

# Research Article Some Modified Fixed Point Results in V-Fuzzy Metric Spaces

# Vishal Gupta<sup>()</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Manu Verma,<sup>1</sup> and Mohammad Saeed Khan<sup>()</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Sultan Qaboos University, P.O. Box 36, Al-Khoud 123, Muscat, Oman

Correspondence should be addressed to Vishal Gupta; vishal.gmn@gmail.com

Received 31 May 2018; Accepted 21 February 2019; Published 27 March 2019

Academic Editor: Antonin Dvorák

Copyright © 2019 Vishal Gupta et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The present research paper focuses on the existence of fixed point in V-fuzzy metric space. The presentation of V-fuzzy metric space in *n*-tuple encourages us to define different mapping in the symmetric V-fuzzy metric space. Here, the properties of fuzzy metric space are extended to V-fuzzy metric space. The introduction of notion for pair of mappings (f, g) on V-fuzzy metric space called V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  and V - R weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  is given. This proved fixed point theorem in V-fuzzy metric space employing the effectiveness of E.A. property and CLRg property. For the justification of the results, some examples are illustrated.

# 1. Introduction

Metric space is one of the important basic areas of research for the mathematicians. Many researchers accelerated the concept of metric space either by introducing different contractions in different fields or by extending number of variables in the metric space. Different types of mappings are introduced to facilitate the fixed point in metric spaces such as weakly commuting pair of mappings [1], compatible mappings [2], and weakly compatible mappings [3]. Subsequently, Aamri and Moutawakil [4] introduced the notion of E.A. property. In 2011, Sintunavarat and Kumam<sup>[5]</sup> stamped the idea of common limit in the range of g(called CLRg property) which relaxes the requirement of completeness (or closedness) of the underlying subspace. Fixed point results are proved through the same concept in fuzzy metric spaces. Many authors [5-15] have given results about the common fixed point results in several spaces. On the basis of number of variables, there are many different generalizations, such as generalized metric space by Mustafa and Sims [16], generalized fuzzy metric spaces by Sun and Yang [17], new generalized metric space called S-metric space by Sedghi [18], and A-metric spaces by Abbas et al. [19], which is generalization of S-metric spaces. Also, V-fuzzy metric spaces were introduced by Gupta and Kanwar [20], which are based on fuzzy metric for *n*-tuples.

The above mentioned generalizations of metric spaces are described below.

*Definition 1* ([16]). Let X be a nonempty set and let  $G : X^3 \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function satisfying the following conditions for all x, y, z,  $a \in X$ :

- (G-1) G(x, y, z) = 0 if x = y = z,
- (G-2)  $0 \le G(x, y, z)$  with  $x \ne y$ ,
- (G-3)  $G(x, x, y) \le G(x, y, z)$  with  $y \ne z$ ,
- $\begin{array}{rl} ({\rm G-4})\;G(x,y,z)\;=\;G(x,z,y)\;=\;G(y,x,z)\;=\;G(z,x,y)\;=\;\\ G(z,y,x)\;=\;G(y,z,x), \end{array}$
- (G-5)  $G(x, y, z) \le G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ .

The function *G* is called a generalized metric on *X* and the pair (X, G) is called a *G*-metric space.

In 2012, Sedghi et al. [18] introduced a new generalized metric space called S-metric space.

Definition 2 ([18]). Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose a function  $S : X^3 \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfies the following conditions:

(S-1)  $S(x, y, z) \ge 0$ , (S-2) S(x, y, z) = 0 if and only if x = y = z = 0,  $(S-3) S(x, y, z) \le S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a) \text{ for any } x, y, z, a \in X.$ 

Then the ordered pair (X, S) is called S-metric space.

Abbas et al. [19] established the notion of *A*-metric spaces, which is considered as generalizations of *S*-metric space.

*Definition 3* ([19]). Let *X* be a nonempty set. A function *A* :  $X^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is called an *A*-metric on *X*, if for any  $x_i, a \in X$ , i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n, the following conditions hold:

- (A-1)  $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \ge 0$ ,
- (A-2)  $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0$  if and only if  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3$ ==  $\dots = x_n = 0$ ,
- $(A-3) A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \le A(x_1, x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, a) + A(x_2, x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, a) + \dots + A(x_n, x_n, x_n, \dots, (x_n)_{n-1}, a).$

The pair (X, A) is called A-metric space.

Fuzzy sets introduced by Zadeh [21] are the engender for all the research in different fields. Kramosil and Michalek [22] introduced the concept of fuzzy metric spaces.

Definition 4 ([23]). A binary operation  $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called continuous *t*-norms; it satisfies following conditions:

(T-1) \* is commutative and associative,

(T-2) \* is continuous,

- (T-3)  $a * 1 = a, \forall a \in [0, 1],$
- (T-4)  $a * b \le c * d$  whenever  $a \le c$  and  $b \le d$  for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

*Definition 5* ([22]). The 3-tuple (X, M, \*) is called a fuzzy metric space if *X* is an arbitrary set, \* is continuous *t*-norm, and *M* is a fuzzy set in  $X \times X \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

for all 
$$x, y, z \in X$$
 and  $s, t > 0$ ,

(FM-1) M(x, y, 0) = 0,

(FM-2)  $M(x, y, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$  if and only if x = y,

(FM-3) 
$$M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$$
,

(FM-4)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s),$ 

(FM-5)  $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous.

Note that M(x, y, t) can be thought of as the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t.

*Example 6.* Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define *t*-norm a \* b = ab or  $a * b = min\{a, b\}$ . For all  $x, y \in X, t > 0$ .

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}.$$
(1)

Then (X, M, \*) is a fuzzy metric space.

**Lemma 7** ([24]). Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space. If there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  for all  $x, y \in X, x, t > 0$  such that

$$M(x, y, kt) \ge M(x, y, t)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

for all  $x, y \in X$ , t > 0, then x = y.

In the process of generalization of fuzzy metric space, Sun and Yang [17] presented the notion of *G*-fuzzy metric space as follows.

*Definition 8* ([17]). A 3-tuple (X, G, \*) is said to be *G*-fuzzy metric space (denoted by GF space) if *X* is an arbitrary nonempty set, \* is continuous *t*-norm, and *G* is a fuzzy set on  $X \times X \times X \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions: for each *x*, *y*, *z*  $\in$  *X* and *s*, *t* > 0,

(GF-1) G(x, x, y, t) > 0 with  $x \neq y$ ,

- (GF-2)  $G(x, x, y, t) \ge G(x, y, z, t)$  with  $y \ne z$ ,
- (GF-3) G(x, y, z, t) = 1 if and only if x = y = z,
- $(\text{GF-5}) \ G(x, y, z, t+s) \ge G(x, a, a, t) * G(a, y, z, s).$

(GF-6)  $G(x, y, z, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous.

**Lemma 9** ([17]). Let (X, G, \*) be a GF space. Then G(x, y, z, t) is nondecreasing with respect to t for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

# 2. V-Fuzzy Metric Space

These all generalizations advocate *V*-fuzzy metric spaces. In 2016, Gupta and Kanwar [20] stamped the move of these generalization to *n*-tuples as discussed below.

Definition 10 ([20]). Let X be nonempty set. A 3-tuple (X, V, \*) is said to be a V-fuzzy metric space (denoted by VF-space), where \* is a continuous *t*-norm and V is a fuzzy set on  $X^n \times (0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions for each t, s > 0:

- (VF-1) V(x, x, x, ..., x, y, t) > 0 for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ;
- (VF-2)  $V(x_1, x_1, x_1, \dots, x_1, x_2, t) \ge V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, t)$  for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \in X$  with  $x_2 \ne x_3 \ne \dots \ne x_n$ ;

(VF-3)  $V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, t) = 1$  if  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_n$ ;

- (VF-4)  $V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, t) = V(p(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n), t)$ , where p is a permutation function;
- $\begin{array}{ll} (\text{VF-5}) \ V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, t & + & s) & \geq \\ & V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, l, t) \ * \ V(l, l, l, \dots, l, x_n, s); \end{array}$

(VF-6) 
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, t) = 1;$$

(VF-7) 
$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$$
 is continuous.

*Example 11* ([20]). Let (X, V, \*) be a *V*-metric space. Define *t*-norm a\*b = ab or  $a*b = \min\{a, b\}$ . For all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n \in X, t > 0$ ,

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, t) = \frac{t}{t + A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)}$$
(3)

then (X, V, \*) is a *V*-fuzzy metric space.

**Lemma 12** ([20]). Let (X, V, \*) be a V-fuzzy metric space; then  $V(x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n, t)$  is nondecreasing with respect to t.

**Lemma 13** ([20]). Let (X, V, \*) be a V-fuzzy metric space such that

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, kt) \ge V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, t), \quad (4)$$

with  $k \in (0, 1)$ ; then  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \ldots = x_n$ .

Definition 14 ([20]). Let (X, V, \*) be a V-fuzzy metric space. A sequence  $\{x_r\}$  is said to converge to a point  $x \in X$  if  $V(x_r, x_r, x_r, \dots, x_r, x, t) \longrightarrow 1$  as  $r \longrightarrow \infty$  for all t > 0; that is, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n \in N$  such that for all  $r \ge N$  we have  $V(x_r, x_r, x_r, \dots, x_r, x, t) > 1 - \epsilon$  and we write  $\lim_{t \longrightarrow \infty} x_r = r$ .

Definition 15 ([20]). Let (X, V, \*) be a V-fuzzy metric space. A sequence  $\{x_r\}$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if  $V(x_r, x_r, x_r, x_r, x_q, t) \longrightarrow 1$  as  $r, q \longrightarrow \infty$  for all t > 0; that is, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that for all  $r, q \ge n_0$ , we have  $V(x_r, x_r, x_r, \dots, x_r, x_q, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ .

*Definition 16* ([20]). A *V*-fuzzy metric space (X, V, \*) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in *X* is convergent.

In the present research paper, topology is induced by V-fuzzy metric spaces. The introduction of concepts of V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  and V-R weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  in V-fuzzy metric spaces is given which helps in determining the fixed point theorem for symmetric V-fuzzy metric spaces.

# 3. Topology Induced by V-Fuzzy Metric Space

*Definition 17.* Let (X, V, \*) be a *V*-fuzzy metric space. For t > 0, the open ball  $B_V(x, r, t)$  with center  $x \in X$  and radius 0 < r < 1 is defined as

$$B_{V}(x,r,t) = \{y \in X : V(x, y, \dots, y, t) > 1 - r\}.$$
 (5)

Result 1. Every open ball is an open set.

Consider an open ball  $B_V(x, r, t)$ . Now

$$y \in B_V(x, r, t) \Longrightarrow$$

$$V(x, y, \dots, y, t) > 1 - r.$$
(6)

Since V(x, y, ..., y, t) > 1 - r, we can find  $t_0, 0 < t_0 < t$ , such that  $V(x, y, ..., y, t_0) > 1 - r$ .

Let  $r_0 = V(x, y, y, \dots, y, t_0) > 1 - r$ .

Since  $r_0 > 1 - r$ , we can find *s*, 0 < s < 1, such that  $r_0 > 1 - s > 1 - r$ .

Further for a given  $r_0$  and s such that  $r_0 > 1 - s$  we can find  $r_1, 0 < r_1 < 1$  such that  $r_0 * r_1 \ge 1 - s$ .

Consider the Ball

$$B_V(y, 1 - r_1, t - t_0). (7)$$

We will show that

$$B_V(y, 1 - r_1, t - t_0) \in B_V(x, r, t).$$
(8)

Now 
$$z \in B_V(y, 1 - r_1, t - t_0)$$
 implies  $V(y, z, ..., z, t - t_0) > r_1$ .

Therefore

$$V(x, z, \dots, z, t) \ge V(x, y, \dots, y, t_0)$$
$$* V(y, z, \dots, z, t - t_0) \ge r_0 * r_1 \qquad (9)$$
$$\ge 1 - s.$$

Therefore  $z \in B_V(x, r, t)$  and hence

$$B_{V}(y, 1 - r, t - t_{0}) \in B_{V}(x, r, t).$$
(10)

*Result 2.* Let (X, V, \*) be a *V*-fuzzy metric space. Define

$$\tau = \{A \subset X : x \in A \text{ if and only if there exist } t \\ > 0 \text{ and } r, \ 0 < r < 1 \text{ such that } B_V(x, r, t) \subset A\}.$$
(11)

Then  $\tau$  is a topology on *X*.

*Definition 18.* Let (X, V, \*) be a *V*-fuzzy metric space. The following condition is satisfied:

$$\lim_{n_{i} \to \infty} V\left(x_{n_{1}}, x_{n_{2}}, \dots, x_{n}, t_{n_{0}}\right) = V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{N}, t\right),$$

$$i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N,$$
(12)

whenever  $\lim_{n_1 \to \infty} x_{n_1} = x_1$ ,  $\lim_{n_2 \to \infty} x_{n_2} = x_2$ , ...,  $\lim_{n_N \to \infty} x_{n_N} = x_N$ , and

$$\lim_{n_{0} \to \infty} V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{N}, t_{n_{0}}\right) = V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{N}, t\right); \quad (13)$$

then *V* is called continuous function on  $X^N \times (0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 19.** Let (X, V, \*) be a V-fuzzy metric space. Then V is a continuous function  $X^N \times (0, \infty)$ .

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{n_1 \to \infty} x_{n_1} = x_1,$$

$$\lim_{n_2 \to \infty} x_{n_2} = x_2$$

$$\vdots$$
(14)

$$\lim_{n_N \to \infty} x_{n_N} = x_N$$

and

$$\lim_{n_{0} \to \infty} V(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{N}, t_{n_{0}}) = V(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{N}, t), \quad (15)$$

then there exists  $n_p \in N$  such that

$$\left|t-t_{n_0}\right| < \delta \quad \text{for } n_0 \ge n_p \text{ and } \delta < \frac{t}{2}.$$
 (16)

As  $V(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, t)$  is nondecreasing with respect to t, we have

$$V\left(x_{n_{1}}, x_{n_{2}}, \dots, x_{n_{N}}, t_{n}\right) \geq V\left(x_{n_{1}}, x_{n_{2}}, \dots, x_{n_{N}}, t-\delta\right)$$
$$\geq V\left(x_{n_{1}}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{1}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

$$* V\left(x_{1}, x_{n_{2}}, \dots, x_{n_{N}}, t - \frac{N+1}{N}\delta\right)$$

$$\geq V\left(x_{n_{1}}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{1}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right) * V\left(x_{n_{2}}, x_{2} \dots x_{2}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

$$* \dots$$

$$* V\left(x_{N-1}, x_{N-2}, \dots, x_{1}, x_{n_{N}}, t - \frac{N+(N-1)}{N}\delta\right)$$

$$\geq V\left(x_{n_{1}}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{1}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right) * V\left(x_{n_{2}}, x_{2} \dots x_{2}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

$$* \dots * V\left(x_{n_{N}}, x_{N}, \dots, x_{1}, x_{N}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

$$* U\left(x_{N}, x_{N-1}, \dots, x_{1}, t - (N-1)\delta\right)$$

$$(17)$$

and

$$V(x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{N}, t + (N - 1) \delta)$$

$$\geq V(x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{N}, t_{n} + \delta)$$

$$\geq V\left(x_{1}, x_{n_{1}}, ..., x_{n_{1}}, \frac{(N - 1)}{N} \delta\right)$$

$$* V\left(x_{n_{1}}, x_{2}, x_{3}, ..., x_{N}, t_{n} + \frac{1}{N} \delta\right)$$

$$\geq V\left(x_{1}, x_{n_{1}}, ..., x_{n_{1}}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

$$* V\left(x_{2}, x_{n_{2}}, x_{n_{2}}, ..., x_{n_{2}}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right) * ...$$

$$* V\left(x_{N}, x_{n_{N}}, x_{n_{N}}, ..., x_{n_{N}}, t_{n} + \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

$$\geq V\left(x_{1}, x_{n_{1}}, x_{n_{1}}, ..., x_{n_{1}}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

$$* V\left(x_{2}, x_{n_{2}}, x_{n_{2}}, ..., x_{n_{2}}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right) * ...$$

$$* V\left(x_{N}, x_{n_{N}}, x_{n_{N}}, ..., x_{n_{N}}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

$$* V\left(x_{N}, x_{n_{N}}, x_{n_{N}}, ..., x_{n_{N}}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

$$* V\left(x_{N}, x_{n_{N}}, ..., x_{n_{N}}, \frac{\delta}{N}\right)$$

Considering continuity of the function *V* with respect to *t* and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$V(x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{N}, t + (N - 1) \delta)$$
  

$$\geq V(x_{N}, x_{N-1}, ..., x_{1}, t)$$
(19)  

$$\geq V(V(x_{N}, x_{N-1}, ..., x_{1}, t - (N - 1) \delta)).$$

Therefore, *V* is continuous function on  $X^N \times [0, \infty)$ .

*Remark 20.* In the present paper, (X, V, \*) will denote an *VF*-space with a continuous *t*-norm \* defined as  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$  and we assume that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} V\left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, t\right) = 1$$
for all  $x_i \in X$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .
$$(20)$$

Define  $\phi = \{\phi : R^+ \longrightarrow R^+\}$ , where  $R^+ = [0, \infty]$  and each  $\phi \in \Phi$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $(\phi$ -1)  $\phi$  is strict increasing,
- $(\phi$ -2)  $\phi$  is upper semicontinuous from the right,
- $(\phi$ -3)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^n(t) < \infty$  for all t > 0.

**Lemma 21.** Let (X, V, \*) be an VF-space. If there exists  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that

$$V(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \dots, x_{n}, \phi(t)) \ge V(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \dots, x_{n}, t)$$
  
for all  $t > 0$ , (21)

*then*  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \ldots = x_n$ .

Proof. Since

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, \phi(t)) \ge V(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, t)$$
 (22)

and also  $\phi(t) < t$ , by using Lemma 12, we have

$$V(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \dots, x_{n}, \phi(t)) \leq V(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \dots, x_{n}, t).$$
(23)

From (22) and (23) and definition of *V*-fuzzy metric space, one can get  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \cdots = x_n$ .

*Remark 22.* Let  $x_1 = w$ ,  $x_2 = x_3 = ... = x_{n-1} = \mu$ , in (VF-5); we have

$$V(w, u, u, ..., u, t + s) \geq V(w, v, v, ..., v, t) * V(v, u, u, ..., u, s),$$
(24)

which implies that

$$V(u, u, ..., w, s + t) \ge V(v, v, ..., v, w, t)$$
  
\*  $V(v(u, u, ..., u, v, s)$  (25)

for all  $u, v, w \in X$  and s, t > 0.

An *VF*-space is said to be symmetric if V(x, x, ..., x, y, t) = V(x, y, y, ..., y, t) for all  $x, y \in X$  and for each t > 0.

**Lemma 23.** Let (X, V, \*) be an VF-metric space; if we define  $E_{\lambda} : X \times X \times X \times \cdots \times X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$E_{\lambda}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n})$$

$$= \inf \{t > 0, V(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}, t) > 1 - \lambda\}$$
(26)

for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ , then we have

(i) for each  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ , there exists  $\mu \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$E_{\lambda}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{\mu}(x_{i}, x_{i}, \dots, x_{i+1})$$
for all  $x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n} \in X$ 
(27)

(ii) the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in X is convergent if and only if  $E_{\lambda}(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty \text{ for all } \lambda \in$ (0, 1].

*Proof.* (i) For any  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ , let  $\mu \in (0,1]$  and  $\mu < \lambda$ . Therefore, by the triangular inequality (VF-5) and Remark 22

$$V\left(x_{1}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{\mu}\left(x_{i}, x_{i}, \dots, x_{i+1}\right) + (n-1)\delta\right)$$
  

$$\geq V\left(x_{1}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{2}, E_{\mu}\left(x_{1}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{2}\right) + \delta\right)$$
  

$$* V\left(x_{2}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{3}, E_{\mu}\left(x_{2}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{3}\right) + \delta\right)$$
  

$$+ \cdots V\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n}, E_{\mu}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n}\right) + \delta\right) \geq \min\left\{(1-\mu), (1-\mu) \dots (1-\mu)\right\} \geq 1 - \lambda,$$
  
(28)

which gives, using (26),

$$E_{\lambda}(x_{1}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \leq E_{\mu}(x_{1}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{2}) + E_{\mu}(x_{2}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{3}) + E_{\mu}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n}) + (n-1)\delta.$$
(29)

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, we have

$$E_{\lambda}(x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})$$

$$\leq E_{\mu}(x_{1}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{2}) + E_{\mu}(x_{2}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{3}) + \dots \quad (30)$$

$$+ E_{\mu}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n}).$$

(ii) Since V is continuous in its (n + 1)th argument (by (26)), we have

$$V(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x, \eta) > 1 - \lambda \quad \text{for all } \eta > 0.$$
(31)

This proves the lemma.

**Lemma 24.** Let (X, V, \*) be a V-Fuzzy metric space and  $\{y_n\}$ *be a sequence in X. If there exists*  $\phi \in \Phi$  *such that* 

$$V(y_{n}, y_{n}, y_{n}, \dots, y_{n}, y_{n+1}, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}, t) \qquad (32)$$

$$* V(y_{n}, y_{n}, \dots, y_{n}, y_{n+1}, t)$$

for all t > 0 and n = 1, 2, 3, ..., then  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.

*Proof.* Let  $\{E_{\lambda}(x, y, z)\}_{\lambda \in (0,1]}$  be defined by (26). For each  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  and  $n \in N$ , put

$$a_n = E_{\lambda} \left( y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n \right).$$
(33)

We will prove that

$$a_{n+1} \le \phi(a_n) \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$
 (34)

Since  $\phi$  is upper semicontinuous from right, for given  $\epsilon > 0$ and each  $a_n$ , there exists  $p_n > a_n$  such that  $\phi(p_n) < \phi(a_n) + \epsilon$ . From (26), it follows from  $P_n > a_n = E_{\lambda}(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_n)$ that  $V(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_n, P_n) > 1 - \lambda$  for all  $n \in N$ . Thus, by (32), (34), and Lemma 12, we get

$$V(y_{n}, y_{n}, y_{n}, \dots, y_{n+1}, \phi(\max\{p_{n}, p_{n+1}\}))$$

$$\geq V(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n}, \max\{p_{n}, p_{n+1}\})$$

$$* V(y_{n}, y_{n}, \dots, y_{n+1}, \max\{p_{n}, p_{n+1}\})$$

$$\geq V(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n}, p_{n})$$

$$* V(y_{n}, y_{n}, \dots, y_{1}, y_{n+1}, p_{n+1}) > 1 - \lambda.$$
(35)

Again, by (26), we get

$$E_{\lambda}(y_{n}, y_{n}, \dots, y_{n}, y_{n+1}) \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ p_{n}, p_{n+1} \right\} \right)$$
  
$$= \max \left\{ \phi(p_{n}), \phi(p_{n+1}) \right\}$$
  
$$\leq \max \left\{ \phi(a_{n}), \phi(a_{n+1}) \right\} + \epsilon.$$
 (36)

By the arbitrariness of  $\epsilon$ , we have

$$a_{n+1} = E_{\lambda} (y_n, y_n, \dots, y_{n+1}) \\ \leq \max \{ \phi (a_n), \phi (a_{n-1}) \}.$$
(37)

So, we can interpret that  $a_{n+1} \leq \phi(a_n)$ .

If not, then by (37), we have  $a_{n+1} \le \phi(a_{n+1}) < a_{n+1}$ ; this is a contradiction. Hence (37) implies that  $a_{n+1} \leq \phi(a_n)$ , and (34) is proved.

By repeated application of (34), we get

$$E_{\lambda}(y_{n}, y_{n}, \dots, y_{n+1}) \leq \phi(E_{\lambda}(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n})$$
$$\leq \dots \leq \phi^{n}(E_{\lambda}(y_{0}, y_{0}, \dots, y_{1})$$
(38)
$$forall n \in N.$$

By Lemma 23, for each  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ , there exists  $\mu \in (0, \lambda]$  such that

$$E_{\lambda}(y_{n}, y_{n}, \dots, y_{n}, y_{n}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} E_{\mu}(y_{i}, y_{i}, \dots, y_{i}, y_{i+1}),$$
(39)

$$m, n \in N$$
 with  $m > n$ .

Since  $\phi \in \Phi$ , by condition ( $\phi$ -3), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^n \left( E_{\mu} \left( y_0, y_0, \dots, y_1 \right) \right) < +\infty.$$
 (40)

So, for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $\sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \phi^i(E_{\mu}(y_0, y_0, \dots, y_1)) < \epsilon$ . Thus, it follows from (39) that

$$E_{\lambda}(y_n, y_n, \dots, y_m) \le \sum \phi^i \left( E_{\mu}(y_0, y_0, y_0, \dots, y_1) \right)$$
  
<  $\epsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ , (41)

which implies that  $V(y_n, y_n, y_n, \dots, y_n, \epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  for all  $m, n \in$ N with  $m > n \ge n_0$ . Therefore  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. 

Definition 25. A pair of self-mappings (f, g) of V-fuzzy metric space (X, V, \*) is said to be V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  if

$$V(fgx, gfx, fgx, \dots, ffx, t)$$
  

$$\geq V(fx, gx, fx, fx, \dots, \dots, fx, t)$$
(42)

for all  $x \in X$  and t > 0.

Definition 26. A pair of self-mappings (f, g) of a V-fuzzy metric space (X, V, \*) is said to be V-R weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  if there exists some positive real number R such that

$$V\left(fgx, gfx, fgx, \dots, ff(x)t\right) \\ \ge V\left(fx, gx, fx, fx, \dots, fx, \frac{t}{r}\right)$$

$$(43)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and t > 0.

*Remark 27.* If we interchange f and g in above definitions, then the pair of self-mappings (f, g) of V-fuzzy metric space (X, V, \*) is said to be V-weakly commuting of type  $V_q$  and V-R weakly commuting of type  $V_g$ , respectively.

For proving our main results, we use the following relation.

The following example shows that a pair of mapping (f, g)that is V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  does not need to be V-weakly commuting of type  $V_q$ .

*Example 28.* Let x = (0, 1] be the V-fuzzy metric space with

$$A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \max\{|x_1 - x_2|, |x_2 - x_3| \dots, |x_{n-1} - x_n|\}$$
(44)

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Define  $fx = x^2/4$ ,  $g(x) = x^2$ .

Then we find

$$A\left(fgx, gfx, \dots, ffx\right) = \frac{15}{64}x^4 \tag{45}$$

and

$$A(fx, gx, gx, \dots, fx) = \frac{3}{4}x^{2}.$$
 (46)

Then, one can get

$$A(gfx, fgx, fgx, \dots, gg(x)) = \frac{15}{16}$$

$$\notin A(gx, fx, fx, \dots, gx) = \frac{3}{4},$$
(47)

which implies

$$V(fgx, gfx, \dots, ffx, t) \ge V(fx, gx, \dots, fx, t)$$
(48)

and

$$V(gfx, fgx, \dots, ggx, t) \not\ge V(gx, fx, \dots, gx, t).$$
(49)

Hence the pair (f, g) is not V-weakly commuting of type  $V_q$ , but it is V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$ .

**Lemma 29.** If f and g are V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  or V-R-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$ , then f and g are weakly compatible.

*Proof.* Let x be a coincidence point of f and g; i.e., f(x) =g(x); then if pair (f, g) is V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$ , we have

$$V(fgx, gfx, \dots, fgx, t) = V(fgx, gfx, \dots, ffx, t)$$
  

$$\geq V(fx, gx, \dots, fx, t) = 1.$$
(50)

It follows that fxg = gfx. Hence f and g commute at their coincidence point.

Similarly, if pair (f, g) is V-R weakly commuting of type  $V_f$ , we have

$$V(fgx, gfx, \dots, fgx, t) = V(fgx, gfx, \dots, ffx, t)$$
  

$$\geq V\left(fx, gx, \dots, fx, \frac{t}{R}\right) = 1,$$
(51)

and thus fgx = gfx; then the pair (f, g) is weakly compatible. The converse of the lemma need not be true. 

*Example 30.* X = [0, 1] and  $A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = |x_1 - x_2| +$  $|x_2 - x_3|$ .

Define  $f, g: X \longrightarrow X$  by f(x) = 4x - 1 and  $g(x) = 3x^2$ ,  $x \in X$ ; we see that x = 1/3 is the only coincidence point and f(q(1/3)) = 1/3 and q(f(1/3)) = q(1/3), so f and g are weakly compatible.

But by easy calculation, for x = 1, one can have

$$A(fgx, gfx, \dots, ffx) = 36$$
(52)

and

i.e.,

$$A(fx, gx, \dots, fx) = 0, \tag{53}$$

(54)

 $V(fqx, qfx, \dots, ffx, t) = 36 \nleq 0$ 

$$= (fx, gx, \ldots, fx, t).$$

Therefore, f and g are not V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$ .

Definition 31 ([4]). A pair of self-mappings (f, g) on X is said to satisfy the property E.A. if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z \quad \text{for all } z \in X.$$
 (55)

Definition 32 ([25]). A pair of self-mappings (f, g) on X is said to satisfy the CLRg property if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = gz \quad \text{for all } z \in X.$$
 (56)

Now, we are ready to prove our results for symmetric *V*-fuzzy metric spaces.

**Theorem 33.** Let (X, V, \*) be a symmetric VF-space and mappings  $f, g: X \longrightarrow X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) f and g are V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$ ;
- (ii)  $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ ;
- (iii) g(X) is a V-complete subspace of X;
- (iv) these exists  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that for all  $x_i \in X$  and i = 1, 2, ..., n, t > 0

$$V(fx_{1}, fx_{2}, fx_{3}, ..., fx_{n}, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(gx_{1}, gx_{1}, ..., fx_{1}, t)$$

$$* V(gx_{2}, gx_{2}, ..., fx_{2}, t)$$

$$* V(gx_{3}, gx_{3}, ..., fx_{3}, t) * ...$$

$$* V(gx_{n}, gx_{n}, ..., fx_{n}, t).$$
(57)

#### Then f and g have common fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $z_1 \in X$  such that  $fz_0 = gz_1$  and  $z_2 \in X$ , where  $fz_1 = gz_2$ , and then by induction we can define a sequence  $\{y_n\} \in X$  as follows

$$y_n = f z_n = g z_{n+1}, \quad n \in N.$$
 (58)

We will prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in *X*.

$$V(y_{n}, y_{n}, ..., y_{n}, y_{n+1}, \phi(t))$$

$$= V(fz_{n}, fz_{n}, ..., fz_{n+1}, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(gz_{n}, gz_{n}, ..., fz_{n}, t)$$

$$* V(gz_{n}, gz_{n}, ..., fz_{n}, t) * ...$$

$$* V(gz_{n+1}, gz_{n+1}, ..., fz_{n+1}, t)$$

$$\geq V(gz_{n}, gz_{n}, ..., gz_{n+1}, t)$$

$$* V(gz_{n}, gz_{n}, ..., gz_{n+1}, t) * ...$$

$$* V(gz_{n+1}, gz_{n+1}, ..., gz_{n+2}, t),$$
(59)

which gives

$$V(y_{n}, y_{n}, ..., y_{n+1}, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, ..., y_{n}, t) * \cdots$$

$$* V(y_{n}, y_{n}, ..., y_{n+1}, t).$$
(60)

By Lemma 24, the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is a V-Cauchy sequence. Since  $y_n = gz_{n+1}, \{gz_{n+1}\}$  is a V-Cauchy sequence in g(x).

By hypothesis (iii), we know that g(X) is V-complete; then there exists  $u \in g(X)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} gz_n = u = \lim_{n \to \infty} fz_n.$$
 (61)

Now  $u \in g(x)$ , so there exists  $p \in X$  such that u = gp. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} gz_n = gp = \lim_{n \to \infty} fz_n.$$
(62)

We will prove that fp = gp:

$$V(fp, fp, ..., fz_n, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t) * V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t)$$

$$* V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t) * ...$$

$$* V(gz_n, gz_n, ..., fz_n, t),$$
(63)

taking limit as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ ,

$$V(fp, fp, ..., gp, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t) * V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t) \quad (64)$$

$$* V(gp, gp, ..., gp, t),$$

which implies,

$$V(fp, fp, \dots, gp, \phi(t)) \ge V(gp, gp, \dots, fp, t).$$
(65)

Since V-fuzzy metric space is symmetric, we have

$$V(fp, fp, \dots, gp, \phi(t)) \ge V(gp, gp, \dots, fp, t)$$
  
= V(fp, fp, ..., gp, t), (66)

which implies fp = gp (by Lemma 21).

Since pair 
$$(f, g)$$
 is V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$ , then

$$V(fgp, gfp, fgp, \dots, ffp, \phi(t))$$
  

$$\geq V(fp, gp, fp, gp, \dots, fp, t) = 1,$$
(67)

which implies

$$ffp = fgp = gfp = ggp.$$
(68)

Hence fu = fgp = fgp = gu.

Eventually, we show that u = gp is common fixed point of f and g. Suppose  $fu \neq u$ ; then

$$V(fu, fp, fp, ..., fp, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(gu, gu, ..., fu, t) * V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t)$$

$$* ... * V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t)$$

$$\geq V(fu, fu, ..., fu, t) * V(fp, fp, ..., fp, t)$$

$$* ... * V(fp, fp, ..., fp, t).$$
(69)

 $V(fu, u, \dots, u, \phi(t)) \ge 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 = 1,$ 

which is the contradiction. Hence, fu = gu = u.

To prove the uniqueness, suppose u and v are such that  $u \neq v$ , fv = gv = v and fu = gu = u; then again using condition (iv), we have

$$V(u, v, ..., v, \phi(t)) = V(fu, fv, ..., fv, \phi(t))$$
  

$$\geq V(gu, gu, ..., fu, t))$$
  

$$* V(gv, gv, ..., fv, t) * ... (70)$$
  

$$* V(gv, gv, ..., fv, t)$$
  

$$= 1 * 1 * ... * 1 = 1.$$

Hence,  $V(u, v, v, ..., v, \phi(t)) \ge 1$ , which gives a contradiction. Hence u = v. Therefore u is a unique common fixed point of f and g.

*Example 34.* Let X = [0,1] be a standard V-fuzzy metric space.

Let  $\phi(t) = t/2$  and define  $f, g : X \longrightarrow X$  by f(x) = x/6,  $g(x) = (x/2)(x+1), x \in X$ .

We see that x = 0 is the only coincidence point and f and g are weakly compatible.

Let  $x_n = 1/n$  be a sequence such that

$$V\left(fp, fp, \dots, fx_n, \phi(t)\right) \ge V\left(fp, fp, \dots, gp, t\right), \quad (71)$$

where *p* is a coincidence point.

Then the pair (f, g) is V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$ . Further f and g have a unique common fixed point of f and g.

**Corollary 35.** Theorem 33 remains true if we replace V-weakly commuting and V - R-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  by V-weakly commuting and V - R-weakly commuting of type  $V_g$  (considering the other conditions are the same).

**Theorem 36.** Let (X, V, \*) be a symmetric V-fuzzy metric space and suppose mappings  $f, g : X \longrightarrow X$  are V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) *f* and *g* satisfy the E.A property;
- (ii) g(X) is a closed subspace of X;

(iii) there exists  $a \phi \in \Phi$  such that for all  $x_i \in X$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n and t > 0,

$$V(fx_{1}, fx_{2}, ..., fx_{n}, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(gx_{1}, gx_{1}, ..., fx_{1}, t)$$

$$* V(gx_{2}, gx_{2}, ..., fx_{2}, t) * ...$$

$$* V(gx_{n}, gx_{n}, ..., fx_{n}, t).$$
(72)

#### Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Since, the mappings f and g satisfy the E.A. property, then there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in X satisfying

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} gz_n = u = \lim_{n \to \infty} fz_n \quad \text{for some } u \in X.$$
(73)

Since g(X) is closed subspace of X and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} gz_n = u$ , then there exists  $p \in X$  such that gp = u.

Also, 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} gz_n = gp = \lim_{n \to \infty} fz_n.$$
  
We will prove,  $fp = gp$ :  
 $V(fp, fp, \dots, fz_n, \phi(t))$   
 $\geq V(gp, gp, \dots, fp, t) * V(gp, gp, \dots, fp, t)$ (74)  
 $* \dots * V(gz_n, gz_n, \dots, fz_n, t),$ 

and, taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$V(fp, fp..., gp, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t) * \cdots$$

$$* V(gp, gp, ..., gp, t) V(fp, fp..., gp, \phi(t))$$
(75)

$$\geq V(gp, gp, \ldots, fp, t)$$

Since V-fuzzy metric space is symmetric, we have

$$V(fp, fp, \dots, gp, \phi(t)) \ge V(fp, fp, \dots, gp, t), \quad (76)$$

which implies fp = gp = u (by Lemma 21). Since pair (*f*, *g*) is V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$ , then

$$V(fgp, gfp, \dots, ffp, \phi(t))$$
  

$$\geq V(fp, gp, fp, \dots, fp, t) = 1,$$
(77)

which implies ffp = fgp = gfp = ggp.

Hence fu = fgp = gfp = gu.

Finally, we show that u = gp is a common fixed point of f and g. Suppose  $fu \neq u$ , then

$$V(fu, fp, ..., fp, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(gu, gu, ..., fu, t) * V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t)$$

$$* ... * V(gp, gp, ..., fp, t)$$

$$\geq V(fu, fu, ..., fu, t) * V(fp, fp, ..., fp, t)$$

$$* ... * V(fp, fp, fp, ..., fp, t)$$

$$= 1 * 1 * 1 * ... * 1 = 1.$$
(78)

*Thus*,  $V(fu, u, u, ..., \phi(t)) \ge 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence fu = gu = u. To prove the uniqueness, suppose that u and v are such that  $u \neq v$ , fu = gu = u, and fv = gv = v; then again using condition (iii), we have

$$V(u, v, ..., v, \phi(t)) = V(fu, fv, ..., fv, \phi(t))$$
  

$$\geq V(gu, gu, ..., fu, t)$$
  

$$* V(gv, gv, ..., fv, t) ... (79)$$
  

$$* V(gv, gv, ..., fv, t)$$
  

$$= 1 * 1 * 1 * ... * 1 = 1.$$

Hence  $V(u, v, ..., v, \phi(t)) \ge 1$ , which gives a contradiction. Hence u = v. Therefore 'u' is a unique common fixed point of f and g.

**Theorem 37.** Let (X, V, \*) be a symmetric V-fuzzy metric space and suppose mappings  $f, g : X \longrightarrow X$  are V-weakly commuting of type  $V_f$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) *f* and *g* satisfy the CLRg property;
- (ii) g(X) is a closed subspace of X;
- (iii) there exists a  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that for all  $x_i \in X$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n and t > 0,

$$V(fx_{1}, fx_{2}, ..., fx_{n}, \phi(t))$$

$$\geq V(gx_{1}, gx_{1}, ..., fx_{1}, t)$$

$$* V(gx_{2}, gx_{2}, ..., fx_{2}, t) * ...$$

$$* V(gx_{n}, gx_{n}, ..., fx_{n}, t).$$
(80)

Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Proof follows on the same lines of Theorem 33 and by definition of CLRg property.

# **Data Availability**

The related results, applications, definitions, and all other data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- S. Sessa, "On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations," *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique*, vol. 34, no. 46, pp. 149–153, 1982.
- [2] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 771–779, 1986.
- [3] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 227–238, 1998.

- [4] M. Aamri and D. El Moutawakil, "Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 270, no. 1, pp. 181– 188, 2002.
- [5] Wutiphol Sintunavarat and Poom Kumam, "Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2011, Article ID 637958, 14 pages, 2011.
- [6] W. Sintunavarat, D. M. Lee, and Y. J. Cho, "Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type common fixed point theorems without *T*weakly commuting condition and invariant approximations," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2014, no. 1, article 112, 10 pages, 2014.
- [7] O. Yamaod, W. Sintunavarat, and Y. J. Cho, "Common fixed point theorems for generalized cyclic contraction pairs in *b*-metric spaces with applications," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2015, article 164, 2015.
- [8] J. Martínez-Moreno, W. Sintunavarat, and Y. J. Cho, "Common fixed point theorems for Geraghty's type contraction mappings using the monotone property with two metrics," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2015, no. 1, article no. 174, 2015.
- [9] O. Yamaod, W. Sintunavarat, and Y. J. Cho, "Existence of a common solution for a system of nonlinear integral equations via fixed point methods in *b*-metric spaces," *Open Mathematics*, vol. 14, pp. 128–145, 2016.
- [10] K. P. Sastry and I. S. Krishna Murthy, "Common fixed points of two partially commuting tangential selfmaps on a metric space," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 250, no. 2, pp. 731–734, 2000.
- [11] S. Chauhan, W. Shatanawi, S. Kumar, and S. Radenovic, "Existence and uniqueness of fixed points in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications. JNSA*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 28–41, 2014.
- [12] W. Shatanawi, "Fixed and common fixed point theorems in frame of quasi metric spaces under contraction condition based on ultra distance functions," *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 724–748, 2018.
- [13] W. Shatanawil, K. Abodayeh, and A. Mukheimer, "Some fixed point theorems in extended b-metric spaces," *UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics*, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 71–78, 2018.
- [14] W. Shatanawi, "Common Fixed Points for Mappings under Contractive Conditions of  $(\alpha, \beta, \psi)$ - Admissibility Type," *Mathematics*, vol. 6, article 261, 2018.
- [15] V. Gupta, W. Shatanawi, and M. Verma, "Existence of fixed points for J-ψ- fuzzy contractions in fuzzy metric spaces endowed with graph," *The Journal of Analysis*, 2018.
- [16] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "A new approach to generalized metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 289–297, 2006.
- [17] G. Sun and K. Yang, "Generalized fuzzy metric spaces with properties," *Research Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 2, pp. 673– 678, 2010.
- [18] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, and A. Aliouche, "A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces," *Matematički Vesnik*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 258–266, 2012.
- [19] M. Abbas, B. Ali, and Y. I. Suleiman, "Generalized coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered A-metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 64, 24 pages, 2015.

- [20] V. Gupta and A. Kanwar, "V-fuzzy metric space and related fixed point theorems," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 51, 17 pages, 2016.
- [21] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information and Control*, vol. 8, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [22] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, "Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces," *Kybernetika*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 326–334, 1975.
- [23] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, "Statistical metric spaces," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, pp. 313–334, 1960.
- [24] A. George and P. Veeramani, "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 395–399, 1994.
- [25] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, "Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2011, Article ID 637958, 14 pages, 2011.



Modelling & Simulation

in Engineering



The Scientific World Journal



Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at

www.hindawi.com













Reconfigurable Computing

Programming





International Journal of Engineering Mathematics









Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering



**Computer Networks** and Communications



Computational Intelligence





Technology



