Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Advances in Mathematical Physics
Volume 2011, Article ID 870613, 9 pages
doi:10.1155/2011/870613

Research Article

The Central Extension Defining the Super Matrix Generalization of $W_{1+\infty}$

Carina Boyallian and Jose I. Liberati

Famaf-CIEM, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Cordoba, Argentina

Correspondence should be addressed to Jose I. Liberati, joseliberati@gmail.com

Received 13 June 2011; Accepted 1 August 2011

Academic Editor: Andrei D. Mironov

We prove that the Lie superalgebra of regular differential operators on the superspace $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}[t,t^{-1}]$ has an essentially unique non-trivial central extension.

1. Introduction

The W infinity algebras naturally arise in various physical systems, such as two-dimensional quantum gravity and the quantum Hall effects (see the review [1, 2] and references there in). The most fundamental one is the $W_{1+\infty}$ which is the central extension of the Lie algebra of regular differential operators on the circle [1–5], and it contains the W_∞ algebra as a subalgebra. Various extensions where constructed: super extension ($W_\infty^{1|1}$) [6, 7], $u(M)$ matrix version of $W_{1+\infty}(W_{1+\infty}^{M})$ [8], and the most general super matrix generalization $W_{1+\infty}^{M|N}$ presented in [1, 2, 9]. It seems difficult to decide where and when the first definition of a (version of) super-W algebra appeared, but a book by Guieu and Roger [10] has a good historical and bibliographic base, including the pioneering papers of Radul where the superanalogues of the Bott-Virasoro cocycles were introduced (see [11]). The original $W_{1+\infty}$ corresponds to $M = 1$, $N = 0$. The general study of representation theory of W infinity algebras started in the remarkable work [4] by Kac and Radul and continued in several works (some of them are [6, 12–14]). Matrix generalizations are deeply related to the main examples of infinite rank conformal algebras (see [15–17]).

The super matrix generalization $W_{1+\infty}^{M|N}$ is defined as *a specific* central extension of the Lie superalgebra of regular differential operators on the superspace $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}[t,t^{-1}]$. Only in the special case of $W_{1+\infty}$ (i.e., $M = 1, N = 0$) was it proved that the 2-cocycle defining this central extension is unique up to coboundary [18]. The main goal of the present work is to extend this result to the super matrix generalization $W_{1+\infty}^{M|N}$. Similar studies of central extensions for q-analogs and other versions can be found in [19, 20].

2. Basic Definitions and Main Result

Let L and $\widehat{L}$ be two Lie superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$. The Lie superalgebra $\widehat{L}$ is said to be a one-dimensional central extension of L if $\widehat{L}$ is the direct sum of L and $\mathbb{C}C$ as vector spaces and the Lie superbracket in $\widehat{L}$ is given by

$$[a,b]^{\widehat{}} = [a,b] + \Psi(a,b)C, \quad [a,C]^{\widehat{}} = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

for all $a,b \in L$, where $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is the Lie bracket in L and $\Psi : L \times L \to \mathbb{C}$ is a *2-cocycle* on L, that is, a bilinear $\mathbb{C}$-valued form satisfying the following conditions for all homogeneous elements $a,b,c \in L$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) \quad \Psi(a,b) = -(-1)^{|a||b|}\Psi(b,a), \\ &(2) \quad \Psi([a,b],c) = \Psi(a,[b,c]) - (-1)^{|a||b|}\Psi(b,[a,c]), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $|a|$ denote the parity of a. A central extension is trivial if $\widehat{L}$ is the direct sum of a subalgebra M and $\mathbb{C}C$ as Lie algebras, where M is isomorphic to L. A 2-cocycle corresponding to a trivial central extension is called a *2-coboundary*, and it is given by an $f \in L^*$ as follows:

$$\alpha_f(a,b) = f([a,b]), \tag{2.3}$$

for $a,b \in L$. It is easy to check that α_f is a 2-cocycle. We say that the 2-cocycles Ψ,ϕ are *equivalent* if $\phi - \Psi$ is a 2-coboundary. The second cohomology group of L with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of equivalent classes of 2-cocycles, and it will be denoted by $H^2(L,\mathbb{C})$. If dim $H^2(L,\mathbb{C}) = 1$, we say that L has an essentially unique nontrivial one-dimensional central extension.

Now, we will introduce the Lie superalgebra that will be considered in this work. Let us denote by $\mathrm{Mat}(M \mid N)$ the associative superalgebra of linear transformations on the complex $(M \mid N)$-dimensional superspace $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$. Namely, we consider the set of all $(M+N) \times (M+N)$ matrices of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A^0 & A^+ \\ A^- & A^1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

where A^0, A^+, A^-, A^1 are $M \times M, M \times N, N \times M, N \times N$ matrices, respectively, with complex entries. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradation is defined by declaring that matrices of the form (2.4) with $A^+ = A^- = 0$ are even, and those with $A^0 = A^1 = 0$ are odd. We denote by $|A|$ the degree of A with respect to this $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradation. The *supertrace* is defined by

$$\mathrm{Str}(A) = \mathrm{tr}\left(A^0\right) - \mathrm{tr}\left(A^1\right), \tag{2.5}$$

and it satisfies $\mathrm{Str}(AB) = (-1)^{|A||B|}\mathrm{Str}(BA)$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{as}}$ be the associative algebra of regular differential operators on the circle, that is, the operators on $\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$ of the form

$$E = e_k(t)\partial_t^k + e_{k-1}(t)\partial_t^{k-1} + \cdots + e_0(t), \quad \text{where } e_i(t) \in \mathbb{C}\left[t,t^{-1}\right]. \tag{2.6}$$

The elements

$$J_k^l = -t^{l+k}(\partial_t)^l \quad (l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{2.7}$$

form its basis, where ∂_t denotes d/dt. Another basis of $\mathfrak{D}_{as}$ is

$$L_k^l = -t^k D^l \quad (l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k \in \mathbb{Z}), \tag{2.8}$$

where $D = t\partial_t$. It is easy to see that

$$J_k^l = -t^k [D]_l. \tag{2.9}$$

Here and further we use the notation

$$[x]_l = x(x-1)\ldots(x-l+1). \tag{2.10}$$

Denote by $\mathrm{S}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{as}}^{M|N}$ the associative superalgebra of $(M+N)\times(M+N)$ (super)matrices with entries in $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{as}}$. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradation is the one inherited by the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradation in $\mathrm{Mat}(M \mid N)$. By taking the usual superbracket we make $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{as}}^{M|N}$ into a Lie superalgebra, which is denoted by $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{D}^{M|N}$. A set of generators is given by $\{t^s f(D)A \ : \ s \in \mathbb{Z},\ f \in \mathbb{C}[x],\ A \in \mathrm{Mat}(M \mid N)\}$.

Let $W_{1+\infty}^{M,N} = \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{D}^{M|N} \oplus \mathbb{C}C$ be the central extension of $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{D}^{M|N}$ by a one-dimensional vector space with a specified generator C, whose commutation relation for homogeneous elements is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left[t^r f(D)A, t^s g(D)B\right] &= t^{r+s} f(D+s)g(D)AB - (-1)^{|A||B|} t^{r+s} f(D)g(D+r)BA \\ &\quad + \Psi\left(t^r f(D)A, t^s g(D)B\right)C, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where the 2-cocycle Ψ is given by

$$\Psi\left(t^r f(D)A, t^s g(D)B\right) = \begin{cases} \left(\displaystyle\sum_{-r\le j\le -1} f(j)g(j+r)\right)\mathrm{Str}(AB) & \text{if } r = -s \ge 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } r+s \ne 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

Now, we are in condition to state our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *One has the following: dim* $H^2(\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{D}^{M|N}, \mathbb{C}) = 1$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We will need the explicit expression of the bracket of basis elements of type (2.9) in $\mathcal{SD}^{M|N}$:

$$[t^m[D]_l E_{ij}, t^n[D]_k E_{rs}] = t^{m+n}\Big([D+n]_l[D]_k\delta_{jr}E_{is} - (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{rs}|}[D]_l[D+m]_k\delta_{is}E_{rj}\Big). \tag{3.1}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[t^{-1}DE_{ii}, t^m[D]_l E_{ii}\Big] &= (l+m)t^{m-1}[D]_l E_{ii},\\
\Big[t^{-l-1}[D]_l E_{ii}, DE_{ii}\Big] &= (l+1)t^{-l-1}[D]_l E_{ii},\\
[E_{ii}, t^m[D]_l E_{ij}] &= t^m[D]_l E_{ij}, \quad i \neq j.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Let β be a 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{SD}^{M|N}$. We consider the linear functional in $\mathcal{SD}^{M|N}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_\beta\Big(t^{m-1}[D]_l E_{ii}\Big) &= \frac{1}{l+m}\beta\Big(t^{-1}DE_{ii}, t^m[D]_l E_{ii}\Big), \quad l \neq -m,\\
f_\beta\Big(t^{-l-1}[D]_l E_{ii}\Big) &= \frac{1}{l+1}\beta\Big(t^{-l-1}[D]_l E_{ii}, DE_{ii}\Big),\\
f_\beta(t^m[D]_l E_{ij}) &= \beta(E_{ii}, t^m[D]_l E_{ij}), \quad i \neq j.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Then $\beta_1 = \beta - \alpha_{f_\beta}$ is a 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{SD}^{M|N}$ that is equivalent to β, and using (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1\Big(t^{-1}DE_{ii}, t^m[D]_l E_{ii}\Big) &= 0, \quad l \neq -m,\\
\beta_1\Big(t^{-l-1}[D]_l E_{ii}, DE_{ii}\Big) &= 0,\\
\beta_1(E_{ii}, t^m[D]_l E_{ij}) &= 0, \quad i \neq j.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In order to complete the proof we need to show that $\Psi = a\beta_1$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$. By observing the supertrace that appears in the expression of Ψ in (2.12), we immediately obtain that for any $f, g \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{as}}$

$$\Psi(fE_{ij}, gE_{sk}) = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq k \text{ or } j \neq s. \tag{3.5}$$

In Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we will show that β_1 also satisfies (3.5).

Lemma 3.1. *For any* $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{as}}$, $\beta_1(fE_{ii}, gE_{sj}) = 0$ *if* $i \neq j$ *or* $i \neq s$.

Proof. Case $j = i$ *and* $s \neq i$.

Using that E_{ii} is even, $i \neq s$, and (2.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(fE_{ii}, gE_{si}) &= \beta_1(fE_{ii}, [E_{ss}, gE_{si}]) = -\beta_1([E_{ss}, gE_{si}], fE_{ii}) \\ &= -\beta_1(E_{ss}, [gE_{si}, fE_{ii}]) + \beta_1(gE_{si}, [E_{ss}, fE_{ii}]) \\ &= -\beta_1(E_{ss}, (g \circ f)E_{si}) = 0, \quad (\text{using } \ i \neq s \text{ and } (3.4)),\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $g \circ f$ is the product in $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{as}}$.

Case $j \neq i$ *and* $s = i$.

In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(fE_{ii}, gE_{ij}) &= \beta_1(fE_{ii}, [gE_{ij}, E_{jj}]) = -\beta_1([gE_{ij}, E_{jj}], fE_{ii}) \\ &= -\beta_1(gE_{ij}, [E_{jj}, fE_{ii}]) + \beta_1(E_{jj}, [gE_{ij}, fE_{ii}]) \quad (\text{by } (2.2)) \\ &= \beta_1(E_{jj}, (f \circ g)E_{ij}) = 0 \quad (\text{using } \ i \neq j \text{ and } (3.6)).\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Case $j \neq i$ *and* $s \neq i$.

By taking the usual bracket, we make the associative algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{as}}$ into a Lie algebra which is denoted by $\mathfrak{D}$. Observe that

$$\mathfrak{D} = \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{D}^{1|0}. \tag{3.8}$$

It is easy to show that $[\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}] = \mathfrak{D}$; therefore, for any $f \in \mathfrak{D}$, we have

$$f = \sum_l [f_l, h_l], \quad f_l, h_l \in \mathfrak{D}. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, if $j \neq i$ and $s \neq i$, using (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(fE_{ii}, gE_{sj}) &= \beta_1\left(\sum_l [f_lE_{ii}, h_lE_{ii}], gE_{sj}\right) \\ &= \sum_l \beta_1(f_lE_{ii}, [h_lE_{ii}, gE_{sj}]) - \sum_l \beta_1(h_lE_{ii}, [f_lE_{ii}, gE_{sj}]) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The proof is finished. □

Lemma 3.2. *For any $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{as}}$ and $i \neq j, s \neq k$, $\beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{sk}) = 0$ when $i \neq k$ or $j \neq s$.*

Proof. If $i \neq j$ and $k \neq i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{sk}) &= \beta_1([E_{ii}, fE_{ij}], gE_{sk}) \\ &= \beta_1(E_{ii}, [fE_{ij}, gE_{sk}]) - \beta_1(fE_{ij}, [E_{ii}, gE_{sk}]) \\ &= \delta_{j,s}\beta_1(E_{ii}, (f \circ g)E_{ik}) - \delta_{i,s}\beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{ik}) \\ &= -\delta_{i,s}\beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{ik}) \quad (\text{using}(3.4)).\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Hence we have $\beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{sk}) = 0$.

Finally, using skew-symmetry and the previous case, if $i \neq j$, $s \neq k$, and $s \neq j$, we have that $\beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{sk}) = 0$. □

Now, it remains to consider the expression $\beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{ji})$. In order to do it, consider again the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{D} = \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{D}^{1|0}$ (see (3.8)) and denote by $\psi_{\mathfrak{D}}$ the 2-cocycle Ψ defined in (2.12) with $M = 1$ and $N = 0$.

In fact, from the expression of Ψ, we have

$$\Psi(fA, gB) = \psi_{\mathfrak{D}}(f, g)\text{Str}(AB). \tag{3.12}$$

Lemma 3.3. *There exist $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{as}}$*

$$\beta_1(fE_{ii}, gE_{ii}) = a_i\psi_{\mathfrak{D}}(f, g). \tag{3.13}$$

Moreover, the constants a_i satisfy $a_i = (-1)^{|E_{ij}|}a_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let $\gamma_i : \mathfrak{D} \times \mathfrak{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the bilinear map defined by $(i = 1, \ldots, M + N)$

$$\gamma_i(f, g) = \beta_1(fE_{ii}, gE_{ii}). \tag{3.14}$$

Since E_{ii} is even, we have that γ_i is a 2-cocycle in $\mathfrak{D}$.

The following statement was proved in [18] (see Proof of Theorem 2.1 in page 74 and (3.2) and (3.3) in this work): if a 2-cocycle β_1 in $\mathfrak{D}$ satisfies $(l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in \mathbb{Z})$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1\left(t^m[D]_l, t^{-1}D\right) &= 0, \\ \beta_1\left(t^{-1-l}[D]_l, D\right) &= 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Then $\beta_1 = a\psi_{\mathfrak{D}}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Now, using (3.4), we have that γ_i satisfies (3.15); thus, we get $\gamma_i = a_i\psi_{\mathfrak{D}}$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, proving the first part of this lemma.

In order to prove the second part, consider $i \neq j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1\left(tE_{ii}, t^{-1}E_{ii}\right) &= \beta_1\left(t\left(E_{ii} - (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}E_{jj}\right), t^{-1}E_{ii}\right) \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.1)} \\
&= \beta_1\left(\left[E_{ij}, tE_{ji}\right], t^{-1}E_{ii}\right) \\
&= \beta_1\left(E_{ij}, \left[tE_{ji}, t^{-1}E_{ii}\right]\right) - (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1\left(tE_{ji}, \left[E_{ij}, t^{-1}E_{ii}\right]\right) \\
&= \beta_1(E_{ij}, E_{ji}) + (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1\left(tE_{ji}, t^{-1}E_{ij}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1\left(tE_{jj}, t^{-1}E_{jj}\right) &= \beta_1\left(tE_{jj}, t^{-1}\left(E_{jj} - (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}E_{ii}\right)\right) \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.1)} \\
&= \beta_1\left(tE_{jj}, \left[E_{ji}, t^{-1}E_{ij}\right]\right) \\
&= \beta_1\left(\left[tE_{jj}, E_{ji}\right], t^{-1}E_{ij}\right) + \beta_1\left(E_{ji}, \left[tE_{jj}, t^{-1}E_{ij}\right]\right) \\
&= \beta_1\left(tE_{ji}, t^{-1}E_{ij}\right) - \beta_1(E_{ji}, E_{ij}) \\
&= \beta_1\left(tE_{ji}, t^{-1}E_{ij}\right) + (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(E_{ij}, E_{ji}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore, $\beta_1(tE_{ii}, t^{-1}E_{ii}) = (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(tE_{jj}, t^{-1}E_{jj})$, which means that, $a_i = (-1)^{|E_{ij}|}a_j$ for all $i \neq j$, finishing the proof. □

Lemma 3.4. *$\beta_1(E_{ij}, gE_{ji}) = \beta_1(gE_{ij}, E_{ji})$ for $i \neq j$ and $g \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{as}}$.*

Proof. Since $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1(E_{ij}, gE_{ji}) &= \beta_1(E_{ij}, [E_{ji}, gE_{ii}]) \\
&= \beta_1([E_{ij}, E_{ji}], gE_{ii}) + (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(E_{ji}, [E_{ij}, gE_{ii}]) \\
&= \beta_1(E_{ii}, gE_{ii}) - (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(E_{jj}, gE_{ii}) - (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(E_{ji}, gE_{ij}) \\
&= a_i\psi_{\mathfrak{D}}(1, g) + \beta_1(gE_{ij}, E_{ji}), \quad \text{(by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.1)} \\
&= \beta_1(gE_{ij}, E_{ji}) \quad \text{(by definition of } \psi_{\mathfrak{D}}\text{)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

□

Lemma 3.5. $\beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{ji}) = \beta_1(fE_{ii}, gE_{ii})$ *for* $i \neq j$ *and any* $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{as}}$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(fE_{ii}, gE_{ii}) &= \beta_1([fE_{ij}, E_{ji}], gE_{ii}) \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.1)}\\ &= \beta_1(fE_{ij}, [E_{ji}, gE_{ii}]) - (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(E_{ji}, [fE_{ij}, gE_{ii}])\\ &= \beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{ji}) + (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(E_{ji}, (g \circ f)E_{ij})\\ &= \beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{ji}) - \beta_1((g \circ f)E_{ij}, E_{ji}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1(fE_{ii}, gE_{ii}) &= (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(fE_{jj}, gE_{jj}) \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.3)}\\ &= (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(fE_{jj}, [gE_{ji}, E_{ij}]) \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.1)}\\ &= (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1([fE_{jj}, gE_{ji}], E_{ij}) + (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1(gE_{ji}, [fE_{jj}, E_{ij}])\\ &= (-1)^{|E_{ij}||E_{ji}|}\beta_1((f \circ g)E_{ji}, E_{ij}) + \beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{ji})\\ &= -\beta_1((f \circ g)E_{ij}, E_{ji}) + \beta_1(fE_{ij}, gE_{ji}) \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.4)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Hence, from (3.19) and (3.20), we obtain

$$\beta_1([f, g]E_{ij}, E_{ji}) = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Since $[\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}] = \mathfrak{D}$, we have $\beta_1(\mathfrak{D}E_{ij}, E_{ji}) = 0$. Therefore, (3.19) becomes the statement of this lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. From the previous lemmas, one can easily see that $\beta_1 = a_1\Psi$, by observing that the relation between the $a_i's$ in Lemma 3.3 is essentially the supertrace term in expression (2.12) of Ψ. □

Acknowledgments

C. Boyallian and J.L. Liberati were supported in part by grants of Conicet, ANPCyT, Fundación Antorchas, Agencia Cba Ciencia, Secyt-UNC, and Fomec (Argentina).

References

[1] H. Awata, M. Fukuma, Y. Matsuo, and S. Odake, "Representation theory of $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra," in *Proceedings of the Workshop Quantum Field Theory, Integrable Models and Beyond*, T. Inami et al., Ed., Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, February 1994.

[2] H. Awata, M. Fukuma, Y. Matsuo, and S. Odake, "Representation theory of $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra," *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement*, vol. 118, pp. 343–373, 1995.

[3] I. Bakas, B. Khesin, and E. Kiritsis, "The logarithm of the derivative operator and higher spin algebras of W_∞ type," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 151, no. 2, pp. 233–243, 1993.

[4] V. Kac and A. Radul, "Quasifinite highest weight modules over the Lie algebra of differential operators on the circle," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 157, no. 3, pp. 429–457, 1993.

[5] C. N. Pope, L. J. Romans, and X. Shen, "Ideals of Kac-Moody algebras and realisations of W_∞," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 245, no. 1, pp. 72–78, 1990.

[6] H. Awata, M. Fukuma, Y. Matsuo, and S. Odake, "Quasifinite highest weight modules over the super $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 151–179, 1995.

[7] E. Bergshoeff, M. Vasiliev, and B. de Wit, "The super-$W_\infty(\lambda)$ algebra," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 256, no. 2, pp. 199–205, 1991.

[8] S. Odake and T. Sano, "$W_{1+\infty}$ and super-W_∞ algebras with SU(N) symmetry," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 258, no. 3-4, pp. 369–374, 1991.

[9] S. Odake, "Unitary representations of W infinity algebras," *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, vol. 7, no. 25, pp. 6339–6355, 1992.

[10] L. Guieu and C. Roger, *L'Algèbre et le Groupe de Virasoro*, Aspects Géométriques et Algébriques, Généralisations, Les Publications CRM, Montreal, Canda, 2007.

[11] A. O. Radul, "Lie algebras of differential operators, their central extensions and W-algebras," *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 25–39, 1991.

[12] C. Boyallian, V. G. Kac, J. I. Liberati, and C. H. Yan, "Quasifinite highest weight modules over the Lie algebra of matrix differential operators on the circle," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 2910–2928, 1998.

[13] S.-J. Cheng and W. Wang, "Lie subalgebras of differential operators on the super circle," *Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Publications*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 545–600, 2003.

[14] V. G. Kac and J. I. Liberati, "Unitary quasi-finite representations of W_∞," *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 11–27, 2000.

[15] C. Boyallian, V. G. Kac, and J. I. Liberati, "On the classification of subalgebras Cend_N and gc_N ," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 260, no. 1, pp. 32–63, 2003.

[16] V. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, vol. 10 of *University Lecture Series*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2nd edition, 1998.

[17] V. G. Kac, "Formal distribution algebras and conformal algebras," in *XIIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (ICMP '97) (Brisbane)*, pp. 80–97, Internat Press, Cambridge, Mass, USA, 1999.

[18] W. L. Li, "2-cocyles on the algebra of differential operators," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 122, no. 1, pp. 64–80, 1989.

[19] W. Li and R. L. Wilson, "Central extensions of some Lie algebras," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 126, no. 9, pp. 2569–2577, 1998.

[20] D. Liu and N. Hu, "Derivation algebras and 2-cocycles of the algebras of q-differential operators," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 4387–4413, 2004.


Advances in Operations Research
Advances in Decision Sciences
Journal of Applied Mathematics
Algebra
Journal of Probability and Statistics
The Scientific World Journal
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of Differential Equations
International Journal of Combinatorics
Advances in Mathematical Physics
Journal of Complex Analysis
Journal of Mathematics
Mathematical Problems in Engineering
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society
International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
Journal of Discrete Mathematics
Journal of Function Spaces
International Journal of Stochastic Analysis
Journal of Optimization