &= \sqrt{\pi} \exp(-x^2) \left[F_0(x) - \frac{\text{Ste}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right] F(x) - \sqrt{\pi} x \int_0^x \operatorname{erf}(r) \exp(r^2) dr, \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

where $F(x) = \int_0^x \exp(r^2) dr$ and F_0 was defined in (2.18). Then by using (2.17), we have

$$W_2(\eta_{00}) = -\sqrt{\pi} \eta_{00} \int_0^{\eta_{00}} \operatorname{erf}(r) \exp(r^2) dr < 0. \quad (2.51)$$

□

Lemma 2.6. For each $\lambda > 0$, there exists a unique solution η_0 of (2.14). This solution $\eta_0 = \eta_0(\lambda)$ satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\eta_0(0^+) = \eta_{00}$,
 - (ii) $\eta_0(+\infty) = x_4$,
 - (iii) $\eta_0 = \eta_0(\lambda)$ is an increasing function on λ ,
- (2.52)

where η_{00} and x_4 are the unique solution of (2.17) and (2.47), respectively.

Proof. Taking into account Lemma 2.5, we get that there exists a unique solution η_0 of (2.14). Let $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ be given, taking into account properties of function W_2 , we obtain that the real functions Z_1 and Z_2 defined by

$$Z_1(x) = 2\lambda_1 W_2(x), \quad Z_2(x) = 2\lambda_2 W_2(x) \quad (2.53)$$

satisfy the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2(x) &< Z_1(x) && \text{if } 0 < x < x_4, \\ Z_2(x) &= Z_1(x) && \text{if } x = x_4, \\ Z_2(x) &> Z_1(x) && \text{if } x > x_4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

Then $\eta_0(\lambda_1) < \eta_0(\lambda_2)$, where $\eta_0(\lambda_i)$ is the solution of equation $Z_i(x) = W_1(x)$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, $\eta_0 = \eta_0(\lambda)$ is an increasing function on λ . Moreover, we obtain $\eta_{00} < \eta_0(\lambda) < x_4$ because $W_2(\eta_{00}) < 0$. \square

Then, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 2.7. For each $\lambda > 0$, the free boundary problem (2.1), where F is defined by (1.8), has a unique similarity solution of the type

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t, \lambda) &= f \left[1 - \frac{E(\eta, \lambda)}{E(\eta_0(\lambda), \lambda)} \right], \quad 0 < \eta = \frac{x}{2a\sqrt{t}} < \eta_0(\lambda), \\ s(t, \lambda) &= 2a\eta_0(\lambda)\sqrt{t}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

where

$$E(\eta, \lambda) = \operatorname{erf}(\eta) + \frac{4\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\eta f_1(r) dr \quad (2.56)$$

and $\eta_0 = \eta_0(\lambda)$ is the unique solution of (2.14) with $\eta_{00} < \eta_0(\lambda) < x_4$.

3. Explicit Solution to a One-Phase Stefan Problem for a Nonclassical Heat Equation with Control Function of the Type $F(u(0,t),t) = (\lambda_0/t)u(0,t)$ and a Heat Flux Condition at the Fixed Face

In this section, the free boundary problem consists in determining the temperature $u = u(x,t)$ and the free boundary $x = s(t)$ with a control function F which depends on the evolution of the temperature at the extremum $x = 0$ given by the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho c u_t - k u_{xx} &= -\gamma F(u(0,t),t), \quad 0 < x < s(t), \quad t > 0, \\ k u_x(0,t) &= \frac{-q_0}{\sqrt{t}} > 0, \quad t > 0, \\ u(s(t),t) &= 0, \quad t > 0, \\ k u_x(s(t),t) &= -\rho l \dot{s}(t), \quad t > 0, \\ s(0) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where the coefficient $q_0 > 0$ characterizes the heat flux on the $x = 0$ [21] and the control function F is given by (1.9).

In order to obtain an explicit solution of a similarity type, we define the same transformation given by (2.2). The problem (3.1) and (1.9) are equivalent to the following one:

$$\Phi''(\eta) + 2\eta\Phi'(\eta) = \Lambda\Phi(0), \quad 0 < \eta < \mu_0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Phi'(0) = -q_0^*, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Phi(\mu_0) = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\Phi'(\mu_0) = -\frac{2l}{c}\mu_0, \tag{3.5}$$

where the dimensionless parameters Λ and q_0^* are defined by

$$\Lambda = \frac{4\gamma\lambda_0}{\rho c} > 0, \quad q_0^* = \frac{2aq_0}{k}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$s(t) = 2a\mu_0\sqrt{t} \tag{3.7}$$

is the free boundary, where μ_0 is an unknown parameter to be determined.

From (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4), we obtain the similarity solution

$$\Phi(\eta) = \frac{q_0^*\sqrt{\pi}}{2G(\mu_0,\Lambda)} [\operatorname{erf}(\mu_0)G(\eta,\Lambda) - \operatorname{erf}(\eta)G(\mu_0,\Lambda)], \quad 0 < \eta < \mu_0, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$G(x, \Lambda) = 1 + \Lambda \int_0^x f_1(r) dr = 1 + \Lambda \varphi_1(x), \quad (3.9)$$

and f_1 is the Dawson's integral and φ_1 is given by (2.23).

By condition (3.5), the unknown parameter $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\Lambda, l, c, q_0^*)$ must be solution of the following equation:

$$\Lambda \operatorname{erf}(x) f_1(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} G(x, \Lambda) \left[\exp(-x^2) - \frac{2l}{cq_0^*} x \right], \quad x > 0, \quad (3.10)$$

which is equivalent to the following one:

$$H_2(x) = H_3(x), \quad x > 0, \quad (3.11)$$

where the real functions H_2 and H_3 are defined by

$$H_2(x) = \Lambda \operatorname{erf}(x) f_1(x), \quad (3.12)$$

$$H_3(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} G(x, \Lambda) H_1(x), \quad (3.13)$$

$$H_1(x) = \left[\exp(-x^2) - \frac{2l}{cq_0^*} x \right]. \quad (3.14)$$

Remark 3.1. If $\Lambda = 0$ (i.e., $\lambda_0 = 0$), we have the solution

$$\Phi(\eta) = \frac{q_0^* \sqrt{\pi}}{2} [\operatorname{erf}(\mu_{00}) - \operatorname{erf}(\eta)], \quad 0 < \eta < \mu_{00}, \quad (3.15)$$

where μ_{00} is the unique solution of the following equation:

$$\exp(-x^2) = \frac{2l}{cq_0^*} x. \quad (3.16)$$

In order to solve (3.11), we consider properties of Dawson's integral, error function, and some auxiliary functions, and then we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. For each $\lambda_0 < \rho c/2\gamma$, the free boundary problem (3.1), where F is defined by (1.9), has a unique similarity solution of the type

$$u(x, t, \lambda_0) = \frac{q_0 a \sqrt{\pi}}{kG(\mu_0(\lambda_0), 4\gamma\lambda_0/\rho c)} \left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{2a\sqrt{t}}\right) G\left(\mu_0(\lambda_0), \frac{4\gamma\lambda_0}{\rho c}\right) - \operatorname{erf}(\mu_0(\lambda_0)) G\left(\frac{x}{2a\sqrt{t}}, \frac{4\gamma\lambda_0}{\rho c}\right) \right], \quad (3.17)$$

$$0 < \frac{x}{2a\sqrt{t}} < \mu_0(\lambda_0), \quad t > 0,$$

$$s(t, \lambda_0) = 2a\mu_0(\lambda_0)\sqrt{t}, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\lambda_0)$ is the unique solution of (3.11), $0 < \mu_0(\lambda_0) < \mu_{00}$.

Proof. We follow a similar method developed in Theorem 2.7. □

4. Explicit Solution to a One-Phase Stefan Problem for a Nonclassical Heat Equation with Control Function of the Type $F(u(0, t), t) = (\lambda_0/t)u(0, t)$ and a Convective Condition at the Fixed Face

In this section, we consider a similar problem to the one given in Section 3 for a convective boundary condition [22, 26] on the fixed face given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho c u_t - k u_{xx} &= -\gamma F(u(0, t), t), \quad 0 < x < s(t), \quad t > 0, \\ k u_x(0, t) &= \frac{h_0}{\sqrt{t}} (u(0, t) - f) > 0, \quad t > 0, \\ u(s(t), t) &= 0, \quad t > 0, \\ k u_x(s(t), t) &= -\rho l \dot{s}(t), \quad t > 0, \\ s(0) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where F is defined by (1.9) and h_0 characterizes the heat transfer coefficients [22, 26]. To solve this problem, we consider again a similarity type solution given by (2.2). Then, the problem (4.1) and (1.9) are equivalent to the following one:

$$\Phi''(\eta) + 2\eta\Phi'(\eta) = \Lambda\Phi(0), \quad 0 < \eta < \mu_0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\Phi'(0) = h_0^*(\Phi(0) - f), \quad h_0^* = \frac{2ah_0}{k}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Phi(\mu_0) = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\Phi'(\mu_0) = -\frac{2l}{c}\mu_0, \quad (4.5)$$

where the dimensionless parameter Λ is defined by (3.6) and

$$s(t) = 2a\mu_0\sqrt{t} \quad (4.6)$$

is the free boundary, where μ_0 is an unknown parameter to be determined. We obtain the solution

$$\Phi(\eta) = \frac{h_0^* f \sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{[\operatorname{erf}(\mu_0)G(\eta, \Lambda) - \operatorname{erf}(\eta)G(\mu_0, \Lambda)]}{G(\mu_0, \Lambda) + (h_0^* \sqrt{\pi}/2) \operatorname{erf}(\mu_0)}, \quad 0 < \eta < \mu_0, \quad (4.7)$$

where $G(x, \Lambda)$ is given by (3.9). Taking into account the condition (4.5), the unknown parameter $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\Lambda, l, c, h_0^*)$ must be the solution of the following equation:

$$\Lambda \operatorname{erf}(x) f_1(x) + \frac{2}{\operatorname{Ste}} \operatorname{erf}(x)x = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} G(x, \Lambda) \left[\exp(-x^2) - \frac{2}{h_0^* \operatorname{Ste}} x \right], \quad x > 0, \quad (4.8)$$

which is equivalent to

$$H_2^*(x) = H_3^*(x), \quad x > 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_2^*(x) &= H_2(x) + \frac{2}{\operatorname{Ste}} \operatorname{erf}(x)x, \quad x > 0, \\ H_3^*(x) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} G(x, \Lambda) \left[\exp(-x^2) - \frac{2}{h_0^* \operatorname{Ste}} x \right], \quad x > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

and the function H_2 is defined by (3.12).

Similarly to the previous cases, we can enunciate the following result.

Theorem 4.1. (a) For each $\Lambda < 2$ ($\lambda_0 < \rho c/2\gamma$), the free boundary problem (4.1), where F is defined by (1.9), has a unique similarity solution given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t, \lambda_0) &= \frac{-h_0 a f \sqrt{\pi}}{k} \left[\frac{\operatorname{erf}(x/2a\sqrt{t})G(\mu_0(\lambda_0), 4\gamma\lambda_0/\rho c)}{(h_0 a f \sqrt{\pi}/k) \operatorname{erf}(\mu_0(\lambda_0)) + G(\mu_0(\lambda_0), 4\gamma\lambda_0/\rho c)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\operatorname{erf}(\mu_0(\lambda_0))G(x/2a\sqrt{t}, 4\gamma\lambda_0/\rho c)}{(h_0 a f \sqrt{\pi}/k) \operatorname{erf}(\mu_0(\lambda_0)) + G(\mu_0(\lambda_0), 4\gamma\lambda_0/\rho c)} \right], \quad (4.11) \\ &0 < \frac{x}{2a\sqrt{t}} < \mu_0(\lambda_0), \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$s(t, \lambda_0) = 2a\mu_0(\lambda_0)\sqrt{t}, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\lambda_0)$ is the unique solution of (4.9).

(b) Let $M(x) = \Lambda f_1(x)$ and $N(x) = 2xG(x, \Lambda)$ be, there exists a unique solution $x^* > 0$ of the equation $M(x) = N(x)$.

For each $\Lambda > 2(\lambda_0 > \rho c/2\gamma)$ such that $M(\alpha(\Lambda)) - N(\alpha(\Lambda)) < 2/h_0^*$ Ste, where $0 < \alpha(\Lambda) < x^*$ satisfies $M'(\alpha(\Lambda)) - N'(\alpha(\Lambda)) = 0$, there exists a unique similarity solution to the free boundary problem (3.1), where F is defined by (1.9). The solution is given by (4.11).

Acknowledgments

This paper has been partially sponsored by Projects PIP no. 0460 from CONICET-UA (Rosario, Argentina) and Fondo de Ayuda a la Investigacion de la Universidad Austral (Argentina). The authors would like to thank the three referees for their constructive comments which improved the readability of the paper.

References

- [1] V. Alexiades and A. D. Solomon, *Mathematical Modeling of Melting and Freezing Processes*, Hemisphere—Taylor & Francis, Washington, DC, USA, 1983.
- [2] J. Crank, *Free and Moving Boundary Problems*, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1984.
- [3] A. Fasano, *Mathematical Models of Some Diffusive Processes with Free Boundaries*, vol. 11 of MAT serie A: Conferencias, Seminarios Y Trabajos de Matematica, 2005.
- [4] V. J. Lunardini, *Heat Transfer with Freezing and Thawing*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1991.
- [5] L. R. Berrone, D. A. Tarzia, and L. T. Villa, "Asymptotic behaviour of a non-classical heat conduction problem for a semi-infinite material," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 13, pp. 1161–1177, 2000.
- [6] J. R. Cannon and H.-M. Yin, "A class of nonlinear nonclassical parabolic equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 266–288, 1989.
- [7] K. Glashoff and J. Sprekels, "An application of Glicksberg's theorem to set-valued integral equations arising in the theory of thermostats," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 477–486, 1981.
- [8] N. Kenmochi and M. Primicerio, "One-dimensional heat conduction with a class of automatic heat-source controls," *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 205–216, 1988.
- [9] M. N. Koleva, "Numerical solution of heat-conduction problems on a semi-infinite strip with nonlinear localized flow source," in *Proceedings of the International Conference "Pioneers of Bulgarian Mathematics"*, Sofia, Bulgaria, July 2006.
- [10] D. A. Tarzia and L. T. Villa, "Some nonlinear heat conduction problems for a semi-infinite strip with a non-uniform heat source," *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 99–114, 1998.
- [11] L. T. Villa, "Problemas de control para una ecuación unidimensional del calor," *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 163–169, 1986.
- [12] K. Glashoff and J. Sprekels, "The regulation of temperature by thermostats and set-valued integral equations," *Journal of Integral Equations*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 95–112, 1982.
- [13] N. Kenmochi, "Heat conduction with a class of automatic heat source controls," in *Free Boundary Problems: Theory and Applications*, vol. 186 of Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, pp. 471–474, 1990.
- [14] A. C. Briozzo and D. A. Tarzia, "Existence and uniqueness for one-phase Stefan problems of non-classical heat equations with temperature boundary condition at a fixed face," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2006, no. 21, pp. 1–16, 2006.
- [15] A. C. Briozzo and D. A. Tarzia, "A one-phase Stefan problem for a non-classical heat equation with a heat flux condition on the fixed face," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 182, no. 1, pp. 809–819, 2006.
- [16] D. A. Tarzia, "A Stefan problem for a non-classical heat equation," in *VI Seminar on Free Boundary Value Problems and their Applications*, vol. 3 of MAT serie A: Conferencias, Seminarios y Trabajos de Matematica, pp. 21–26, 2001.

- [17] A. Friedman, "Free boundary problems for parabolic equations. I. Melting of solids," *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics*, vol. 8, pp. 499–517, 1959.
- [18] L. I. Rubenstein, *The Stefan Problem*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1971.
- [19] B. Sherman, "A free boundary problem for the heat equation with prescribed flux at both fixed face and melting interface," *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 25, pp. 53–63, 1967.
- [20] D. A. Tarzia, *A Bibliography on Moving-Free Boundary Problems for the Heat-Diffusion Equation. The Stefan and Related Problems*, vol. 2 of MAT serie A: Conferencias, Seminarios Y Trabajos de Matematica, 2000, (with 5869 titles on the subject), [http://web.austral.edu.ar/descargas/facultad-cienciasEmpresariales/mat/Tarzia-MAT-SerieA-2\(2000\).pdf](http://web.austral.edu.ar/descargas/facultad-cienciasEmpresariales/mat/Tarzia-MAT-SerieA-2(2000).pdf).
- [21] D. A. Tarzia, "An inequality for the coefficient σ of the free boundary $s(t) = 2\sigma\sqrt{t}$ of the Neumann solution for the two-phase Stefan problem," *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 491–497, 1981.
- [22] D. A. Tarzia, *An Explicit Solution for a Two-Phase Unidimensional Stefan Problem with a Convective Boundary Condition at the Fixed Face*, vol. 8 of MAT serie A: Conferencias, Seminarios Y Trabajos de Matematica, 2004.
- [23] M. Abramowitz and I. E. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, USA, 1972.
- [24] A. G. Petrova, D. A. Tarzia, and C. V. Turner, "The one-phase supercooled Stefan problem with temperature boundary condition," *Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 35–50, 1994.
- [25] G. Lamé and B. P. Clapeyron, "Memoire sur la solidification par refroidissement d'un globe liquide," *Annales Chimie Physique*, vol. 47, pp. 250–256, 1831.
- [26] S. M. Zubair and M. A. Chaudhry, "Exact solutions of solid-liquid phase-change heat transfer when subjected to convective boundary conditions," *Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 77–81, 1994.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

