

Fixed Points of Log-Linear Discrete Dynamics

KEN SAWADA^{a,*} and YOSHIO TOGAWA^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Commerce, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan; ^b Department of Information Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Science University of Tokyo, Japan

(Received 6 December 1996)

In this paper we study the fixed points of the Log-linear discrete dynamics. We show that almost all Log-linear dynamics have at most two fixed points which is a generalization of Soni's result.

Keywords: Log-linear discrete dynamics, Fixed points

1 INTRODUCTION

The log-linear discrete dynamics

$$f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{c_i x_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots x_n^{a_{in}}}{\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_1^{a_{j1}} \cdots x_n^{a_{jn}}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

have been studied originally as a socio-spacial dynamic model by Dendrinos and Sonis [1]. Many interesting phenomena, for example strange attractors, pitch fork like bifurcations and invariant circles [1–5] have been found to be contained in them.

The log-linear dynamics maps depict a family of dynamics defined systematically by matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ and vector $\vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)^T$; like other such families of dynamics (for instance the Lotka–Volterra dynamics) they are a definitive object of mathematical studies. Therefore a thorough analysis of the log-linear dynamics is

necessary because of the importance not only from an applicational view point but also from a pure mathematical view point.

In this paper we investigate the fixed points of the dynamics as our first step of a more extended mathematical study of the log-linear discrete dynamics. We define a real valued function on R , which plays a key role in counting the number of the fixed points found in the map, and we prove that almost all dynamics have at most two fixed points. This result is a generalization of Sonis's result [4].

2 DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

We begin with some notations and definitions.

For an n -dimensional vector $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$, let $(\vec{x})_i$ be the i th component of \vec{x} , i.e., $(\vec{x})_i = x_i$.

* Corresponding author.

Let

$$E = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1),$$

the n dimensional unit matrix,

$$\vec{u} = (1, \dots, 1)^T \in R^n,$$

$$R^{n+} = \{\vec{x} \in R^n \mid x_i > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{n-1} = \{\vec{x} \in R^n \mid \vec{x} \cdot \vec{u} = 1, x_i > 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n\}.$

For an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, \vec{a}_i denotes the i th column vector of A , i.e.,

$$\vec{a}_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in})^T, \quad A = (\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n).$$

Given an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ and n positive real numbers c_1, \dots, c_n , we define a vector $\vec{\gamma}$ and functions g_i, \vec{g}, g, f_i and \vec{f} defined on R^{n+} as follows:

$$\vec{\gamma} = (\log c_1, \dots, \log c_n)^T,$$

$$g_i(\vec{x}) = c_i x_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots x_n^{a_{in}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\vec{g}(\vec{x}) = (g_1(\vec{x}), \dots, g_n(\vec{x}))^T,$$

$$g(\vec{x}) = \vec{g} \cdot \vec{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\vec{x}),$$

$$f_i(\vec{x}) = \frac{g_i(\vec{x})}{g(\vec{x})},$$

$$\vec{f}(\vec{x}) = (f_1(\vec{x}), \dots, f_n(\vec{x}))^T.$$

Since $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{u} = 1$, the map \vec{f} gives dynamics on the $(n-1)$ -simplex $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{n-1}$.

We call this dynamics the log-linear discrete dynamics.

For a vector $\vec{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in R^n$, let

$$A[\vec{d}] = (\vec{a}_1 + d_1 \vec{u}, \dots, \vec{a}_n + d_n \vec{u}).$$

If we modify a matrix A to a matrix $A[\vec{d}]$, then the function $g_i(\vec{x})$ becomes

$$c_i x_1^{a_{i1}+d_1} \cdots x_n^{a_{in}+d_n} = g_i(\vec{x}) x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_n^{d_n}$$

and the function $g(\vec{x})$ becomes

$$g(\vec{x}) x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_n^{d_n}.$$

This implies that the dynamics \vec{f} do not change under the modification A to $A[\vec{d}]$.

Therefore as the canonical form of a matrix A , we can consider, for example [1],

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0, & \dots, & 0 \\ *, & \dots, & * \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ *, & \dots, & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

However we will not restrict a matrix A in the canonical form, to keep a free hand for perturbations in the set of $n \times n$ matrices $M(n)$.

Let $V = \{A \in M(n) \mid \det(A-E) = 0\}$ and $\tilde{M}(n) = M(n) - V = \{A \in M(n) \mid \det(A-E) \neq 0\}$. Then since $\det(A-E)$ is a polynomial function of a_{ij} 's, V is a (n^2-1) -dimensional surface in n^2 -dimensional space $M(n)$. Hence V is a thin set in $M(n)$ and almost all matrices belong to $\tilde{M}(n)$. Moreover even if A is in V , one can modify A to $A[\vec{d}]$ in $\tilde{M}(n)$ except for the few and rare cases discussed later.

Suppose that $A \in \tilde{M}(n)$. We define functions of a positive variable t as follows:

$$\varphi_i(t) = \frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_i}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_i}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\vec{\varphi}(t) = (\varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t)),$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \vec{\varphi}(t) \cdot \vec{u},$$

where $B = (A-E)^{-1}$. Note that $\Phi(t)$ is not a constant function and that $\vec{\varphi}(t) \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}^{n-1}$ if and only if $\Phi(t) = 1$.

3 FIXED POINTS

Suppose that \vec{x} is a fixed point of \vec{f} , that is $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$. We can find this fixed point of \vec{f} by

solving the nonlinear equation system

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\vec{x}) &= x_1 g(\vec{x}), \\ &\vdots \\ g_n(\vec{x}) &= x_n g(\vec{x}), \\ \vec{x} \cdot \vec{u} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

However we note that it is difficult to solve this nonlinear equation system even numerically.

The following theorem shows that we can find all fixed points of \vec{f} by solving a single nonlinear equation,

$$\Phi(t) = 1, \quad t > 0 \quad (*)$$

whose numerical solutions can be easily obtained.

THEOREM 1 *Let $A \in \tilde{M}(n)$. Suppose that the equation (*) has m distinct solutions t_1, \dots, t_m . Then \vec{f} has just m fixed points $\vec{\varphi}(t_1), \dots, \vec{\varphi}(t_m)$.*

Proof Suppose that $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$. Then $g_i(\vec{x}) = x_i t$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $t = g(\vec{x})$ i.e.,

$$c_i x_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots x_n^{a_{in}} = x_i t, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Taking logarithms on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_i + \sum_j a_{ij} \log x_j &= \log x_i + \log t, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ (A - E)(\log x_1, \dots, \log x_n)^T &= -\vec{\gamma} + (\log t)\vec{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A - E$ has the inverse matrix B , one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\log x_1, \dots, \log x_n)^T &= -B\vec{\gamma} + \log t \cdot B\vec{u}, \\ \log x_i &= -(B\vec{\gamma})_i + \log t \cdot (B\vec{u})_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore one obtains

$$x_i = \frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_i}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_i}} = \varphi_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u} = 1$, t is a solution of $\Phi(t) = 1$.

Conversely we show that if \hat{t} is a solution of the equation (*), then $\vec{\varphi}(\hat{t})$ is a fixed point of \vec{f} .

First we notice that $AB = B + E$ since $E = (A - E)B = AB - B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(\varphi(t)) &= c_i (\varphi(t))^{a_{i1}} \cdots (\varphi(t))^{a_{in}} \\ &= c_i \left(\frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_1}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_1}} \right)^{a_{i1}} \cdots \left(\frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_n}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_n}} \right)^{a_{in}} \\ &= c_i \frac{t^{a_{i1}(B\vec{u})_1 + \cdots + a_{in}(B\vec{u})_n}}{e^{a_{i1}(B\vec{\gamma})_1 + \cdots + a_{in}(B\vec{\gamma})_n}} \\ &= c_i \frac{t^{(AB\vec{u})_i}}{e^{(AB\vec{\gamma})_i}} = c_i \frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_i + 1}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_i + \gamma_i}} \\ &= t \varphi_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(\vec{\varphi}(t)) &= \vec{g}(\vec{\varphi}(t)) \cdot \vec{u} \\ &= (t \vec{\varphi}(t)) \cdot \vec{u} = t \Phi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f_i(\vec{\varphi}(t)) = \frac{g_i(\vec{\varphi}(t))}{g(\vec{\varphi}(t))} = \frac{\varphi_i(t)}{\Phi(t)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore if \hat{t} is a solution of the equation, then

$$f_i(\vec{\varphi}(\hat{t})) = \frac{\varphi_i(\hat{t})}{\Phi(\hat{t})} = \varphi_i(\hat{t}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

so that $\vec{\varphi}(\hat{t})$ is a fixed point of \vec{f} .

Finally if \hat{t} and \tilde{t} are distinct solutions of the equation, then $\varphi_1(\hat{t}) \neq \varphi_1(\tilde{t})$ since $\varphi_1(t)$ is a monotone function. Hence $\vec{\varphi}(t_1), \dots, \vec{\varphi}(t_m)$ are distinct.

In Section 5 we give Example 5 in which the coefficients c_1, c_2, c_3 are all equal to 1. Then the equation has no solution. In general:

PROPOSITION 1 *Suppose that $A \in \tilde{M}(n)$ and $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 1$. Then the equation (*) has:*

1. one solution if $(B\vec{u})_1 > 0, \dots, (B\vec{u})_n > 0$,
2. one solution if $(B\vec{u})_1 < 0, \dots, (B\vec{u})_n < 0$,
3. no solution if $(B\vec{u})_i \geq 0, (B\vec{u})_j \leq 0$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Proof In case (1) (resp. (2)), $\Phi(t)$ is an increasing (resp. decreasing) function and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \Phi(t) = 0 \text{ (resp. } \infty), \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \infty \text{ (resp. } 0).$$

Therefore the equation has unique solution. In case (3)

$$\Phi(t) > \varphi_i(t) = \frac{t^{(B\bar{u})_i}}{e^{(B\bar{\gamma})_i}} = t^{(B\bar{u})_i} \geq 1 \quad \text{for any } t \geq 1$$

and

$$\Phi(t) > \varphi_j(t) = \frac{t^{(B\bar{u})_j}}{e^{(B\bar{\gamma})_j}} = t^{(B\bar{u})_j} \geq 1 \quad \text{for any } t < 1$$

since $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{0}$. Therefore the equation has no solution.

4 THE NUMBER OF FIXED POINTS

In this section we prove that *almost all* log-linear dynamics have at most two fixed points.

We first prove:

LEMMA 1 *Suppose that*

$$h(t) = a_1 t^{\alpha_1} + a_2 t^{\alpha_2} + \cdots + a_n t^{\alpha_n},$$

where $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_n$ and $\alpha_n = 0$.

- (1) *If $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$, then $h(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$.*
- (2) *If $a_1, \dots, a_k > 0$, $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n < 0$ for some $k (1 \leq k < n)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &< 0, & 0 < t < t_0, \\ h(t_0) &= 0, & t = t_0, \\ h(t) &> 0, & t_0 < t \end{aligned}$$

for some $t_0 > 0$.

Proof Note that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \infty.$$

Lemma 1 is true when $n = 2$. We may therefore proceed by induction, assuming Lemma 1 true for n .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= a_1 t^{\alpha_1} + a_2 t^{\alpha_2} + \cdots + a_n t^{\alpha_n} + a_{n+1} t^{\alpha_{n+1}} \\ &(\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_n > \alpha_{n+1} = 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$h'(t) = a_1 \alpha_1 t^{\alpha_1-1} + a_2 \alpha_2 t^{\alpha_2-1} + \cdots + a_n \alpha_n t^{\alpha_n-1}$$

since $\alpha_{n+1} = 0$. We write $h'(t)$ in the form

$$h'(t) = t^{\alpha_n-1} k(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k(t) &= b_1 t^{\beta_1} + \cdots + b_n t^{\beta_n}, \\ b_1 &= a_1 \alpha_1, \dots, b_n = a_n \alpha_n, \\ \beta_1 &= \alpha_1 - \alpha_n, \dots, \beta_n = \alpha_n - \alpha_n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \cdots > \beta_n = 0$. If $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} > 0$, then $b_1, \dots, b_n > 0$, so $k(t) > 0$, $t > 0$ by the assumption. Since $h(0) = a_{n+1} > 0$ and $h'(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$, $h(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$, so that (1) holds.

If $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$ and $a_{n+1} < 0$, then $b_1, \dots, b_n > 0$, so $h(0) = a_{n+1} < 0$ and $h'(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$. Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \infty,$$

there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &< 0, & 0 < t < t_0, \\ h(t_0) &= 0, & t = t_0, \\ h(t) &> 0, & t_0 < t. \end{aligned}$$

If $a_1, \dots, a_k > 0$ and $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{n+1} < 0$ for some $k (1 \leq k < n)$, then $b_1, \dots, b_k > 0$ and $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n < 0$. Hence there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &< 0, & 0 < t < t_0, \\ h'(t_0) &= 0, & t = t_0, \\ h'(t) &> 0, & t_0 < t. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover since $h(0) = a_{n+1} < 0$, $h(t) < 0$ for $0 < t \leq t_0$.

Since $h'(t) > 0$ for all $t > t_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \infty$, there exists $t'_0 > t_0 > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &< 0, & 0 < t < t'_0, \\ h(t_0) &= 0, & t = t'_0, \\ h(t) &> 0, & t'_0 < t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (2) holds.

THEOREM 2 *Almost all log-linear dynamics have at most two fixed points.*

Proof It suffices to show that the equation (*)

$$\Phi(t) = 1, \quad t > 0 \tag{*}$$

has at most two solutions.

Without the loss of generality, we may write

$$\Phi(t) = a_1 t^{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_l t^{\alpha_l} + \text{const.}$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_l > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_l$.

If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l > 0$ (resp. < 0), then by the same arguments as the proof of Proposition 1, equation (*) has a unique solution.

Suppose the $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k > 0$ and $\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_l < 0$ for some k ($1 \leq k < l$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(t) &= a_1 \alpha_1 t^{\alpha_1-1} + \dots + a_l \alpha_l t^{\alpha_l-1} \\ &= \alpha_1 a_1 t^{\alpha_1-1} (b_1 t^{\beta_1} + \dots + b_l t^{\beta_l}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= \alpha_1 - \alpha_l, \dots, \beta_l = \alpha_l - \alpha_l, \\ b_1 &= 1, \quad b_2 = \frac{\alpha_2 a_2}{\alpha_1 a_1}, \dots, b_l = \frac{\alpha_l a_l}{\alpha_1 a_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\beta_1 > \dots > \beta_l = 0$, $b_1, \dots, b_k > 0$ and $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_l < 0$. By Lemma 1, there exist $t_0 > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(t) &< 0, & 0 < t < t_0, \\ \Phi'(t_0) &= 0, & t = t_0, \\ \Phi'(t) &> 0, & t_0 < t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Phi(t)$ is monotonically decreasing for $t < t_0$ and $\Phi(t)$ is monotonically increasing for $t > t_0$.

Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \Phi(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \infty,$$

the number of solutions is 0, 1 or 2 depending on the value of $\Phi(t_0)$. Hence the number of the fixed points is at most two.

Remark We suppose in Theorems 1 and 2 that $A-E$ is invertible. As the coefficients of A are taken randomly, the probability that $A-E$ is noninvertible is zero. However, when the coefficients are restricted to integers, or when one changes an entry of A continuously, one often has to consider a matrix A with $\det(A-E) = 0$. So we will study the case $A-E$ when it is noninvertible.

Suppose that $\det(A-E) = 0$. In this case one may try to modify A to $A[\vec{d}]$ so that $\det(A[\vec{d}]-E) \neq 0$.

Let $C = (c_{ij}) = A-E$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A[\vec{d}] - E) &= \det(C[\vec{d}]) = \det(C) \\ &+ d_1 \det(\vec{u}, \vec{c}_2, \dots, \vec{c}_n) \\ &\vdots \\ &+ d_n \det(\vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_{n-1}, \vec{u}), \end{aligned}$$

one can choose \vec{d} so that $\det(A[\vec{d}]-E) \neq 0$ except for the case where

$$\det(\vec{u}, \vec{c}_2, \dots, \vec{c}_n) = \dots = \det(\vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_{n-1}, \vec{u}) = 0.$$

EXAMPLE Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\det(A - E) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So one cannot modify A to $A[\vec{d}]$ with $\det(A[\vec{d}] - E) \neq 0$. For this example, one can get fixed points by simple calculations.

Suppose that $c_1 = 1$. Then $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$ is a fixed point if and only if

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1, \quad x_1, x_2, x_3 > 0,$$

$$c_2 x_1 x_2 x_3 = c_3 (x_1 x_2 x_3)^2 = 1.$$

This system of equations has no solution except for the case where

$$c_3 = c_2^2, \quad c_2 > 27,$$

in which case the fixed points make a closed curve in the 2-simplex.

5 EXAMPLE

In this section we give some numerical examples illustrating the forms of the function $\Phi(t)$.

Example 1:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = 1, \quad c_2 = 1, \quad c_3 = 1, \\ A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = t + t^2 + t^3$$

is monotonically increasing and the equation has one solution.

Example 2:

$$c_1 = 1, \quad c_2 = 1, \quad c_3 = 1,$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{t^3}$$

is monotonically decreasing and the equation has one solution.

Example 3:

$$c_1 = 1, \quad c_2 = 7, \quad c_3 = 50,$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 3 & 0 & 2.5 \\ 2 & 0.5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = 0.209128t^{0.2} + 0.123576t^{0.488889} + \frac{0.768706}{t^{0.355556}}$$

has one minimum (< 1) and the equation has two solutions.

Example 4:

$$c_1 = 1, \quad c_2 = 7, \quad c_3 = 50,$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 3 & 0 & -2.57419151135 \\ 2 & 0.5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = 0.209128t^{0.2} + 0.124635t^{0.500423} + \frac{0.771993}{t^{0.349789}}$$

has one minimum ($= 1$) and the equation has one solution.

Example 5:

$$c_1 = 1, \quad c_2 = 1, \quad c_3 = 1,$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 3 & 0 & -2.5 \\ 2 & 0.5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = t^{0.2} + t^{0.488889} + \frac{1}{t^{0.355556}}$$

has one minimum (> 1) and the equation has no solution.

References

- [1] D.S. Dendrinos and M. Sonis, 1990, Chaos and socio-spatial dynamics, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 86, Springer-Verlag.
- [2] D.S. Dendrinos, 1993, Entropy oscillations and the discrete spacial relative dynamics map, *Socio-Spatial Dynamics* 4(1/2), 1–12.
- [3] D.S. Dendrinos, 1990, Spatial heterogeneity, relative dynamics, and chaotic behavior, *Socio-Spatial Dynamics* 4(3), 161–177.
- [4] M. Sonis, 1990, Universality of relative socio-spatial dynamics, *Socio-Spatial Dynamics* 1(3), 179–204.
- [5] M. Sonis, 1993, Entropy oscillations and the discrete spacial relative dynamics map: A rejoinder, *Socio-Spatial Dynamics* 4(1/2), 13–23.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

